



Identifying Disproportionate Minority Contact in Indiana

Submitted by

Crystal A. Garcia, PhD

G. Roger Jarjoura, PhD

*Associate Professors of Criminal Justice, Law and Public Safety
School of Public and Environmental Affairs
Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis
Research Associates, Center for Criminal Justice Research*

and

Kathy Lisby

Senior Policy Analyst, Center for Criminal Justice Research

with the assistance of:

Konrad Haight

Justin Roof

Jaime Sherls

Nathan Zaugg

*Masters of Science in Criminal Justice and Public Safety Management Students
School of Public and Environmental Affairs
Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis*

April 2012 • ISSUE 12-C22

© 2012 Center for Criminal Justice Research

School of Public and Environmental Affairs

Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis

334 N. Senate, Suite 300

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

www.policyinstitute.iu.edu/criminal



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was quite large and took a small army to complete. A number of individuals assisted our efforts and deserve acknowledgement. First and foremost, we would like to thank Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard of the Indiana Supreme Court for meeting with us, offering guidance about how to make contact with the courts, writing a letter introducing our project, and authoring a court order granting ICJI and our team access to the requisite data.

Secondly, we must thank the nearly two hundred individuals working throughout the state in the various juvenile courts (from judges and court clerks to probation chiefs and administrative assistants) for being gracious and letting us invade their space. We so appreciate your willingness to make room for us to work in, allowing us to use your computer systems, providing us access to your case files and for assisting us in locating difficult to find data. We realize that it can be uncomfortable having outsiders “peeking” into your files, but everyone we came in contact with was friendly, helpful and highly professional. Many thanks!

Adams County

Judge: Frederick Schurger
Contact: Tom Fox

Allen County

Judge: Stephen Sims
Contact: Karlene Thompson

Bartholomew County

Judge: Stephen Heimann
Contact: Kim Maus

Benton County

Judge: Rex Kepner
Contact: Marci Maris

Blackford County

Judge: Dean Young
Contact: Aaron Henderson

Boone County

Judges: Steve David and Judge Edens
Contact: Commissioner Sally Berish

Brown County

Judge: Judith Stewart
Contact: Jennifer Acton

Carroll County

Judge: Jeffrey Smith
Contact: Justin Sheagley

Cass County

Judge: Leo Burns
Contacts: Bill Hiatt, Dana Kelley

Clark County

Judges: Vicki Carmichael, Jerry Jacobi
Contact: Nancy Thomas

Clay County

Judge: Joseph Trout
Contact: Cathy Judd

Clinton County

Judge: Linley Pearson
Contact: Nancy Ward

Crawford County

Judge: Kenneth Lopp
Contact: James Grizzel

Daviess County

Judge: Gregory Smith
Contact: Maria Bedwell

Dearborn/Ohio County

Judge: James Humphrey
Contact: Shari James

Decatur County

Judge: John Westhafer
Contacts: Deborah Schilling, Janet Chadwell

DeKalb County

Judge: Kirk Carpenter
Contacts: Kelli Heath, Tim McAlhany

Delaware County

Judge: Richard Dailey
Contact: Ken Mace, Jodie Sprague

Dubois County

Judge: William Weikert
Contact: Andrew Long

Elkhart County

Judge: Terry Shewmaker
Magistrate: Deborah Domine
Contact: Janet Imel

Fayette County

Judge: Daniel Pflum
Contact: Laurence Smith

Floyd County

Judge: J. Terrence Cody
Contacts: Virgil Seay, Whitney Davis

Fountain County

Judge: Susan Henderson
Contact: Randy Hankins

Franklin County

Judge: Steven Cox
Contacts: Brian Campbell

Fulton County

Judge: Christopher Lee
Contact: Andrew Holland

Gibson County

Judge: Jeffrey Meade
Contact: Patty Dyehouse

Grant County

Judge: Dana Kenworthy
Contact: Mike Small

Greene County

Judge: Erik Allen
Contact: Jeananne Sanders

Hamilton County

Judges: Paul Felix, Steven Nation
Contact: Bob Bragg

Hancock County

Judges: Richard Culver, Terry Snow
Contacts: Wayne Addison, Joshua Sipes

Harrison County

Judge: H. L. Whitis
Contact: Elizabeth Day

Hendricks County

Judge: Jeffrey Boles
Contact: Gwyn Green

Henry County

Judges: Mary Willis, Michael Peyton
Contacts: Susan Lightfoot, Marcia Roll

Howard County

Judge: Lynn Murray
Contact: Don Travis

Huntington County

Judge: Thomas Hakes
Contacts: Vicki Stoffel, Beth Armstrong

Jackson County

Judge: Bruce MacTavish
Contact: Norman Phillips

Jasper County

Judge: John Potter
Contacts: Michael Sinks, IdaMae Winter

Jay County

Judge: Brian Hutchison
Contacts: Ronald May, Ellen Coats

Jefferson County

Judge: Ted Todd
Contacts: Julie Mitchell, Susan Carter

Jennings County

Judge: Jon Webster
Contacts: Linda Buchanan, Andrew Judd

Johnson County

Judges: Mark Loyd, Marla Clark
Contact: Suzanne Miller, Kristi Bruther

Knox County

Judge: Timothy Crowley
Contacts: Connie Lardle, Cara Gilmore

Kosciusko County

Judge: Duane Huffer
Contact: Ronald Babcock

LaGrange County

Judge: Scott VanDerbeck
Contact: LeAnn Anders

Lake County

Judge: Mary Beth Bonaventura
Contacts: Nancy Granger, Mary Galambos

LaPorte County

Judge: Thomas Alevizos
Magistrate: Nancy Gettinger
Contacts: Jamie Schumaker, Desiree Nichols, Bill Briggs

Lawrence County

Referee: James Gallagher
Contacts: Nicol Meister, Nedra Brock Fleetwood

Madison County

Judge: George Pancol
Contact: Katherine Holtzleiter

Marion County

Judge: Marilyn Moores
Magistrate: Gary Chavers
Contact: Robert Bingham

Marshall County

Judge: Curtis Palmer
Contact: James Pheibush

Martin County

Judge: Joseph Howell
Contact: Lisa Brittain

Miami County

Judges: Robert Spahr, J. David Grund, Daniel Banina
Contact: Susan Rice

Monroe County

Judge: Stephen Galvin
Contact: Christine McAfee, Stancie Cartwright

Montgomery County

Judge: Thomas Milligan
Contact: Kalay Colley

Morgan County

Judges: Christopher Burnham, Judge Hanson
Contacts: Carol Kinder, Brian Foley

Newton County

Judge: Jeryl Leach
Contact: Angelique Watkins

Noble County

Judge: Robert Kirsch
Contact Christopher Jansen

Orange County

Judge: Larry Blanton
Contacts: Dee Pedigo, Glenda Lamb

Owen County

Judge: Frank Nardi
Contacts: Donna McElroy, Barb Winders

Parke County

Judge: Samuel Swaim
Contact: Linda Cole

Perry County

Judge: Lucy Goffinet
Contact: Jamie Lloyd

Pike County

Judge: Jeffrey Biesterveld
Contact: Susan Stuckey

Porter County

Judge: Mary Harper
Contacts: Amy Beier, Alison Cox, Marc Brown

Posey County

Judge: James Redwine
Contact: Rodney Fetcher

Pulaski County

Judge: Michael Shurn
Contact: Hollie Schultz

Putnam County

Judge: Matthew Headley
Contact: Renee Marsteller

Randolph County

Judge: Jay Toney
Contacts: Jackie Hendrickson, Elizabeth Krieg

Ripley County

Judge: Carl Taul
Contacts: Shannon Schmaltz, Julie Gilland

Rush County

Judges: David Northam, Brian Hill
Contacts: Brian Hill, Katherine Custer

Scott County

Judge: Roger Duvall
Contacts: Devon Cunningham, Sandy Peacock, Fran Satterwhite

Shelby County

Judge: Jack Tandy
Contact: Terri Bodine

Spencer County

Judge: Jonathon Dartt
Contacts: Maralee Ruark, Jan Cochenour

St. Joseph County

Judge: Peter Nemeth
Contact: Charles Whetstone

Starke County

Judge: Kim Hall
Contacts: John Thorstad, Joe Short

Stauben County

Judge: Allen Wheat
Contacts: Michelle Reid, Roberta Gilbert, Pam Feller

Sullivan County

Judge: P. J. Pierson
Contact: Angela Jahn

Switzerland County

Judge: Gregory Coy
Contact: Jeff Theetge

Tippecanoe County

Judge: Loretta Rush
Magistrate: Faith Graham
Contact: Christy Bressler

Tipton County

Judge: Thomas Lett
Contacts: Barbara Burton, Carolyn Burris

Union County

Judge: Matthew Cox
Contact: Donald Isaac

Vanderburgh County

Judge: Brett Niemeier
Contacts: Bernie Faraone, Tim VanCleve

Vermillion County

Judge: Bruce Stengel
Contact: Anthony Heber

Vigo County

Judge: David Bolk
Magistrate: Dan Kelly
Contact: Deborah Kesler

Wabash County

Judge: Robert McCallen
Contact: Dallas Duggan

Warren County

Judge: John Rader
Contact: Judge Rader

Warrick County

Judge: David Kelley
Contact: Dottie Paulson

Washington County

Judge: Robert Bennett
Contact: Aaron Loudon

Wayne County

Judge: Darrin Dolehanty
Contact: Gina Glass

Wells County

Judge: David Hanselman
Contact: Gregory Werich

White County

Judge: Robert Thacker
Contact: Gina Goodman

Whitley County

Judge: James Heuer
Contacts: Jeanette Hearld, Janice Engle

We also extend our sincere thanks to our contacts, Kevin Cook at Computer Systems, Inc., Mark Rodriguez at Paperless Business Solutions, and Bill Gottlieb at Quest, for working with us to develop effective data extracts from the counties that use their products.

We would be remiss if we did not acknowledge the rest of our research team. Thanks to our data collectors: Justin Burris, Duran Brown, Sean Chamberlain, Joel Cooper, Adrienne Davis, Alan Darwactor, Hannah Daugherty, Linette Eck, Taylor Fones, Erin Fordyce, Charlie Frechin, Rachel Groff, Anthony Kamp, Ashley Kincaid, Yvonne Hernandez, Henry Nunnery, Meghan McVey, Julie Otis, Justin Potts, Joe Morgan, Trent Shaffer, John Shebash, Ryan Stewart-Muery, Traci Wilmoth, and Brent Worth.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....9

Chapter 1: Introduction 13

Chapter 2: Methodology..... 16

Chapter 3: Statewide Results 37

Chapter 4: Adams County 45

Chapter 5: Allen County 51

Chapter 6: Bartholomew County 57

Chapter 7: Benton County 63

Chapter 8: Blackford County 69

Chapter 9: Boone County..... 75

Chapter 10: Brown County 81

Chapter 11: Carroll County..... 87

Chapter 12: Cass County 93

Chapter 13: Clark County 99

Chapter 14: Clay County 105

Chapter 15: Clinton County 111

Chapter 16: Crawford County..... 117

Chapter 17: Daviess County 123

Chapter 18: Dearborn County..... 129

Chapter 19: Decatur County 135

Chapter 20: DeKalb County..... 141

Chapter 21: Delaware County..... 147

Chapter 22: Dubois County 153

Chapter 23: Elkhart County 159

Chapter 24: Fayette County 165

Chapter 25: Floyd County..... 171

Chapter 26: Fountain County..... 177

Chapter 27: Franklin County 183

Chapter 28: Fulton County..... 189

Chapter 29: Gibson County	195
Chapter 30: Grant County	201
Chapter 31: Greene County	207
Chapter 32: Hamilton County	213
Chapter 33: Hancock County	519
Chapter 34: Harrison County	2255
Chapter 35: Hendricks County.....	231
Chapter 36: Henry County	237
Chapter 37: Howard County	243
Chapter 38: Huntington County.....	249
Chapter 39: Jackson County	255
Chapter 40: Jasper County	261
Chapter 41: Jay County	267
Chapter 42: Jefferson County	273
Chapter 43: Jennings County	273
Chapter 44: Johnson County.....	285
Chapter 45: Knox County	291
Chapter 46: Kosciusko County	297
Chapter 47: LaGrange County	303
Chapter 48: Lake County	309
Chapter 49: LaPorte County	315
Chapter 50: Lawrence County	321
Chapter 51: Madison County	327
Chapter 52: Marion County	333
Chapter 53: Marshall County	339
Chapter 54: Martin County	345
Chapter 55: Miami County	351
Chapter 56: Monroe County	357
Chapter 57: Montgomery County	363
Chapter 58: Morgan County	369
Chapter 59: Newton County	375
Chapter 60: Noble County	381

Chapter 61: Ohio County	387
Chapter 62: Orange County	393
Chapter 63: Owen County	399
Chapter 64: Parke County	405
Chapter 65: Perry County	411
Chapter 66: Pike County	417
Chapter 67: Porter County	423
Chapter 68: Posey County	429
Chapter 69: Pulaski County	435
Chapter 70: Putnam County	441
Chapter 71: Randolph County.....	447
Chapter 72: Ripley County	453
Chapter 73: Rush County.....	459
Chapter 74: St. Joseph County	465
Chapter 75: Scott County.....	471
Chapter 76: Shelby County	477
Chapter 77: Spencer County	483
Chapter 78: Starke County	489
Chapter 79: Steuben County	495
Chapter 80: Sullivan County.....	501
Chapter 81: Switzerland County	507
Chapter 82: Tippecanoe County	513
Chapter 83: Tipton County	519
Chapter 84: Union County	525
Chapter 85: Vanderburgh County	531
Chapter 86: Vermillion County.....	537
Chapter 87: Vigo County	543
Chapter 88: Wabash County	549
Chapter 89: Warren County	555
Chapter 90: Warrick County	561
Chapter 91: Washington County.....	567
Chapter 92: Wayne County.....	573

Chapter 93: Wells County..... 579
Chapter 94: White County 585
Chapter 95: Whitley County 591
Chapter 96: Conclusions & Recommendations 597
Appendices: 603

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1988, the United States Congress acknowledged a serious problem taking place in the country's juvenile justice system—the skyrocketing numbers of African American youth ending up in state correctional populations. Congress' response was to develop legislation that required states to collect data about “disproportionate minority confinement” in the juvenile justice system. This legislation was part of the reauthorization of the Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Act (see 1988 reauthorization of the 1974 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act (JJDP) [Public Law 93-415, 42 U.S.C. 5601 *et seq.*]. Subsequent authorizations of the JJDP Act in 1992 (and later in 2002), re-defined the scope of data that was being collected so that states would be better able to address disproportionality when it occurred. More specifically, the later reauthorizations required states (interested in receiving Title II monies from the Federal Formula Grants Program) to collect data that encompassed all of the key decision points that take place in the juvenile justice system. This altered the previous focus from disproportionate minority confinement to a more inclusive disproportionate minority contact (DMC). The expansion of the focus was an important step towards ameliorating issues of unfairness and inequity in the system. In particular, the expanded focus allows states to examine whether disparate outcomes begin early in the process, continue throughout the process, and occur only at the decision to incarcerate, or determine if there are any significant DMC problems in the system at all.

In the fall of 2010, researchers from Indiana University's Center for Criminal Justice Research and the School of Public and Environmental Affairs at IUPUI partnered with the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute to conduct Indiana's DMC study. There are several reasons that necessitate this study: (1) it helps Indiana to remain in compliance with the DMC core requirement of the JJDP Act of 2002; (2) it gives the state an opportunity to see what the data reporting capability is in the various juvenile courts in the state; and (3) it allows ICJI personnel to assist local decision-makers and justice practitioners in identifying if DMC is a concern in their jurisdiction and how they should address the disparity that exists.

Indiana's DMC study is quite comprehensive—with data from more than 205,000 juvenile cases in the state. In fact, we collected information about all the key decision points (except arrest) for every juvenile case that was referred to each of the 92 juvenile courts in the state during the study period. Since our data is so comprehensive, we can both analyze and report the data in the aggregate (i.e., state level), or disaggregate the data and report it at the county level. Therefore, we are able to determine *if* DMC exists and *where* it exists in the state. Our charge was to first determine if the data could be collected and then collect and analyze it. In this report, we provide a basic “first look” at what is happening in terms of DMC in the state. We do not, however, provide any discussions about why DMC occurs, when it occurs. That is beyond the scope of this study.

Included below is a summary of what we learned about the data reporting capabilities of the various jurisdictions in the state, a brief recap of what needs to be done to address certain deficits, and an overview of what we learned from the DMC data.

Key findings related to data reporting:

1. We were asked to determine if the individual jurisdictions are in a position to report DMC data (on their own) to the state annually so that the state can report DMC data to OJJDP as required. In our judgment, the State of Indiana is not in a position to systematically report DMC data as required by OJJDP because the capacity to gather the data is non-existent. This should be a major area of focus for ICJI in its next three year DMC plan.
2. A statewide policy is needed that requires juvenile courts (and/or probation departments) to collect information (i.e., demographic information and the key decision points required by OJJDP) “in real time” for all cases that are referred to the juvenile court.

- a. Since significant federal and state resources are tied to this information and the counties are in need of these resources, we suggest that state consider introducing legislation that requires counties to provide this data if not quarterly, then annually.
 - b. Given thoughtful planning, it is possible for jurisdictions to work with vendors and the state to develop a means for providing DMC data when they report their other legislatively required data to the State Court Administrator's Office. More specifically, with some attention to the way information is entered into the various data management systems, we can foresee the development of a single interface that allows local jurisdictions to query their data and dump it into a web-based data repository that could generate the data needed for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.
3. Periodic, if not annual training of court clerks, court administrators, and office staff (employed by the courts or probation departments) with responsibility of imputing case data as a part of their regular duties, is desperately needed.
 4. We propose that the state enter into a personal services contract (for perhaps three years or so) to work with ICJI's Statewide DMC Coordinator and Youth Division Director to develop and implement a plan aimed at improving data reporting capacity in the state.
 5. Now that Indiana has a comprehensive DMC database to work with, it would be advantageous to engage some national experts to provide technical assistance to key stakeholders in the juvenile justice system that have significant DMC issues in their jurisdictions.
 - a. These same individuals could engage in trainings and continuing education opportunities at statewide judge's conferences and the annual probation officer trainings.
 6. Just as the FBI does with the Uniform Crime Reports, we recommend that the State require jurisdictions to use a common set of terms to identify the different decision points within the juvenile court process. Making sure that each jurisdiction is using standardized definitions and rules for the classification of decisions would allow for stronger analyses and would serve to sensitize juvenile court personnel to DMC issues. In essence, this would increase the state's ability to compare apples with apples.
 7. We also believe that legislation should be proposed that would stipulate that alcohol violations committed by persons under the age of 18, be filed as a status offense. This would decrease disparity in how these charges are filed and assert the juvenile courts underlying mission to help by requiring intervention that does little harm and is in the interest of the child.

Key findings related to the DMC data:

1. In Chapter three, we discuss the analysis performed on the data for 2009. This analysis includes data from every county.
 - a. Referrals to Court
 1. The strongest differences were found in the referrals to court. African-American juveniles are **3.23 times** more likely than White juveniles to be referred to juvenile court (and the RRI is statistically significant).
 2. Hispanic juveniles are also **1.14 times** more likely to be referred to juvenile court than White juveniles (and the RRI is statistically significant). .

3. The “All Minorities” category (which includes all racial/ethnic groups other than white) demonstrates that minority youth in the state are **2.46 times** more likely referred to juvenile court than their White counterparts (and that RRI is statistically significant).
- b. Detention prior to Adjudication
 1. African American juveniles are **1.65 times** more likely to be detained prior to adjudication than White juveniles (and that RRI is statistically significant).
 2. Hispanic juveniles are **1.28 times** more likely to be detained prior to adjudication than White juveniles (and that RRI is statistically significant).
 - c. Delinquency Petitions Filed
 1. African American juveniles are **1.08 times** more likely to have a delinquency petition filed against them than White juveniles (and that RRI is statistically significant).
 2. Hispanic juveniles are **1.07 times** more likely to have a delinquency petition filed against them than White juveniles (and that RRI is statistically significant).
 - d. Commitment to Indiana Department of Correction
 1. African American juveniles are **1.36 times** more likely to be sentenced to confinement in a secure juvenile correctional facility than White juveniles (and that RRI is statistically significant).
 - e. Each Decision Point was Significant for the “All Minorities” in 2009
 1. What this says in essence is that youth of color in Indiana in 2009, were significantly more likely to be *referred to the juvenile court*, more likely to be *held in secure detention* prior to adjudication, more likely to have a *delinquency petition* filed against them, and more likely to be *committed to the Indiana Department of Correction* than their White peers.
 2. Minority youth were also significantly *less likely to receive a diversion* and *less likely to be given a probation disposition* than their White counterparts.
 - f. The Over-representation of African American and Hispanics
 1. The over-representation of both groups at referral, detention, petition, adjudication, and commitments to state correctional facilities, as well as their under-representation at *diversion* and *probation*, was not surprising as these findings mirror previous DMC research in other states.
 2. What was unexpected was the under-representation of both African American and Hispanic youth at *adjudication* and *waiver* stages. We cannot easily explain why this is the case; however, a more definitive answer should become more apparent when the 2005-2008 data are analyzed.

2. An Overview of All of the Data

- a. Further analysis of this dataset is needed; however, we can say that DMC is evident in every year that we collected. DMC is evident in many counties as well.
 1. Approximately one-half (51%) of the counties had at least one significant DMC finding for at least one of the racial or ethnic groups, in at least **one of the years**, for at least one of the decision points.
 2. One-third (33%) of the counties had at least one significant DMC finding for at least one of the racial or ethnic groups, in at least **three of the years**, for at least one of the decision points.
 3. Nearly one-quarter (23%) of the counties had at least one significant DMC finding for at least one of the racial or ethnic groups, in **all five of the years**, for at least one of the decision points.
 4. As a rule, the largest differences appear between groups at the referral to the court stage.
 5. Finally, we should note that simply because 49% of counties did not have any significant differences between groups does not mean that they are exempt from DMC concerns. When there is data in the tables and there is no red font, then there was no DMC detected. However, in tables that have no data reported, all that we can reasonably say is that the population base of the particular groups and/or the number of observations is not large enough to perform an analysis.

This study was not charged with determining why youth of color are facing disparate outcomes across the various regions in the state; but, what it does tell us is that we have a serious problem that needs serious solutions to make certain that all youth in the state are dealt with consistently and fairly—regardless of race, ethnicity, age, gender or region of the state.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

While justice practitioners and criminologists began sounding the proverbial horn about overrepresentation of minority youth in the juvenile justice system in the early 1980s, it took Congress a bit longer to take notice. In 1988, Congress voiced its unease with the skyrocketing numbers of African-American youth who were ending up in state correctional populations by requiring states interested in participating in the federal Formula Grants Program to address “disproportionate minority contact” in the juvenile justice system (see 1988 reauthorization of the 1974 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act (JJDP) [Public Law 93-415, 42 U.S.C. 5601 *et seq.*]. Subsequent reauthorizations of the JJDP Act in 1992 and 2002 added other important advancements towards furthering the understanding of the phenomenon. Specifically, the focus on disproportionate minority confinement changed to an examination of disproportionate minority contact with all aspects of the juvenile justice system. State reporting requirements were also expanded and fine-tuned so that states could utilize the information they were collecting to affect change in localities where data demonstrated problems.

The study discussed in this report was produced through a research partnership between the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute (ICJI), the Indiana University School of Public and Environment Affairs (SPEA) and the Indiana University Center for Criminal Justice Research (CCJR). After winning a competitive bidding process, the lead researchers met with representatives from ICJI to refine the research approach. We eventually determined that we would be able to collect and analyze data for nine of ten (including population data) **Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC)** data points that the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) suggests should be considered when studying DMC. Furthermore, we determined that we could collect information for all nine variables in each of Indiana’s 92 counties.

All parties agreed that this project is critical to the state for a number of reasons. First, the project had a utilitarian purpose—its completion offered the State of Indiana an avenue to remain in compliance with the DMC core requirement of the JJDP Act of 2002. Perhaps more importantly though, was the other underlying purpose of the study. ICJI staff explained it was critical for them to assist local decision-makers and justice practitioners in identifying if, and at what point, DMC was taking place in their jurisdictions; and how they should address disparity if it occurred. The first “DMC obstacle” that ICJI had to overcome was that it did not have the requisite data to make such judgments. Therefore, the other main purpose of the project described herein was to work with ICJI staffers to develop a data collection plan that would meet their needs and collect the data points required under the 2002 reauthorization of the JJDP Act, and provide a “first cut” analysis of the data to determine if DMC is a concern in Indiana and if so, where DMC is more prevalent.

The CCJR research team was led by Dr. Crystal Garcia and Dr. Roger Jarjoura, Professors in SPEA and Research Associates at CCJR. Kathy Lisby, Senior Policy Analyst at CCJR, served as project manager and Charity Ely, (Financial Manager for the IU Public Policy Institute), was responsible for budget and contract compliance. An additional 27 people were hired to collect data. Data collectors were current or recent graduates from IUPUI, IU Bloomington, Butler and Indiana Wesleyan Universities.

Our research design employed a two-pronged approach: (1) determining what data the courts collected and identifying the most expeditious way to collect it; and (2) collecting and analyzing the data we were able to capture. Therefore, our first activity was to survey all juvenile court judges (or representatives they identified) about the data they collected and how they maintained it in their jurisdictions. In particular, we asked them to:

- identify the type of data their county collected and maintained in juvenile cases,
- name the type of case management system they utilized, and

- determine whether or not large electronic data dumps (e.g., data extracts) could be provided to the research team.

Second, the research team worked with the individual courts to collect information about how juveniles are processed through the system in their counties. The first data point collected was juvenile population information. The other eight data points corresponding to juvenile justice decision points identified as relevant in the DMC literature, were collected on-location in the counties by hand (from paper files or county computer systems), through electronic data dumps via data extracts, or through remote access to county case management systems. For a complete list of the variables collected, please refer to the methodology section of this report.

Three major research questions guided this study. The following questions were posed for the state as a whole and then for each individual county. Specifically, we wanted to know:

- Are youth of color processed the same in the juvenile justice system as their white counterparts?
- If not, at which decision points does there appear to be differences (i.e., over-representation or underrepresentation of minority youth)?
- If there appear to be differences, are these differences statistically significant?

The following report is separated into 95 chapters. Chapter 1 includes the introduction. Chapter 2 offers a detailed discussion of the methods we employed. Chapter 3 consists of an introduction to the results and an overall look at DMC statewide. Chapters 4 through of 95 present county level results. Each county chapter is between five and six pages long and consists of a discussion of the person hours required to complete the data collection and a data collection cost estimates. Also in each chapter are raw data tables and RRI tables for years 2005 through 2009. In three counties (Elkhart, Lake, Vanderburgh), we also included Relative Rate Tracking Tables for the calendar year 2009 at the request of ICJI. In the final chapter, Chapter 96, we suggest improvements for data collection endeavors in the future, statewide training

recommendations, avenues for providing technical assistance to jurisdictions attempting to address DMC concerns, and possible modifications to existing legislation that would improve not only DMC data, but also other important data collection efforts in the state.

CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY

The research team met with representatives from ICJI, the Indiana Supreme Court's Division of State Court Administration, and data management consultants numerous times to determine the best way to establish how juvenile court data was collected and managed in the various counties. Eventually, all parties agreed that someone in a position of importance in the judicial branch should write to all head juvenile court judges to introduce the study's purpose and the lead investigators. Chief Justice of the Indiana Supreme Court, Randall T. Shepard, agreed to author this letter (see Appendix A for a copy of the letter). Additionally, Chief Justice Shepard went further and drafted a court order establishing that ICJI (and CCJR as its agents) had a right to access all juvenile court records in the state. A copy of the court order is included in Appendix B. Soon after the Chief Justice's letter was sent, Drs. Garcia and Jarjoura distributed an email (see Appendix C) referencing Chief Justice Shepard's letter and requesting that the juvenile judges (or their designees) complete an online survey about court process and data collection practices in their county.

The *Survey of Juvenile Court Data Structure and Processes* could be completed online or by hard copy. Questions were broken into eight sections. Respondent were asked to identify who the county contact should be and whether or not they could provide comprehensive lists of juveniles who were referred to their court during the study period. They were also asked to describe their case management system. Other items of particular importance gauged whether

they collect and maintain the necessary demographic variables needed for this study in their cases files and how they determined the race/ethnicity of their clients. Finally, the survey asked how the county operationally defined key decision points in the juvenile justice process.

Our response rate for the survey was 100%. While this type of response rate is nearly unheard of in social science research, the positive outcome was most likely aided by having a letter and court order from the Chief Justice of the State's Supreme Court.

What the Initial Surveys Told Us

What we learned from the survey and follow-up conversations with key stakeholders in county court clerk and probation offices is included in Table 1-1 below. As seen in Table 1-1 on the next page, a large number of counties (35) utilized various methods and/or systems to manage their caseloads. However, 57 counties used one of three electronic case management system vendors: Computer Systems, Inc. (CSI), Paperless Business Solutions (PBS), and Quest.

CSI was specifically designed to meet the needs of court and probation staff. The software developer worked with our research team to create a process to extract the data so that it closely followed the structure of our data base. Our team was able to save a substantial amount of data collection time in that we only had to fill-in gaps in the data or determine why some data did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We also had to validate the data that we received. Clearly, *CSI* is an important case management vendor in the state. Thus, we see potential in working with *CSI* personnel to provide minor alterations to their system so that localities can easily input key data points required by OJJDP and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their DMC data can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

TABLE 1-1: Distribution of Counties by Data Management System Type

1 – No Site Visits Needed	2 –Site Visits Required (except for some Quest counties)			
<p><u>Carroll</u> – Opted to complete the data collection forms themselves.</p> <p><u>Greene</u> – Has PBS, but does not fully use it – Opted to complete the data collection forms themselves.</p> <p><u>Johnson</u> – Sent us electronic data from their own system – we had to send a few clarifying questions.</p> <p><u>Marshall</u> – Sent us electronic data – we had to send a few clarifying questions</p> <p><u>Switzerland</u> – a CSI county. However, they opted to hand complete the data collection forms.</p> <p><u>Warren</u> - uses no specific data management system. The judge compiled the data and sent it to us.</p>	<i>CSI</i>	<i>PBS</i>	<i>Quest</i>	<i>Other System</i>
	Brown Clinton Daviess Elkhart Gibson Henry Jefferson LaGrange Miami ^a Morgan Newton Perry Pike Putnam Randolph Ripley Spencer ^c Starke Switzerland Wabash Wayne	Bartholomew Benton Blackford Clark Crawford Dearborn Fayette Fountain Grant Hancock Huntington Jackson Knox Kosciusko Martin Montgomery ^b Ohio Parke Pulaski Shelby Sullivan Union Vermillion Vigo Washington Wells Whitley	Allen Howard Lake LaPorte Madison Marion Porter St. Joseph Tippecanoe	Adams Boone Cass Clay Decatur DeKalb Delaware Dubois Floyd Franklin Fulton Hamilton Harrison Hendricks Jasper Jay Jennings Lawrence Monroe Noble Ohio Orange Owen Posey Rush Scott Steuben Tipton Vanderburgh ^d Warrick White

^aMiami – a CSI county; however, because there was incomplete information in their CSI system, they completed a number of the data collection forms for us.

^bMontgomery – a PBS county; however, this was the first county we collected by hand. This was before we understood we could receive electronic data from either PBS or CSI.

^cSpencer – a CSI county; however, they do not use the system.

^dVanderburgh – has their own electronic system (Courtview); they provided us with electronic access to their system; no on-site data collection was necessary.

PBS, developed by a former probation officer, is also a strong case management system and is well-regarded by the counties that use it. Once we determined that a number of counties

were utilizing PBS, we contacted the developer and entered in to a contract to extract data for all but two PBS sites. One location chose to fill-out data collection forms themselves and we collected data in one county before we knew that it was a PBS client.

As was the case with CSI, being given a data extract from PBS saved our research team time and money. We had to find missing data, fill-in the gaps, determine why certain data points did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data and validate the data we were given. Training efforts by PBS with its user base would certainly assist the counties that use their product to move them into a position of being able to input information in such a way that the state (i.e., ICJI) can effortlessly extract the DMC data that OJJDP requires.

The largest jurisdictions in the state tend to use the *Quest* system. Quest is a relational database that is a great tool for court and probation staff to use. It is a complete, easy to use case management system. The lead researchers worked closely with Quest developers from the start of the project to make certain that we could gather the data we needed from jurisdictions that use Quest. For example, during the first few months of the project, Quest developers spent time creating queries and reports that would extract data for the purposes of meeting OJJDP data submission requirements. Moreover the lead researchers worked with Quest developers and Marion County's Juvenile Court staff on a previous project (adoption Annie E. Casey's Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiative) to improve the quality of data input and report generation. After working with Quest data extracts for the current project, it appears that our previous efforts to improve both the data input upfront, and the manner in which the data was extracted, were successful. In our view, Quest is probably best situated to begin working with the Supreme Court's Division of State Court Administration and CJIA to develop an interface that would allow the state to extract the data needed for OJJDP's DMC reporting requirements.

Other Things We Learned from the Initial Survey

The initial survey was helpful for a couple of reasons. First, it aided our project manager in determining who the appropriate contact person was in each county. Second, it provided our team a “snapshot” of how the various jurisdictions operationalized the decision points of interest. And third, it was through this survey that we initially identified the fact that many counties used common case management systems—though it took some investigation before the project manager learned the extent to which the majority of counties used CSI, PBS or Quest to manage their juvenile caseloads.

When we compared the responses to the survey and the reality of the data availability in the counties, we also learned that many jurisdictions overstated their ability to provide electronic data. Specifically, they either overstated the proportions of juvenile records they had in an electronic format for years 2005-2009, or were not able to provide a complete electronic data extract because many of the key decision points and demographic information (e.g., race and/or gender) was missing in their electronic systems and had to be gathered manually. In these instances, our team traveled to these jurisdictions and looked through paper files for the missing information.

We also learned that it was common for local staff to not have been trained on how to navigate all sections of their electronic case management system or how to run queries on the data (which would have enabled them to run reports on their data). There were some instances in counties where a key staff member assisted in the development of the county’s case management system and became the “data guru.” Typically this is a good thing. However, we saw instances where there was little cross-training for other court of probation staff. Therefore, when these “data gurus” moved on to other positions or retired, the institutional knowledge left with them.

This resulted in counties with no staff able to run complicated queries or high-level data base manipulation. We believe that if standardized data collection policies are not put into place statewide soon, ICJI will face difficulty when it comes time to collect more data to meet future OJJDP requirements.

Sample Description

ICJI instructed the research team to limit the scope of our observations to only those cases that were referred to the court as delinquency cases. Because the scope of the project was narrowed, we were not able to capture information about status offenders (i.e., youth that runaway, violate curfew, are incorrigible or truant, etc.), which are typically a significant proportion of the cases referred to juvenile courts. While, the “delinquency only” approach is limiting, it is a commonly accepted approach in the study of DMC. We realize that though we are working within the constraints of this limitation, we will still have enough power (i.e., observed cases) to find differences in how groups were dealt with and where disproportionality exists in large jurisdictions. On the other hand, we may not be able to find significant differences between groups in small counties, even when differences exist (i.e., disparate processing), because the number of observations in the data may be too small.

Additionally, we would like to note that the “delinquency only” constraint does pose some differences in the way some data are collected and processed. In particular, prosecutors in some jurisdictions chose to treat the illegal consumption of alcohol as a C misdemeanor—obviously these cases are included in the data we collected. However, a number of jurisdictions have chosen to treat this same offense as a status offense. Therefore, these cases do not appear in our databases. As such we are likely undercounting differences that may occur in how various groups are processed through the system when it comes to liquor law violations. Further still, as

we discussed above, utilizing the delinquency only approach may well result in a serious undercount of disproportionate minority contact when juveniles come to the court as status offenders. The feeling of many is that it would be time consuming and costly to collect information for all status offenders. Furthermore, because the courts' response to these types of offenses is often minor (or the matter is dropped altogether) it is not necessary to collect this information. However, understanding how the court responds to all juvenile offenders would provide the most comprehensive picture of case processing in the juvenile justice system. Conversely, there are some that are concerned about how the court would use the information and, therefore, disagree with the development of such a large database. We understand that two of the juvenile court's guiding principles are to work in the best interest of the child and to protect the child by ensuring a lack of public scrutiny. However, the court must also consider larger issues that might be at play in its jurisdiction. For example, it has been established that DMC is a major problem in different jurisdictions around the country. The only way to know if DMC is an issue in our state or in individual counties in the state, is to collect data about EVERY child that has had contact with the system. Therefore, in the future, we urge any jurisdiction that is considering an assessment of DMC to include arrest data (if possible), AND to include status offense information.

Our study's focus is on all "known delinquency cases" referred to Indiana Juvenile Courts during the 2005-2009 timeframe. No delinquency cases were excluded unless their referral date fell before January 1, 2005 or after December 31, 2009 (or no information could be found about them). Each county defined referral dates for themselves. For example, some counties used the date of arrest as the date of referral in their systems. Others used the date that a probation officer started the intake process. Further still, some chose to use the date that a letter

was sent to the guardians of the child at issue. The way in which a county determines an intake date is not important. What is important is that we had a means of determining if a case met our inclusion criteria (delinquency referrals between 2005 and 2009).

DMC Data Collection Instrument

A complete copy of the data collection instrument is included in Appendix E. Our team did not create operational definitions for each of the juvenile justice decision points for which data was collected. The decision points and the language used to describe them were taken verbatim from OJJDP's DMC Technical Assistance Manual, 4th Edition found at https://www.ncjrs.gov/html/ojjdp/dmc_ta_manual/.

While OJJDP suggests ten data points be included in DMC analyses when possible, we were able to collect data for all but one (i.e., arrest). The nine data points that we were able to capture are included below:

- *Population.* The baseline from which the rest of our data is measured against is the population of juveniles, age 10-17 years old in a particular jurisdiction. Our population estimates were downloaded from OJJDP EZPop website (<http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>).
- *Referrals.* Referral is when a potentially delinquent youth is sent forward for legal processing and received by a juvenile or family court or juvenile intake agency, either as a result of law enforcement action or upon a complaint by a citizen or school.
- *Diversion.* The diversion population includes all youth referred for legal processing but handled without the filing of formal charges. Youth referred to juvenile court for delinquent acts are often screened by an intake department (either within or outside the court). The intake department may decide to dismiss the case for lack of legal sufficiency, resolve the matter informally (without the filing of charges), or resolve it formally (with the filing of charges).
- *Detention.* Detention refers to youth held in secure detention facilities at some point during court processing of delinquency cases (i.e., prior to disposition). In some jurisdictions, the detention population may also include youth held in secure detention to await placement following a court disposition. For the purposes of DMC, detention may also include youth held in jails and lockups. Detention should not include youth held in shelters, group homes, or other non-secure facilities. *Therefore, in this study, only youth*

held in secure detention centers prior to adjudication for the instant offense were included in the data.

- *Petitioned.* Formally charged (petitioned) delinquency cases are those that appear on a court calendar in response to the filing of a petition, complaint, or other legal instrument requesting the court to adjudicate a youth as a delinquent or status offender or to waive jurisdiction and transfer a youth to criminal court. Petitioning occurs when a juvenile court intake officer, prosecutor, or other official determines that a case should be handled formally. In contrast, informal handling is voluntary and does not include the filing of charges.
- *Delinquency Finding.* Youth are judged or found to be delinquent during adjudicatory hearings in juvenile court. Being found (or adjudicated) delinquent is roughly equivalent to being convicted in criminal court. It is a formal legal finding of responsibility. If found to be delinquent, youth normally proceed to disposition hearings where they may be placed on probation, committed to residential facilities, ordered to perform community service, or receive various other sanctions.
- *Probation.* Probation cases are those in which a youth is placed on formal or court-ordered supervision following a juvenile court disposition. Note: Youth on probation or under voluntary agreements without adjudication should not be counted here; they should be part of the diverted population instead.
- *Secure Confinement.* Confined cases are those in which, following a court disposition, youth are placed in secure residential or correctional facilities for delinquent offenders. The confinement population should not include all youth placed in any form of out-of-home placement. Group homes, shelter homes, and mental health treatment facilities, for example, would usually not be considered confinement. Every jurisdiction collecting DMC data must specify which forms of placement do and do not qualify as confinement. *For purposes of this study, we considered secure confinement to be when a youth received a commitment to the Indiana Department of Correction in a state juvenile correctional facility.*
- *Waived to Adult Court.* Waived cases are those in which a youth is transferred to criminal court as a result of a judicial finding in juvenile court. During a waiver hearing, the juvenile court usually files a petition asking the juvenile court judge to waive jurisdiction over the case. The juvenile court judge decides whether the case merits criminal prosecution. When a waiver request is denied, the matter is usually scheduled for an adjudicatory hearing in the juvenile court. If the request is granted, the juvenile is judicially waived to criminal court for further action. Juveniles may be transferred to criminal court through a variety of other methods, but most of these methods are difficult or impossible to track from within the juvenile justice system, including prosecutor discretion or concurrent.

Data Collectors

Approximately thirty project personnel (including lead researchers) that came in contact with data signed confidentiality agreements which were submitted to the Indiana Supreme Court's Division of State Court Administration. A blank copy of the confidentiality agreement is included in Appendix F.

Nearly all of the individuals we recruited to work as data collectors were current students or recent graduates of the criminal justice programs at IUPUI, IU Bloomington, and Indiana Wesleyan Universities. One other data collector was a recent graduate from Butler University, though she was not a criminal justice student. Data collectors were interviewed by both lead researchers and went through the normal hiring practices of the university (which included criminal background checks). Once individuals were cleared for work, they underwent extensive training. Most training was done in groups, though several of the staff that were hired later on in the study, received individual training. Data collection took place in pairs. One individual read the case data, then the pair typically discussed how the data should be coded, and the other person inputted the data into small net book computers. Much of the time the coding choice was obvious; however, when questions arose, the teams contacted their supervisors who resolved the issue. Another important aspect of staff training was our effort to instill professional behavior, and understanding of and respect for the issue of DMC, and a working knowledge of the juvenile justice system. To that end, we held initial trainings as well as required "booster" training sessions. Our staff were also given contact information for all of their supervisors and instructed to notify their supervisors (including the project's lead investigators) if any court or probation officials had questions or concerns. Refer to Appendix G for a copy of the staff training manual.

Data Collection Procedures

From the beginning of this project, it was clear that there would be no "one size fits all" way to collect data. The state has 92 counties and 91 juvenile courts (Dearborn and Ohio

Counties share juvenile court resources but the case data is separate). County courts enjoy a large degree of autonomy. In order to be as efficient as possible, the project manager contacted the individual that completed the *Survey of Juvenile Court Data Structure and Processes* to determine the date and time the data collectors could visit the jurisdiction. The project manager also learned whom the data collection site leaders should interview upon arrival. Site leaders then met with the point person in each county to conduct initial interviews. To make certain that we received the correct information up front, site leaders discussed with the point people how key issues (such as defining the referral date and processing of liquor law violations) were dealt with in the county and where the team might locate “difficult to find data” such as waiver information.

The team also worked with each court to generate an exhaustive list of all juvenile delinquency cases referred to their courts for the five-year period between 2005 and 2009. In some ways, this was one of the most complicated, if not most critical aspects of the project. This was the case because the quality of the analysis, in large part, will be dependent on the quality of the data collected.

Going into the project all parties understood that it would probably be impossible to collect all the information we needed about every case. Our assumption was correct. It is likely that some delinquency cases that were eligible were not included in this study. For instance, if a case was ignored by the prosecutor and/or the probation office decided to take no action (and kept no paperwork) there may have been no record from which to collect data. This happened somewhat often. Some jurisdictions had lists of what they called “information only” files. These files usually contained names and allegations, but no other identifying or demographic information. When we made inquiries in these counties about how to find more information

about “information only” cases, we were almost always told that no further information was kept. Or when information was kept, it was retained for only a short time period. Having said that, court and probation staff worked closely with the members of our team to identify and locate all data that was retrievable.

The manner in which we gathered data varied for each county. Much of the data our team collected was by hand—sorting through hundreds if not thousands of paper files. However, in some cases we received electronic data for a few years but had to travel to the county to collect data for the remaining years. Often we had to travel to a county but were given a thorough list and of all individuals referred to the court during the study time period and were able to use the computers in the counties to look up the information that was needed. On the other hand, it was not uncommon for us to arrive at a county and be given no referral list. This was NOT because these jurisdictions were trying to create roadblocks, they simply did not have the means to create or recreate such as list. In these instances, we created our own referral lists by looking through all case files for files that were referred during the study time frame and then collected data from that list. The larger counties typically use the Quest case management system. We were able to obtain data extracts for all of the Quest sites and those extracts had a majority of the data we needed. No travel was needed for these jurisdictions; however, there were thousands of cases for which we were missing one or more data points, Quest Administrators and the appropriate decision makers in these counties gave us remote access to these data systems so that was could retrieve the missing data.

In the end, we were able to gather the demographic variables needed (date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity), information about the most serious charge, the juvenile population data that OJJDP provides and the eight major decision points that take place once a delinquency

case is referred to the juvenile court. Refer to Appendix E for a copy of the data collection instrument.

Data Validation Practices

Standard validation practices were used to cross-check the data that was collected for this project. Specifically, the research team used a five-pronged approach to ensure that the data was sound. First, all members of the research team participated in extensive training about the purpose and goals of the DMC project. Training was provided once the staff was hired and a “booster” session was provided for all staff half-way through the project to make certain that the data was being collected the way that it was intended to be collected. As part of the overall training, team members received a primer about how cases are processed through the juvenile justice system and why decisions are made the way they are made, though most of the data collectors were familiar with the workings of the court since most were students of criminal justice. Additionally, each person was given a case processing decision tree so that they understood how cases work their way through the system. Training manuals and Indiana Criminal Codes were given to each staff member and were also loaded onto all DMC Project net books that the data teams utilized in the field. Another part of the training included professional ethics and privacy standards. Training was taken very seriously and was critical to the validity of the data collected. Finally, at each site, one team member (considered to be most knowledgeable about the system and how the data should be collected), was designated as the site leader. The site leader backed up the data after each data collection session and then gave the data to the data supervisor. Also, the site leader was to be consulted if team members had concerns about how to code data in complicated cases.

Second, in order to ensure construct validity, initial interviews were conducted with the contact person in each county. During this interview, the site leader asked the contact person to identify how that county defined key terms related to the eight key decision points involved in juvenile justice system processing (i.e., referral, diversion, detention, petition, adjudication, probation, secure confinement and waiver) that are the focus of this study. For example, each county was asked to explain what information they used to determine the “referral date” in their files. Counties were also asked to explain what they considered to be secure detention and where that information would be located in their files or case management systems. Additionally, because localities can determine how they file “illegal consumption of alcohol” cases (i.e., they can file it as a status offense or a C misdemeanor) we asked the county to explain how they handle these cases to make certain that we included all of the appropriate referrals in our data base. Thus, if a county’s practice was to file these cases as status offenses, they are not included in this study. These comprehensive interviews were vital to the validity of the data collected as they allowed the research team to capture the data that the county had available to them and to understand how they used this information to act at each of the decision points.

Third, researchers were broken into teams of two to gather information from both paper files and computer files from court and probation case management systems (i.e., Quest, PBS, CSI, etc.). This was done so that one person could read the files and determine the correct answers to the questions about the decision points. The second team member recorded these answers into databases via DMC Project net books. The team approach was important as it allowed one person to focus on case information and the other to make certain that the information was accurately inputted into the database. This approach also allowed team members

to discuss issues that were complicated and come to a consensus as to how the information should be coded.

Fourth, designated team members ran diagnostics on the data. When oddities were discovered in the frequency distributions, the team consulted the raw data to see what cases were incorrectly coded. Where possible, the team members consulted the original case files to see what the correct information was and recoded the data.

Fifth, when problems were identified during the data cleaning/ diagnostics that could not easily be resolved, a team supervisor followed up with our contact person in that county. In some instances the contact person could provide the information needed by phone. In other cases, research team members returned to the county to validate or correct the information that appeared problematic. When data was simply not available, it was designated in the database as missing.

Strengths of the Data

The database we developed is an incident-based dataset. The structure and expansiveness of the data allows for a wide-scale, statewide analysis of juvenile justice decision-making. For example, the team could determine if, on a statewide level, youth of color are more likely to be detained pre-adjudication or less likely to be diverted. The team could also disaggregate the data to the county level to identify if there was parity in adjudication decisions and probation dispositions in a particular county. Both statewide and county level analyses are important as they are tools that can be used by those involved in the policy making, planning, program implementation and direct services areas of juvenile justice—particularly when there are concerns over equity, fairness and social justice.

The data took just one and one-half years to collect, validate and analyze. One might ask why it was necessary to expend such time and effort into amassing a database so large. The

simple answer is that the data collection and subsequent analyses were critical because it was the only way to determine (empirically) if there was over-representation of youth of color in any of the decision points during juvenile justice case processing in the State of Indiana during the study's time frame. Thus our project generated the largest, most comprehensive DMC database that Indiana has ever had and one of the largest state-level DMC databases in the country. One last benefit of our study is that while a number of DMC studies provide a one-year in time "snap shot," or a three year study of three decision points, our study examines nine decision points that take place during juvenile case processing in all Indiana counties over a five year period.

Limitations of the Data

Our team went to great lengths to identify cases for inclusion and to uncover data that was missing from data extracts and case managements systems. As previously discussed, we were careful to validate the data we possessed; therefore, we feel comfortable with the quality of data we were able to include in this report. However, we expect that some jurisdictions might produce data differently if they simply rely on extracts that they pull from their systems. The reason for this is that there were many missing data points in the extracts and there were instances when the logic of the extracts did not pull the correct data. When this occurred, we had to correct the problems in concert with the vendors' programmers. In the future, ICJI will have to be diligent about working with both the jurisdictions and the case management vendors to make certain that the data that is collected (from case files) and the logic underlying the queries that are run to create the data extracts are correct and that the data serves as an accurate depiction of case processing and decision making in the various jurisdictions. If special care is not taken, construct validity can become a serious concern.

Problems with official records. Using official records to conduct field research always introduces inherent limitations in data. More specifically, the key issue is that there is no way

for us to run quality assurance checks on the data when it is inputted by actors in the field. This means that we must trust the data that we find in records unless it appears to be clearly mistaken. Then it is sometimes possible to correct the mistaken information.

Missing the “missing.” It was fairly common for us to know that referrals had been made to the court, but be unable to find any information about the case. There are many reasons for this: fire, floods, expungements or decisions by jurisdictions to keep no records about juveniles for which they decided to take no action. However, it is just as likely that there were cases referred to the court that we never learned of—this is what we mean by missing the missing. These missing cases limit our data because we were not able to capture information about juveniles that likely came in contact with the police, school officials, etc., and whom were believed to have participated in problematic behaviors, but no records were created and no information recorded. Therefore, we do not know what they allegedly did, their race/ethnicity, gender, age and how juvenile justice officials responded to their behavior. Is it possible that these youth committed the same offenses for which others were given probation or community service? Yes, it is possible, but there is no way to know. In the future, it is critical that the juvenile courts and/or probation departments record basic demographic information about every child that is referred to the court, even when they are what many jurisdictions refer to as “information only files.”

Problematic Filing Systems. Another problem we encountered in the field was that there were jurisdictions unable to produce lists of all cases that were referred to their court during the study timeframe. What this meant was that we either had to look at every juvenile case that was in their case management system or look through every paper file that the courts had ever kept in order to identify cases that met our inclusion criteria. In a few counties, paper files were kept

alphabetically (but not broken down by year). This form of archiving required our team to wade through hundreds (and sometimes thousands) of papers files located in attics and basements to make certain that all the correct cases were included. This would not have been so challenging but for the fact that these files went all the way back to the 1930s.

Additionally, there were some jurisdictions that were not fully automated; therefore, they kept all information in paper files. It took an extraordinary amount of time to collect data in these locations as it was common practice to alphabetize case files that had not received cause numbers. As a result, our data collectors again needed to sort through thousands of cases decades old to find files that needed to be included. Although we attempted to be detailed in our search, it is possible that we missed cases during these file searches—which is also presents itself as a challenge to our data.

There were other systematic issues we identified in the data that also might make statewide DMC projections slightly problematic. Specifically, there was variability in how each county treats liquor law violations. For example, some counties treat these cases as C misdemeanors; whereas other counties deal with them as status offenses. This is a challenge to the data as it is a very common allegation in juvenile cases. Because some jurisdictions file these cases as status offenses, a large number of those cases and how the system responded to them, are not represented in this data.

A final systematic concern with the data relates to the counties that had little variation in the racial/ethnic composition of the juvenile population. Due to the lack of variation and the limited number of observations, there was not enough statistical power to test for meaningful differences between groups. What this means is that even if there are DMC concerns in these counties, we would not be able to find them because the analysis tool (and most approaches for

significance testing for that matter) cannot be run on data with such few observations.

Therefore, if a county has no findings, the only conclusions that can be reasonably drawn are that the base population or numbers of observations were too small to test.

Problems we found using Data Extracts from CSI & PBS. When juveniles were adjudicated delinquent and given a straight commitment to the Indiana Department of Correction, the information was not typically entered into the database. This makes sense given the probation departments are usually the agencies that complete the detailed information about juveniles and the juvenile might not have been on probation. However, this is problematic as it can result in an undercounting of delinquent findings and commitments to IDOC, which is part of the information that must be reported to OJJDP.

Interestingly, perhaps the most commonly omitted data point was whether or not a juvenile was waived to adult court. It was easy to complete this data field when a juvenile was adjudicated in juvenile court, but when waivers occurred there was rarely any information entered into the electronic case management systems and had to be found by searching other records. This leads us to suspect that this database undercounts judicial waivers as well.

The previous two issues are characteristic of the way the data was entered; the information was simply not entered into the database. However, when a youth is committed to the Indiana Department of Correction as a result of a probation violation, this was also not picked up in CSI or PBS extracts. In the future, if ICJI plans to utilize extracts from these two systems, they will need to work with the vendors to fix this or check each case by hand for accuracy.

Finally, many of the differences between the extract data and the data obtained by the researchers were caused by a lack of specificity in the entry options in PBS. The option of

‘other’ for case disposition created a situation in which the probation officers might know what happened to the juvenile, but there was no way for an extract to show what happened. More options in the case disposition entry area would alleviate the need to go into each file to see what happened to the juvenile.

Data Analysis

Previous DMC research across the United States has demonstrated that youth of color tend to be over-represented at the arrest stage, referrals to court, secure detention prior to adjudication, petitions filed, delinquency findings, and commitments to secure confinement. The literature also indicates that these same youth tend to be under-represented in diversions and probation dispositions. We have also learned from these studies that it can be challenging to compare how groups are processed through the juvenile justice system and to identify if specific groups are being treated disparately in accurate and meaningful ways. Moreover, it can prove to be even more difficult to compare processing practices and possible racial or ethnic disparities (i.e., over-representation) across jurisdictions within a state. OJJDP has developed an approach that allows such comparisons—the Relative Rate Index. The RRI technique:¹

involves comparing the relative volume (rate) of activity for each major stage of the juvenile justice system for minority youth with the volume of that activity for white (majority) youth. The RRI provides a single index number that indicates the extent to which the volume of that form of contact or activity differs for minority youth and white youth. In its simplest form, the RRI is simply the rate of activity involving minority youth divided by the rate of activity involving majority youth (Feyerherm, Snyder & Villarruel, 2009, p. 1-2).

The RRI method has been found useful in making comparisons of DMC in a single year, overtime and across jurisdictions. Therefore, we amassed the raw data for each decision point,

¹See Feyerherm, W., Snyder, H.H., and Villarruel, F. (2009). Identification and Monitoring. *DMC Technical Assistance Manual, 4th Edition*. United States Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Washington D.C., pages 1-2 and 1-21 through 1-28.

for five years for each county and used OJJDP's RRI calculation tool to discover the RRI for each decision point by the various race/ethnicity categories for each year in each county. Additionally, the tool conducted chi-squares tests to see if there were statistically significant differences between how white youth and Black, Hispanic/Latino, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, American Indian/Native Alaskan or other mixed race youth, were treated at each of the major juvenile justice decision points.

The major value that lies with the RRIs is that they are based on the computation and comparison of rates. However, when the base population is less than 30 (e.g., the number of Asian youth referred to the juvenile court equaled 10 in a year) or the target events are few (e.g., the number of Hispanic youth that was referred to court and had a petition filed was 2 in a year), any statistical tests run on these figures would be deemed unreliable. Therefore, when there are no figures in the RRI tables, the base rates or observations were too small to make assertions about them.

One final note should be added here regarding significance testing. When a rate is determined to be statistically significant, the way the finding should be interpreted in this study is that the difference in rates is unlikely to have happened by chance. OJJDP suggests the standard significance level, $p < .05$. Therefore, when we find statistical significance (as denoted by red font in the RRI tables) we should have confidence that 95 times out of 100, the differences that were found were not random. This does not mean, however, that because a rate is identified as statistically significant, the difference is necessarily large. It simply means that there is statistical evidence of a difference. On the flip side, when no significance findings are reported, one cannot immediately determine that there was no over-representation or under-representation

(depending of the decision point). In a number of counties in this state, there were too few observations to be able to test whether there was any DMC.

Chapter 3 presents the statewide RRI figures for the year 2009. In future analyses, we suggest that the statewide data be collapsed for a three year period to see if the magnitude of disparities increases even more than what is presented below.

CHAPTER 3: STATEWIDE DATA

As previously stated, we captured data that corresponds with all domains of interest (except arrest). What is unusual about this project is that we were able to collect data for so many decision points, and we were able to do this for all 92 counties in the state for a five year time frame. In total, we collected data from more than 205,000 cases. In fact, we know of no other DMC data collection project in the country that collected this amount of data for an entire state when there was not a statewide data reporting system.

In this chapter, we present state level data for the year 2009 only. In future papers, the state level data for the other years individually and collapsed will be presented. There are two tables and one figure in this chapter. In Table 3-1, we present the raw data for all delinquency cases referred to the juvenile courts in the State of Indiana in the year 2009.

Table 3-1: DMC Decision Points by Racial and Ethnic Categories

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic / Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 to 17)	703,909	558,031	85,443	48,189	10,311	0	1,935	0	145,878
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	39,561	24,088	11,904	2,373	103	7	42	1,044	15,473
4. Cases Diverted	21,686	13,538	6,276	1,265	52	4	21	530	8,148
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	10,506	5,210	4,248	657	27	2	13	349	5,296
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	17,875	10,550	5,628	1,108	51	3	21	514	7,325
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	13,838	8,488	4,037	869	40	2	17	385	5,350
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	10,554	6,761	2,873	595	30	1	11	283	3,793
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1,146	628	407	77	5	0	0	29	518
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	286	191	85	8	0	0	0	2	95

According to Table 3-1, White youth made up the vast majority of the adolescent population in Indiana in 2009 (79%). Conversely, approximately one-fifth (21%) of the adolescents in Indiana were one of OJJDP's designated DMC racial or ethnic categories. More specifically, African American youth made up the largest proportion of racial and ethnic minorities in the state at 12%. Hispanic youth made up almost 7% of the adolescent population, and the remaining categories combined, accounted for slightly over 3% of 10-17 year olds in Indiana.

Clearly, when all of the race/ethnic categories are combined, there appears to be an over-representation of minority youth at many important decision points in the juvenile justice system. These trends mirror those seen nationally, though the magnitude of the proportions, differ. As previously stated, minority youth equal one-fifth of the youth adolescent population in Indiana. Yet one-half of the youth held in secure detention, nearly half (i.e., 45%) of those sent to Indiana juvenile correctional facilities, and one-third of youth that were transferred to the adult courts by means of a judicial waiver in 2009 were minority youth.² This obviously suggests DMC. But, examining simple proportions does not tell the whole story.

Table 3-2 presents summary RRIs for the entire state for each decision point in 2009. In the "Data Analysis" section in Chapter 2, we provide a detailed explanation of what an RRI is and how it is calculated. We further explained that when a base rate or number of observations is too small, it is not possible to make any sort of stable assertion about the data. This was the case for Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaska Native, or Other/Mixed categories in Table 3-2 .

² As was discussed in the Data Limitations section of Chapter 2, "...the most commonly omitted data point was whether or not a juvenile was waived to adult court." Our team did what was possible to look for the missing data, however, it is possible that we missed some cases that were waived. Therefore, the judicial waiver figure we present may be an undercount.

Table3-2

Statewide RRI Compared White Juveniles, 2009 (92 Counties included)							
	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.23	1.14	0.23	*	*	*	2.46
4. Cases Diverted	0.94	0.95	0.90	*	*	*	0.94
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.65	1.28	1.21	*	*	*	1.58
6. Cases Petitioned	1.08	1.07	1.13	*	*	*	1.08
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.89	0.97	0.97	*	*	*	0.91
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.89	0.86	0.94	*	*	*	0.89
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.36	1.20	**	*	*	*	1.31
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0.83	0.40	**	*	*	*	0.72
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation


The population base and number of observations of African American, Hispanic and some decision points for Asians were adequate enough to allow for significance testing. The RRI's presented are for each of the decision points by each of the race/ethnic categories as they relate to white youth. Red font indicates that the RRI was statistically significant. For instance, African-American juveniles are 3.23 times more likely than White juveniles to be referred to juvenile court (and that RRI is statistically significant). Hispanic juveniles are also more likely to be referred to juvenile court than White juveniles. Although the RRI for Hispanic youth is only 1.14, it is also statistically significant. The same is true for Asians and the All Minorities category as well; however, the RRI for African-Americans for this decision points is quite high compared to the other groups.

Since referred to the juvenile court was the only decision point where we saw a race/ethnic category (i.e., Asian) with a significant RRI besides African American and Hispanic, it is fairly safe to say that the African American and Hispanic data are driving the significant results in the All Minorities category. As such, we decided to provide an alternative look at the data.

Figure 3-1 isolates the RRI values for African American and Hispanic youth. The bar chart more clearly demonstrates the magnitude of the disproportionality faced by African American and Hispanic youth in Indiana's juvenile justice system in 2009.

Perhaps what is most telling about Figure 3-1 is that it demonstrates the greater degree to which African Americans are referred to the juvenile court, held in detention prior to adjudication, are petitioned in juvenile court, and being sentenced to the Indiana Department of Correction than their white peers. The trend was similar for Hispanics, but the magnitude of the RRI's was much less (with the exception of petitions).

Figure 3-1. Relative Rate Index of African American and Hispanic Juveniles Compared to White Juveniles



Looking at the “All Minorities” category is also striking—each decision point for 2009 was significant. What this says in essence is that youth of color in Indiana in 2009, were significantly more likely to be *referred to the juvenile court*, more likely to be *held in secure detention* prior to adjudication, more likely to have a *delinquency petition* filed against them, and more likely to be *committed to the Indiana Department of Correction* than their White peers. Minority youth were also significantly *less likely to receive a diversion* and *less likely to be given a probation disposition* than their White counterparts.

The over-representation of both African American and Hispanics at referral, detention, petition, adjudication, and commitments to state correctional facilities, as well as their under-representation at *diversion* and *probation*, was not surprising as these findings mirror previous DMC research in other states. What was unexpected, was the under-representation of both at *adjudication* and *waiver* stages. We cannot easily explain why this may be the case; however, a more definitive answer should become more apparent when the 2005-2008 data are analyzed.

In the next 92 chapters, we report raw data and RRI tables for each county individually. We chose to report them separately so that ICJI could pull chapters, treat them as mini-reports and send them to each county. These mini-reports include one page that identifies the type of case management system that the county used and how the data was collected in the county, (e.g., by hand or through electronic data extract). The first page of these chapters also includes a brief discussion of the personnel hours needed to collect the data and the number of delinquency cases in the county. These figures were utilized to calculate the data collection rate (DCR). The DCR formula = # of data collection hours / # of cases*100. The DCR rate provided a way for our team to estimate what it cost to collect and clean data in each county.

We believe the DCR and cost calculations provide important information and should be considered as Indiana contemplates how to configure the collection of DMC data in the future. Specifically, the state must be able to ask and answer empirical questions related to DMC concerns if over-representation, disparity and/or unfair practices are to be addressed—this cannot be done without data. Furthermore, the collection of DMC data is required by the 1992 and 2002 reauthorizations of the JJDP Act. Failure to meet reporting requirements could result in the loss of Title II monies; these funds are critical to juvenile justice programming in the state and must be protected.

The final chapter (96) is titled “Conclusions and Implications.” In it we discuss what it will take for Indiana to be able to continue to report the data that is required by the JJDP and OJJDP and how to best use the data for decision making once it has the data.

Chapter 4: Adams County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: **Hand-Collected County**

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **208** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **216** personnel hours.

208 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 216 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **559** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([216/559] * 100) = 38.64$

Data collection took **38.64** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,376.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Adams County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,619	4,381	25	199	8	0	6	0	238
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	121	110	0	7	1	0	0	3	11
4. Cases Diverted	47	45	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	9	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	76	67	0	6	1	0	0	2	9
7. Delinquent Findings	68	62	0	3	1	0	0	2	6
8. Probation Placement	65	59	0	3	1	0	0	2	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.40	*	*	*	*	1.84
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,504	4,260	27	201	10	0	6	0	244
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	123	114	2	5	0	0	0	2	9
4. Cases Diverted	41	35	1	4	0	0	0	1	6
5. Secure Detention	15	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	82	79	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
7. Delinquent Findings	79	76	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
8. Probation Placement	71	68	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.38
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,510	4,256	32	207	9	0	6	0	254
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	127	116	1	9	0	0	0	1	11
4. Cases Diverted	54	47	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
5. Secure Detention	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	76	72	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	73	69	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	69	66	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	10	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.60	*	*	*	*	1.59
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,347	4,077	33	217	12	0	8	0	270
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	111	99	2	9	0	0	0	1	12
4. Cases Diverted	47	42	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	18	16	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
6. Cases Petitioned	63	56	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
7. Delinquent Findings	63	56	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
8. Probation Placement	61	54	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	18	13	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.71	*	*	*	*	1.83
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,374	4,099	45	211	11	0	8	0	275
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	77	68	1	6	0	0	2	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	28	26	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	49	42	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	49	42	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	44	39	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.71	*	*	*	*	1.97
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 5: Allen County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest - The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **176** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **184** personnel hours.

176 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 184 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **15,854** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([184/15,854] * 100) = 1.16$*

Data collection took **1.16** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,023.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Allen County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	41,804	31,379	6,825	2,554	864	0	182	0	10,425
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,206	1,398	1,492	206	12	2	3	93	1,808
4. Cases Diverted	1,736	809	764	106	6	1	3	47	927
5. Secure Detention	2,493	1,054	1,185	168	9	1	0	76	1,439
6. Cases Petitioned	1,470	589	728	100	6	1	0	46	881
7. Delinquent Findings	1,084	429	535	79	4	0	0	37	655
8. Probation Placement	611	256	283	47	2	0	0	23	355
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	70	16	47	5	0	0	0	2	54
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.91	1.81	0.31	*	*	*	3.89
4. Cases Diverted	0.88	0.89	**	*	*	*	0.89
5. Secure Detention	1.05	1.08	**	*	*	*	1.06
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	1.15	**	*	*	*	1.16
7. Delinquent Findings	1.01	1.08	**	*	*	*	1.02
8. Probation Placement	0.89	1.00	**	*	*	*	0.91
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.36	**	**	*	*	*	2.21
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	42,093	31,438	6,833	2,747	891	0	184	0	10,655
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,362	1,488	1,498	235	32	2	1	106	1,874
4. Cases Diverted	1,801	850	758	113	22	1	1	56	951
5. Secure Detention	2,596	1,120	1,166	197	25	2	1	85	1,476
6. Cases Petitioned	1,561	638	740	122	10	1	0	50	923
7. Delinquent Findings	1,160	484	548	84	3	1	0	40	676
8. Probation Placement	702	312	307	56	2	0	0	25	390
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	88	29	50	5	0	0	0	4	59
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.63	1.81	0.76	*	*	*	3.72
4. Cases Diverted	0.89	0.84	1.20	*	*	*	0.89
5. Secure Detention	1.03	1.11	1.04	*	*	*	1.05
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	1.21	0.73	*	*	*	1.15
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	0.91	**	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.87	1.03	**	*	*	*	0.89
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.52	**	**	*	*	*	1.46
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	42,006	31,214	6,780	2,899	931	0	182	0	10,792
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,302	1,593	1,393	196	22	2	6	90	1,709
4. Cases Diverted	1,839	898	763	118	11	2	5	42	941
5. Secure Detention	2,542	1,218	1,070	152	19	0	6	77	1,324
6. Cases Petitioned	1,463	695	630	78	11	0	1	48	768
7. Delinquent Findings	1,135	542	478	64	9	0	0	42	593
8. Probation Placement	699	326	298	44	5	0	0	26	373
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	70	21	45	3	0	0	0	1	49
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.03	1.32	0.46	*	*	*	3.10
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	1.07	**	*	*	*	0.98
5. Secure Detention	1.00	1.01	**	*	*	*	1.01
6. Cases Petitioned	1.04	0.91	**	*	*	*	1.03
7. Delinquent Findings	0.97	1.05	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	1.04	1.14	**	*	*	*	1.05
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.43	**	**	*	*	*	2.13
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	41,755	30,831	6,694	3,059	1,000	0	171	0	10,924
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3,339	1,512	1,452	210	30	5	7	123	1,827
4. Cases Diverted	1,784	828	732	123	21	3	3	74	956
5. Secure Detention	2,455	1,074	1,105	147	24	4	5	96	1,381
6. Cases Petitioned	1,555	684	720	87	9	2	4	49	871
7. Delinquent Findings	1,251	558	581	62	9	1	2	38	693
8. Probation Placement	691	309	313	41	4	0	1	23	382
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	98	37	50	5	0	0	0	6	61
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.42	1.40	0.61	*	*	*	3.41
4. Cases Diverted	0.92	1.07	**	*	*	*	0.96
5. Secure Detention	1.07	0.99	**	*	*	*	1.06
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	0.92	**	*	*	*	1.05
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	0.87	**	*	*	*	0.98
8. Probation Placement	0.97	1.19	**	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.30	**	**	*	*	*	1.33
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	40,907	29,746	6,555	3,424	1,010	0	172	0	11,161
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,645	1,234	1,074	177	29	0	7	124	1,411
4. Cases Diverted	1,168	573	451	77	7	0	2	58	595
5. Secure Detention	1,296	564	567	82	14	0	3	66	732
6. Cases Petitioned	1,477	661	623	100	22	0	5	66	816
7. Delinquent Findings	1,095	472	469	83	16	0	3	52	623
8. Probation Placement	578	264	234	45	8	0	1	26	314
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	57	12	32	3	4	0	0	6	45
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.95	1.25	0.69	*	*	*	3.05
4. Cases Diverted	0.90	0.94	**	*	*	*	0.91
5. Secure Detention	1.16	1.01	**	*	*	*	1.14
6. Cases Petitioned	1.08	1.05	**	*	*	*	1.08
7. Delinquent Findings	1.05	1.16	**	*	*	*	1.07
8. Probation Placement	0.89	0.97	**	*	*	*	0.90
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.68	**	**	*	*	*	2.84
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 6: Bartholomew County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: A large portion of the 2005 and 2006 data was hand collected because it did not transfer into PBS from the old data system.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **328** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **336** personnel hours.

328 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 336 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **3,056** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([336/3,056] * 100) = 10.99$

Data collection took **10.99** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,694.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Bartholomew County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,588	7,907	239	258	176	0	8	0	681
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	611	537	36	32	1	1	0	4	74
4. Cases Diverted	413	362	25	21	1	1	0	3	51
5. Secure Detention	86	71	6	6	1	0	0	2	15
6. Cases Petitioned	199	176	11	11	0	0	0	1	23
7. Delinquent Findings	177	159	8	9	0	0	0	1	18
8. Probation Placement	164	149	8	6	0	0	0	1	15
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	5	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.22	1.83	**	*	*	*	1.60
4. Cases Diverted	1.03	0.97	**	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	1.26	1.42	**	*	*	*	1.53
6. Cases Petitioned	0.93	1.05	**	*	*	*	0.95
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,637	7,908	238	274	208	0	9	0	729
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	780	701	36	33	0	0	1	9	79
4. Cases Diverted	513	467	20	21	0	0	1	4	46
5. Secure Detention	115	103	7	3	0	0	0	2	12
6. Cases Petitioned	266	233	16	12	0	0	0	5	33
7. Delinquent Findings	233	203	16	11	0	0	0	3	30
8. Probation Placement	198	173	15	7	0	0	0	3	25
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.71	1.36	**	*	*	*	1.22
4. Cases Diverted	0.83	0.96	--	*	*	*	0.87
5. Secure Detention	1.32	**	--	*	*	*	1.03
6. Cases Petitioned	1.34	1.09	--	*	*	*	1.26
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	1.04
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,674	7,894	251	288	231	0	10	0	780
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	490	455	16	18	0	0	0	1	35
4. Cases Diverted	242	224	10	8	0	0	0	0	18
5. Secure Detention	87	82	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
6. Cases Petitioned	248	231	6	10	0	0	0	1	17
7. Delinquent Findings	207	195	4	7	0	0	0	1	12
8. Probation Placement	182	171	4	6	0	0	0	1	11
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.11	1.08	**	*	*	*	0.78
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	1.04
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	0.96
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,592	7,743	268	299	271	0	11	0	849
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	470	411	39	15	1	0	0	4	59
4. Cases Diverted	275	243	19	8	1	0	0	4	32
5. Secure Detention	83	71	8	4	0	0	0	0	12
6. Cases Petitioned	195	168	20	7	0	0	0	0	27
7. Delinquent Findings	180	155	19	6	0	0	0	0	25
8. Probation Placement	180	155	19	6	0	0	0	0	25
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.74	0.95	**	*	*	*	1.31
4. Cases Diverted	0.82	**	**	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	1.19	**	**	*	*	*	1.18
6. Cases Petitioned	1.25	**	**	*	*	*	1.12
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,385	7,504	261	338	271	0	11	0	881
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	437	384	34	19	0	0	0	0	53
4. Cases Diverted	287	257	18	12	0	0	0	0	30
5. Secure Detention	84	76	4	4	0	0	0	0	8
6. Cases Petitioned	150	127	16	7	0	0	0	0	23
7. Delinquent Findings	139	120	13	6	0	0	0	0	19
8. Probation Placement	139	120	13	6	0	0	0	0	19
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.55	1.10	**	*	*	*	1.18
4. Cases Diverted	0.79	**	--	*	*	*	0.85
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	0.76
6. Cases Petitioned	1.42	**	--	*	*	*	1.31
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 7: Benton County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **8** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **16** personnel hours.

8 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing =16 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **227** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([16/227]*100)= 7.05$

Data collection took **7.05** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$176.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Benton County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,124	1,054	9	60	1	0	0	0	70
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	37	35	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	28	26	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	28	26	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	28	26	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,137	1,055	20	60	2	0	0	0	82
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	35	33	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	26	24	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,094	1,006	22	63	3	0	0	0	88
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	63	61	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	41	40	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	22	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	20	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	20	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,074	985	23	63	3	0	0	0	89
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	41	37	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	23	20	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	18	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,048	934	28	82	4	0	0	0	114
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	43	40	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	23	20	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 8: Blackford County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **24** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **510** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([24/510] * 100) = 4.7$

Data collection took **4.7** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Blackford County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,488	1,462	6	13	3	0	4	0	26
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	80	79	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	72	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,461	1,432	9	13	3	0	4	0	29
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	100	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	80	79	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,416	1,387	11	13	2	0	3	0	29
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	136	135	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	107	107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	29	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,382	1,352	14	11	2	0	3	0	30
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	108	106	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	87	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	21	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,458	1,408	28	18	1	0	3	0	50
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	86	85	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	64	63	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 9: Boone County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: **Hand-Collected County**

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **104** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **112** personnel hours.

$$104 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 112 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **776** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([112/776] * 100) = 14.43$$

Data collection took **14.43** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,232.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Boone County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,516	6,188	120	142	52	0	14	0	328
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	132	125	1	1	1	0	2	2	7
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	132	125	1	1	1	0	2	2	7
7. Delinquent Findings	106	100	0	1	1	0	2	2	6
8. Probation Placement	96	92	0	1	1	0	1	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.06
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,633	6,249	142	165	64	0	13	0	384
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	175	169	2	2	1	0	0	1	6
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	39	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	174	168	2	2	1	0	0	1	6
7. Delinquent Findings	144	140	2	1	1	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	129	126	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.58
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,753	6,325	164	179	73	0	12	0	428
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	145	139	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	145	139	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	120	116	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	116	112	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.64
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,754	6,284	165	213	82	0	10	0	470
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	146	136	7	1	0	0	0	2	10
4. Cases Diverted	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	142	132	7	1	0	0	0	2	10
7. Delinquent Findings	117	109	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
8. Probation Placement	111	104	5	0	0	0	0	2	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.96	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,317	6,745	212	247	105	0	8	0	572
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	178	170	4	1	1	0	1	1	8
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	178	170	4	1	1	0	1	1	8
7. Delinquent Findings	148	143	2	1	0	0	1	1	5
8. Probation Placement	134	130	1	1	0	0	1	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	15	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.55
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 10: Brown County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **12** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **20** personnel hours.

12 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 20 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **524** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([20/524] * 100) = 3.82$

Data collection took **3.82** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$220.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Brown County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,603	1,548	23	19	2	0	11	0	55
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	65	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,566	1,506	27	20	2	0	11	0	60
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	102	100	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
4. Cases Diverted	62	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	40	38	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
7. Delinquent Findings	34	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
8. Probation Placement	34	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,485	1,424	30	19	1	0	11	0	61
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	83	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	46	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,436	1,373	31	19	2	0	11	0	63
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	95	94	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	47	46	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	48	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,488	1,421	42	22	2	0	1	0	67
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	86	83	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
4. Cases Diverted	54	53	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	32	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
7. Delinquent Findings	26	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	24	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 11: Carroll County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **8** personnel hours spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **16** personnel hours.

8 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 16 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **298** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([16/298] * 100) = 5.37$

Data collection took **5.37** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$176.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Carroll County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,386	2,257	18	106	0	0	5	0	129
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,405	2,252	23	125	0	0	5	0	153
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	72	67	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	39	35	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	33	32	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	29	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,334	2,174	26	128	1	0	5	0	160
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	66	59	5	2	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	39	34	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	27	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	24	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	23	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.61
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,251	2,078	28	141	1	0	3	0	173
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	60	57	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	45	43	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	15	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,350	2,177	33	136	1	0	3	0	173
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	50	45	1	3	0	0	0	1	5
4. Cases Diverted	29	27	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	21	18	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
7. Delinquent Findings	20	18	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	19	17	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 12: Cass County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **120** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **128** personnel hours.

120 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 128 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **665** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([128/665]*100)= 19.25$

Data collection took **19.25** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,408.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Cass County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,562	3,949	136	432	26	0	19	0	613
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	130	97	4	25	0	0	1	3	33
4. Cases Diverted	66	47	4	11	0	0	1	3	19
5. Secure Detention	41	28	1	12	0	0	0	0	13
6. Cases Petitioned	64	50	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
7. Delinquent Findings	47	36	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
8. Probation Placement	34	25	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	2.36	*	*	*	*	2.19
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.19
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.36
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.82
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,455	3,792	143	479	22	0	19	0	663
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	121	92	11	17	1	0	0	0	29
4. Cases Diverted	48	37	3	7	1	0	0	0	11
5. Secure Detention	30	22	2	6	0	0	0	0	8
6. Cases Petitioned	73	55	8	10	0	0	0	0	18
7. Delinquent Findings	58	42	8	8	0	0	0	0	16
8. Probation Placement	44	35	2	7	0	0	0	0	9
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.17	1.46	*	*	*	*	1.80
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,438	3,747	162	488	21	0	20	0	691
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	140	114	7	17	1	0	0	1	26
4. Cases Diverted	33	30	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	24	22	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	107	84	6	15	1	0	0	1	23
7. Delinquent Findings	57	46	3	8	0	0	0	0	11
8. Probation Placement	51	41	3	7	0	0	0	0	10
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.42	1.15	*	*	*	*	1.24
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,371	3,653	157	519	25	0	17	0	718
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	139	99	7	30	1	0	0	2	40
4. Cases Diverted	57	42	1	13	0	0	0	1	15
5. Secure Detention	25	16	1	8	0	0	0	0	9
6. Cases Petitioned	82	57	6	17	1	0	0	1	25
7. Delinquent Findings	71	48	6	15	1	0	0	1	23
8. Probation Placement	59	40	5	13	1	0	0	0	19
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.65	2.13	*	*	*	*	2.06
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.88
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.39
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.09
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,493	3,663	176	601	38	0	15	0	830
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	135	109	1	21	1	0	0	3	26
4. Cases Diverted	67	53	1	13	0	0	0	0	14
5. Secure Detention	13	10	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	68	56	0	8	1	0	0	3	12
7. Delinquent Findings	39	29	0	6	1	0	0	3	10
8. Probation Placement	34	27	0	4	1	0	0	2	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.17	*	*	*	*	1.05
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 13: Clark County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **368** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **376** personnel hours.

368 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 376 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,838** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([376/2,838] * 100) = 13.25$

Data collection took **13.25** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$4,136.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Clark County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,760	9,918	1,168	290	77	0	27	0	1,562
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	409	263	136	7	0	0	0	3	146
4. Cases Diverted	235	155	73	5	0	0	0	2	80
5. Secure Detention	194	114	76	4	0	0	0	0	80
6. Cases Petitioned	174	108	63	2	0	0	0	1	66
7. Delinquent Findings	125	80	44	0	0	0	0	1	45
8. Probation Placement	118	79	38	0	0	0	0	1	39
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.07	0.84	*	*	*	*	3.27
4. Cases Diverted	0.91	**	*	*	*	*	0.93
5. Secure Detention	1.29	**	*	*	*	*	1.26
6. Cases Petitioned	1.13	**	*	*	*	*	1.10
7. Delinquent Findings	0.94	**	*	*	*	*	0.92
8. Probation Placement	0.87	--	*	*	*	*	0.88
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,209	9,377	1,229	320	78	0	25	0	1,652
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	454	301	137	12	1	0	0	3	153
4. Cases Diverted	240	170	63	4	1	0	0	2	70
5. Secure Detention	211	127	77	7	0	0	0	0	84
6. Cases Petitioned	214	131	74	8	0	0	0	1	83
7. Delinquent Findings	142	92	47	3	0	0	0	0	50
8. Probation Placement	138	90	46	2	0	0	0	0	48
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.47	1.17	*	*	*	*	2.89
4. Cases Diverted	0.81	**	*	*	*	*	0.81
5. Secure Detention	1.33	**	*	*	*	*	1.30
6. Cases Petitioned	1.24	**	*	*	*	*	1.25
7. Delinquent Findings	0.90	**	*	*	*	*	0.86
8. Probation Placement	1.00	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,140	9,455	1,247	334	81	0	23	0	1,685
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	390	273	101	16	0	0	0	0	117
4. Cases Diverted	198	144	50	4	0	0	0	0	54
5. Secure Detention	182	115	58	9	0	0	0	0	67
6. Cases Petitioned	192	129	51	12	0	0	0	0	63
7. Delinquent Findings	155	107	38	10	0	0	0	0	48
8. Probation Placement	145	101	34	10	0	0	0	0	44
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.81	1.66	*	*	*	*	2.40
4. Cases Diverted	0.94	**	*	*	*	*	0.88
5. Secure Detention	1.36	**	*	*	*	*	1.36
6. Cases Petitioned	1.07	**	*	*	*	*	1.14
7. Delinquent Findings	0.90	**	*	*	*	*	0.92
8. Probation Placement	0.95	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,303	9,559	1,268	364	89	0	23	0	1,744
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	724	535	135	48	1	0	0	5	189
4. Cases Diverted	452	346	78	24	1	0	0	3	106
5. Secure Detention	147	104	31	11	1	0	0	0	43
6. Cases Petitioned	272	189	57	24	0	0	0	2	83
7. Delinquent Findings	219	156	41	20	0	0	0	2	63
8. Probation Placement	204	148	37	18	0	0	0	1	56
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.90	2.36	*	*	*	*	1.94
4. Cases Diverted	0.89	0.77	*	*	*	*	0.87
5. Secure Detention	1.18	1.18	*	*	*	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned	1.20	1.42	*	*	*	*	1.24
7. Delinquent Findings	0.87	**	*	*	*	*	0.92
8. Probation Placement	0.95	**	*	*	*	*	0.94
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,134	9,377	1,250	392	90	0	25	0	1,757
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	861	600	211	45	2	0	0	3	261
4. Cases Diverted	543	376	134	32	1	0	0	0	167
5. Secure Detention	182	131	42	8	0	0	0	1	51
6. Cases Petitioned	318	224	77	13	1	0	0	3	94
7. Delinquent Findings	273	194	64	11	1	0	0	3	79
8. Probation Placement	243	166	62	11	1	0	0	3	77
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.64	1.79	*	*	*	*	2.32
4. Cases Diverted	1.01	1.13	*	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	0.91	0.81	*	*	*	*	0.89
6. Cases Petitioned	0.98	0.77	*	*	*	*	0.96
7. Delinquent Findings	0.96	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	1.13	**	*	*	*	*	1.14
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 14: Clay County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **192** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **200** personnel hours.

192 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 200 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **480** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([200/480] * 100) = 41.67$*

Data collection took **41.67** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,200.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Clay County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,129	3,024	41	36	19	0	9	0	105
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	102	96	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	18	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	35	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	84	79	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	63	59	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	56	53	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.61	**	*	*	*	*	1.80
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,087	2,979	45	35	20	0	8	0	108
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	88	85	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	43	41	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	75	73	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	60	58	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	55	53	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,037	2,932	47	32	20	0	6	0	105
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	95	92	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	13	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	44	42	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	81	79	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	58	57	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	57	56	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	15	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,963	2,858	46	35	18	0	6	0	105
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	82	77	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	20	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	27	23	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	62	58	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	50	47	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	42	39	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,015	2,876	56	45	32	0	6	0	139
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	113	108	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
4. Cases Diverted	22	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	43	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	91	87	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	69	66	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	62	59	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 15: Clinton County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems Incorporated – This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **56** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **64** personnel hours.

56 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 64 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,707** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

*$([64/1,707] * 100) = 3.75$*

Data collection took **3.75** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$704.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Clinton County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,113	3,574	28	501	4	0	6	0	539
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	297	247	4	41	0	0	0	5	50
4. Cases Diverted	203	162	4	34	0	0	0	3	41
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	94	85	0	7	0	0	0	2	9
7. Delinquent Findings	86	79	0	6	0	0	0	2	8
8. Probation Placement	71	65	0	4	0	0	0	2	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.18	*	*	*	*	1.34
4. Cases Diverted	*	1.26	*	*	*	*	1.25
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	0.50	*	*	*	*	0.52
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,011	3,442	29	530	5	0	5	0	569
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	299	255	6	37	0	0	0	1	44
4. Cases Diverted	217	181	6	30	0	0	0	0	36
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	82	74	0	7	0	0	0	1	8
7. Delinquent Findings	78	71	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
8. Probation Placement	73	67	0	5	0	0	0	1	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	19	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.94	*	*	*	*	1.04
4. Cases Diverted	*	1.14	*	*	*	*	1.15
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	0.65	*	*	*	*	0.63
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,955	3,345	30	570	6	0	4	0	610
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	339	292	7	38	0	0	0	2	47
4. Cases Diverted	242	213	5	23	0	0	0	1	29
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	99	81	2	15	0	0	0	1	18
7. Delinquent Findings	85	70	2	12	0	0	0	1	15
8. Probation Placement	76	61	2	12	0	0	0	1	15
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.76	*	*	*	*	0.88
4. Cases Diverted	*	0.83	*	*	*	*	0.85
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.42	*	*	*	*	1.38
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,885	3,222	30	622	8	0	3	0	663
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	258	225	2	26	0	0	0	5	33
4. Cases Diverted	161	139	1	19	0	0	0	2	22
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	97	86	1	7	0	0	0	3	11
7. Delinquent Findings	77	71	0	3	0	0	0	3	6
8. Probation Placement	57	52	0	2	0	0	0	3	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	38	35	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.60	*	*	*	*	0.71
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.08
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	0.87
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,859	3,057	47	743	9	0	3	0	802
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	271	238	3	24	0	0	0	6	33
4. Cases Diverted	184	154	3	21	0	0	0	6	30
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	85	82	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	73	71	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	51	49	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.41	*	*	*	*	0.53
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.40
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 16: Crawford County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **156** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **164** personnel hours.

156 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 164 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **291** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([164/291] * 100) = 55.22$

Data collection took **55.22** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,804.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Crawford County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,296	1,266	4	19	4	0	3	0	30
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,268	1,238	4	21	3	0	2	0	30
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	65	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	48	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,232	1,199	4	23	4	0	2	0	33
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	60	58	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	23	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,150	1,116	4	24	6	0	0	0	34
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	51	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,092	1,049	12	25	5	0	1	0	43
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 17: Daviess County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **76** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **84** personnel hours.

76 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 84 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **953** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

*$([84/953] * 100) = 8.81$*

Data collection took **8.81** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$924.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Daviess County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,810	3,644	36	109	8	0	13	0	166
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	138	128	5	5	0	0	0	0	10
4. Cases Diverted	45	42	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	19	15	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	93	86	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	92	85	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	92	85	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.71
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,795	3,624	35	117	5	0	14	0	171
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	121	116	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	32	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	89	85	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	89	85	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	89	85	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,723	3,559	33	112	5	0	14	0	164
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	188	183	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	71	66	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	117	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	113	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	111	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,669	3,489	37	123	6	0	14	0	180
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	125	116	1	7	0	1	0	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	47	41	1	4	0	1	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	78	75	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	77	74	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	77	74	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.71	*	*	*	*	1.50
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,618	3,416	43	138	7	0	14	0	202
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	142	135	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	59	58	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	27	24	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	83	77	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	80	74	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	80	74	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.88
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 18: Dearborn County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **15** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **23** personnel hours.

15 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 23 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **518** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([23/518] * 100) = 4.44$

Data collection took **4.44** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$253.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Dearborn County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,987	5,830	87	42	16	0	12	0	157
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	81	77	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	33	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	78	75	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	76	73	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	72	69	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,908	5,746	91	49	15	0	7	0	162
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	118	116	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	44	43	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	114	112	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	112	110	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	104	102	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,849	5,681	90	53	18	0	7	0	168
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	114	110	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	9	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	32	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	104	102	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	104	102	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	100	98	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,712	5,531	93	63	18	0	7	0	181
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	112	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	38	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	110	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	110	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	104	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,873	5,635	123	85	22	0	8	0	238
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	93	87	2	0	3	0	1	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	36	32	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	92	86	2	0	3	0	1	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	89	84	1	0	3	0	1	0	5
8. Probation Placement	83	78	1	0	3	0	1	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.63
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 19: Decatur County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **160** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **168** personnel hours.

160 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 168 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **506** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([168/506] * 100) = 33.20$

Data collection took **33.20** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,848.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Decatur County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,820	2,740	14	24	40	0	2	0	80
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	106	101	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	39	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	67	62	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	57	52	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	36	34	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	10	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,826	2,733	16	25	50	0	2	0	93
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	87	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	58	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	53	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,773	2,666	16	29	60	0	2	0	107
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	130	128	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	94	92	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	91	89	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	63	61	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,764	2,644	16	32	70	0	2	0	120
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	104	101	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	39	37	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	8	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
6. Cases Petitioned	65	64	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	58	57	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,896	2,742	32	58	62	0	2	0	154
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	79	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	39	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 20: DeKalb County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **156** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **164** personnel hours.

156 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 164 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,087** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([164/1,087] * 100) = 15.09$*

Data collection took **15.09** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,804.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in DeKalb County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,075	4,877	49	122	17	0	10	0	198
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	192	188	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	134	132	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	58	56	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	42	40	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	24	22	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,095	4,888	61	119	15	0	12	0	207
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	220	212	1	6	0	0	0	1	8
4. Cases Diverted	145	138	1	5	0	0	0	1	7
5. Secure Detention	18	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	75	74	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	51	50	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	44	43	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.16	*	*	*	*	0.89
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,106	4,879	65	128	22	0	12	0	227
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	186	183	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	119	118	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	67	65	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
7. Delinquent Findings	38	36	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,064	4,818	74	131	28	0	13	0	246
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	223	217	0	4	0	0	0	2	6
4. Cases Diverted	128	123	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
5. Secure Detention	13	11	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
6. Cases Petitioned	95	94	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	48	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	33	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.54
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,110	4,846	72	149	30	0	13	0	264
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	266	262	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	203	202	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	16	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	63	60	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 21: Delaware County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **96** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **104** personnel hours.

96 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 104 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,727** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([104/2,727] * 100) = 3.81$

Data collection took **3.81** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,144.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Delaware County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,392	9,880	1,147	220	99	0	46	0	1,512
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	406	298	97	4	0	0	0	7	108
4. Cases Diverted	190	149	38	1	0	0	0	2	41
5. Secure Detention	156	103	47	1	0	0	0	5	53
6. Cases Petitioned	216	149	59	3	0	0	0	5	67
7. Delinquent Findings	178	128	47	2	0	0	0	1	50
8. Probation Placement	162	118	41	2	0	0	0	1	44
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.80	**	*	*	*	*	2.37
4. Cases Diverted	0.78	**	*	*	*	*	0.76
5. Secure Detention	1.40	**	*	*	*	*	1.42
6. Cases Petitioned	1.22	**	*	*	*	*	1.24
7. Delinquent Findings	0.93	**	*	*	*	*	0.87
8. Probation Placement	0.95	**	*	*	*	*	0.95
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,172	9,688	1,125	210	104	0	45	0	1,484
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	571	426	132	3	0	0	1	9	145
4. Cases Diverted	315	253	58	2	0	0	0	2	62
5. Secure Detention	204	131	69	1	0	0	0	3	73
6. Cases Petitioned	256	173	74	1	0	0	1	7	83
7. Delinquent Findings	233	159	66	0	0	0	1	7	74
8. Probation Placement	210	146	57	0	0	0	1	6	64
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	15	9	5	0	0	0	0	1	6
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.67	**	*	*	*	*	2.22
4. Cases Diverted	0.74	**	*	*	*	*	0.72
5. Secure Detention	1.70	**	*	*	*	*	1.64
6. Cases Petitioned	1.38	**	*	*	*	*	1.41
7. Delinquent Findings	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.94	--	*	*	*	*	0.94
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	1.43
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,032	9,539	1,116	219	109	0	49	0	1,493
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	578	410	146	6	0	0	0	16	168
4. Cases Diverted	281	204	69	2	0	0	0	6	77
5. Secure Detention	223	156	54	4	0	0	0	9	67
6. Cases Petitioned	297	206	77	4	0	0	0	10	91
7. Delinquent Findings	258	184	62	4	0	0	0	8	74
8. Probation Placement	226	161	53	4	0	0	0	8	65
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.04	0.64	*	*	*	*	2.62
4. Cases Diverted	0.95	**	*	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	1.05
6. Cases Petitioned	1.05	**	*	*	*	*	1.08
7. Delinquent Findings	0.90	**	*	*	*	*	0.91
8. Probation Placement	0.98	**	*	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,804	9,326	1094	216	123	0	45	0	1,478
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	634	469	142	1	2	0	0	20	165
4. Cases Diverted	338	249	77	0	2	0	0	10	89
5. Secure Detention	188	132	50	1	0	0	0	5	56
6. Cases Petitioned	296	220	65	1	0	0	0	10	76
7. Delinquent Findings	246	190	47	1	0	0	0	8	56
8. Probation Placement	212	167	38	0	0	0	0	7	45
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	15	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.58	**	**	*	*	*	2.22
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	**	**	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	1.25	**	**	*	*	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	0.98	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
7. Delinquent Findings	0.84	**	--	*	*	*	0.85
8. Probation Placement	0.92	**	--	*	*	*	0.91
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,633	9,089	1,179	202	115	0	48	0	1,544
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	538	361	158	5	0	0	0	14	177
4. Cases Diverted	296	192	93	4	0	0	0	7	104
5. Secure Detention	188	126	53	1	0	0	0	8	62
6. Cases Petitioned	242	169	65	1	0	0	0	7	73
7. Delinquent Findings	210	147	56	1	0	0	0	6	63
8. Probation Placement	164	118	39	1	0	0	0	6	46
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	16	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	4

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.37	**	**	*	*	*	2.89
4. Cases Diverted	1.11	**	--	*	*	*	1.10
5. Secure Detention	0.96	**	--	*	*	*	1.00
6. Cases Petitioned	0.88	**	--	*	*	*	0.88
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	**	--	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	0.87	**	--	*	*	*	0.91
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.63	**	--	*	*	*	2.33
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 22: Dubois County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **63** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **71** personnel hours.

63 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 71 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **602** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([71/602]*100)= 11.79$

Data collection took **11.79** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$781.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Dubois County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,882	4,622	26	225	5	0	4	0	260
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	144	135	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	47	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	22	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	97	88	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
7. Delinquent Findings	90	81	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
8. Probation Placement	71	65	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	20	15	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.37	*	*	*	*	1.19
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,857	4,577	29	240	6	0	5	0	280
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	125	123	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	59	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	66	64	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	65	63	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	62	61	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,787	4,474	32	268	7	0	6	0	313
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	103	99	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	62	58	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	62	58	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	60	57	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,758	4,415	34	294	8	0	7	0	343
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	101	97	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	29	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	72	69	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	71	68	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	70	67	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,868	4,422	43	384	12	0	7	0	446
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	129	117	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
4. Cases Diverted	45	40	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	16	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	84	77	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	83	76	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	82	76	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.18	*	*	*	*	1.02
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 23: Elkhart County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **152** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **160** personnel hours.

152 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 160 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **8,309** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([160/8309] * 100) = 1.93$*

Data collection took **1.93** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,760.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Elkhart County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	24,022	18,880	1,907	2,897	273	0	65	0	5,142
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,593	1,026	336	205	10	0	2	14	567
4. Cases Diverted	473	329	96	45	2	0	1	0	144
5. Secure Detention	238	139	54	42	1	0	0	2	99
6. Cases Petitioned	1,120	697	240	160	8	0	1	14	423
7. Delinquent Findings	966	604	202	139	7	0	1	13	362
8. Probation Placement	512	303	120	78	3	0	0	8	209
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	98	53	28	14	0	0	0	3	45
10. Transferred to Adult Court	18	7	4	7	0	0	0	0	11

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.24	1.30	0.67	*	*	*	2.03
4. Cases Diverted	0.89	0.68	**	*	*	*	0.79
5. Secure Detention	1.19	1.51	**	*	*	*	1.29
6. Cases Petitioned	1.05	1.15	**	*	*	*	1.10
7. Delinquent Findings	0.97	1.00	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	1.18	1.12	**	*	*	*	1.15
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.58	1.15	**	*	*	*	1.42
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	4.36	**	*	*	*	2.59
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	24,118	18,617	1,934	3,229	280	0	58	0	5,501
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,705	1,057	429	181	8	1	6	23	648
4. Cases Diverted	634	425	142	51	5	1	5	5	209
5. Secure Detention	290	167	91	23	0	0	0	9	123
6. Cases Petitioned	1,071	632	287	130	3	0	1	18	439
7. Delinquent Findings	968	574	257	115	3	0	1	18	394
8. Probation Placement	620	373	169	63	1	0	1	13	247
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	90	48	31	9	0	0	0	2	42
10. Transferred to Adult Court	19	11	3	5	0	0	0	0	8

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.91	0.99	0.50	*	*	*	2.07
4. Cases Diverted	0.82	0.70	**	*	*	*	0.80
5. Secure Detention	1.34	0.80	**	*	*	*	1.20
6. Cases Petitioned	1.12	1.20	**	*	*	*	1.13
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	0.97	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	1.01	0.84	**	*	*	*	0.96
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.44	0.94	**	*	*	*	1.27
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.05
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	23,865	18,154	1,924	3,430	304	0	53	0	5,711
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,645	980	440	181	5	0	0	39	665
4. Cases Diverted	744	503	158	65	4	0	0	14	241
5. Secure Detention	220	118	80	17	0	0	0	5	102
6. Cases Petitioned	901	477	282	116	1	0	0	25	424
7. Delinquent Findings	832	449	248	110	1	0	0	24	383
8. Probation Placement	598	303	197	76	0	0	0	22	295
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	60	25	25	6	0	0	0	4	35
10. Transferred to Adult Court	17	8	7	1	0	0	0	1	9

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.24	0.98	**	*	*	*	2.16
4. Cases Diverted	0.70	0.70	**	*	*	*	0.71
5. Secure Detention	1.51	0.78	**	*	*	*	1.27
6. Cases Petitioned	1.32	1.32	**	*	*	*	1.31
7. Delinquent Findings	0.93	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.96
8. Probation Placement	1.18	1.02	**	*	*	*	1.14
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.81	0.98	**	*	*	*	1.64
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.48	**	**	*	*	*	1.27
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	23,767	17,806	1,918	3,671	318	0	54	0	5,961
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,797	970	448	322	8	1	0	48	827
4. Cases Diverted	895	506	200	165	5	1	0	18	389
5. Secure Detention	229	110	74	33	0	0	0	12	119
6. Cases Petitioned	902	464	248	157	3	0	0	30	438
7. Delinquent Findings	769	402	211	127	1	0	0	28	367
8. Probation Placement	607	311	174	96	1	0	0	25	296
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	83	37	28	13	0	0	0	5	46
10. Transferred to Adult Court	6	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	4

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.29	1.61	0.46	*	*	*	2.55
4. Cases Diverted	0.86	0.98	**	*	*	*	0.90
5. Secure Detention	1.46	0.90	**	*	*	*	1.27
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	1.02	**	*	*	*	1.11
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	0.93	**	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	1.07	0.98	**	*	*	*	1.04
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.44	1.11	**	*	*	*	1.36
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	23,877	17,368	1,959	4,123	377	0	50	0	6,509
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,403	786	365	219	5	0	1	27	617
4. Cases Diverted	643	431	127	79	2	0	0	4	212
5. Secure Detention	202	97	68	27	0	0	1	9	105
6. Cases Petitioned	760	355	238	140	3	0	1	23	405
7. Delinquent Findings	666	325	192	123	3	0	1	22	341
8. Probation Placement	463	235	128	79	2	0	1	18	228
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	63	24	23	12	0	0	0	4	39
10. Transferred to Adult Court	19	4	12	3	0	0	0	0	15

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.12	1.17	**	*	*	*	2.09
4. Cases Diverted	0.63	0.66	**	*	*	*	0.63
5. Secure Detention	1.51	1.00	**	*	*	*	1.38
6. Cases Petitioned	1.44	1.42	**	*	*	*	1.45
7. Delinquent Findings	0.88	0.96	**	*	*	*	0.92
8. Probation Placement	0.92	0.89	**	*	*	*	0.92
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.62	1.32	**	*	*	*	1.55
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 24: Fayette County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **24** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **32** personnel hours.

24 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 32 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **805** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([32/805] * 100) = 3.98$

Data collection took **3.98** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$352.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Fayette County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,683	2,584	78	15	5	0	1	0	99
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	129	121	3	3	0	0	0	2	8
4. Cases Diverted	56	54	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	32	27	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
6. Cases Petitioned	73	67	2	3	0	0	0	1	6
7. Delinquent Findings	71	65	2	3	0	0	0	1	6
8. Probation Placement	71	65	2	3	0	0	0	1	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	1.73
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,644	2,548	73	14	8	0	1	0	96
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	139	133	1	1	0	0	0	4	6
4. Cases Diverted	69	67	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	14	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	70	66	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
7. Delinquent Findings	59	55	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
8. Probation Placement	58	54	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	1.20
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,619	2,518	73	17	10	0	1	0	101
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	221	209	7	1	0	0	0	4	12
4. Cases Diverted	109	104	2	1	0	0	0	2	5
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	112	105	5	0	0	0	0	2	7
7. Delinquent Findings	106	99	5	0	0	0	0	2	7
8. Probation Placement	105	98	5	0	0	0	0	2	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.16	*	*	*	*	*	1.43
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,603	2,500	75	16	10	0	2	0	103
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	153	146	2	2	0	0	0	3	7
4. Cases Diverted	70	67	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
5. Secure Detention	18	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	82	78	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
7. Delinquent Findings	73	69	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
8. Probation Placement	73	69	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	1.17
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,582	2,464	79	25	12	0	2	0	118
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	142	127	4	0	0	0	0	11	15
4. Cases Diverted	71	63	3	0	0	0	0	5	8
5. Secure Detention	17	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
6. Cases Petitioned	69	62	1	0	0	0	0	6	7
7. Delinquent Findings	60	53	1	0	0	0	0	6	7
8. Probation Placement	57	50	1	0	0	0	0	6	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	2.51
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 25: Floyd County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **300** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **308** personnel hours.

300 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 308 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,389** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

*$([308/2,389]*100)= 12.89$*

Data collection took **12.89** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,388.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Floyd County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,497	7,609	647	168	61	0	12	0	888
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	510	371	134	4	0	0	0	1	139
4. Cases Diverted	484	351	130	2	0	0	0	1	133
5. Secure Detention	177	124	52	1	0	0	0	0	53
6. Cases Petitioned	26	20	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	26	20	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	21	18	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.25	**	*	*	*	*	3.21
4. Cases Diverted	1.03	**	*	*	*	*	1.01
5. Secure Detention	1.16	**	*	*	*	*	1.14
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.80
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,460	7,546	663	183	57	0	11	0	914
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	478	357	108	5	0	0	0	8	121
4. Cases Diverted	451	335	103	5	0	0	0	8	116
5. Secure Detention	138	105	30	3	0	0	0	0	33
6. Cases Petitioned	27	22	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	23	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	20	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.44	**	*	*	*	*	2.80
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	**	*	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	0.94	**	*	*	*	*	0.93
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,419	7,495	672	186	57	0	9	0	924
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	478	354	119	2	0	0	0	3	124
4. Cases Diverted	411	306	101	2	0	0	0	2	105
5. Secure Detention	138	113	53	1	0	0	0	1	55
6. Cases Petitioned	67	48	18	0	0	0	0	1	19
7. Delinquent Findings	58	44	13	0	0	0	0	1	14
8. Probation Placement	49	36	12	0	0	0	0	1	13
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.75	**	*	*	*	*	2.84
4. Cases Diverted	0.98	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
5. Secure Detention	1.40	**	*	*	*	*	1.39
6. Cases Petitioned	1.12	**	*	*	*	*	1.13
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,296	7,430	699	193	2	0	3	0	956
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	425	318	92	7	1	0	0	7	107
4. Cases Diverted	386	292	79	7	1	0	0	7	94
5. Secure Detention	164	116	43	3	0	0	0	2	48
6. Cases Petitioned	39	26	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
7. Delinquent Findings	36	24	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
8. Probation Placement	32	21	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.04	0.84	*	*	*	*	2.58
4. Cases Diverted	0.94	**	*	*	*	*	0.96
5. Secure Detention	1.28	**	*	*	*	*	1.23
6. Cases Petitioned	1.73	**	*	*	*	*	1.49
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,373	7,264	768	255	79	0	7	0	1,109
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	498	352	135	5	1	0	0	5	146
4. Cases Diverted	441	315	118	5	1	0	0	2	126
5. Secure Detention	208	145	55	3	0	0	0	5	63
6. Cases Petitioned	57	37	17	0	0	0	0	3	20
7. Delinquent Findings	49	34	12	0	0	0	0	3	15
8. Probation Placement	45	32	10	0	0	0	0	3	13
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.63	**	*	*	*	*	2.72
4. Cases Diverted	0.98	**	*	*	*	*	0.96
5. Secure Detention	0.99	**	*	*	*	*	1.05
6. Cases Petitioned	1.20	**	*	*	*	*	1.30
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 26: Fountain County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

Fountain County started using PBS in March of 2005. There were only 25 cases listed for 2005, yet the other years averaged approximately 65 cases per year. No other list exists, but the county reports that there were only 25 cases that year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **24** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **277** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([24/277] * 100) = 8.66$

Data collection took **8.66** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Fountain County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,049	2,003	8	29	5	0	4	0	46
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	23	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	16	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,019	1,967	13	29	6	0	4	0	52
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	82	77	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	52	49	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	30	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	30	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	28	26	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,980	1,925	16	29	8	0	2	0	55
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	68	65	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	39	36	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,930	1,870	19	29	10	0	2	0	60
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	58	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,946	1,869	32	39	6	0	0	0	77
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	38	37	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	31	30	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles – 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 27: Franklin County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **232** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **240** personnel hours.

232 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 240 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **348** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

*$([240/348] * 100) = 68.96$*

Data collection took **68.96** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,640.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Franklin County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,933	2,881	14	28	6	0	4	0	52
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	81	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	66	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,897	2,845	14	29	6	0	3	0	52
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	97	95	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	69	67	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,841	2,786	17	32	3	0	3	0	55
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	72	71	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	61	60	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,783	2,729	18	30	4	0	2	0	54
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	57	56	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	49	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,910	2,840	32	32	4	0	2	0	70
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 28: Fulton County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **56** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **64** personnel hours.

56 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 64 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **408** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([64/408]*100)= 15.68$

Data collection took **15.68** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$704.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Fulton County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,385	2,238	45	78	15	0	9	0	147
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	75	72	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	58	56	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	17	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	15	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	14	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,370	2,219	50	77	15	0	9	0	151
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	83	80	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	58	56	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	25	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	21	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	21	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,303	2,150	47	80	17	0	9	0	153
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	67	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	42	40	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	28	27	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	23	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,258	2,101	50	82	18	0	7	0	157
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	111	104	3	3	0	0	0	1	7
4. Cases Diverted	81	80	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	30	24	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	18	15	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	17	14	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	10	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.90
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,298	2,120	53	101	16	0	8	0	178
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	69	61	3	3	0	0	1	1	8
4. Cases Diverted	56	51	2	1	0	0	1	1	5
5. Secure Detention	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	13	10	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	7	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.56
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 29: Gibson County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **25** personnel hours spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **33** personnel hours.

25 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 33 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **522** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([33/522] * 100) = 6.32$

Data collection took **6.32** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$363.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Gibson County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,644	3,459	119	47	9	0	10	0	185
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	74	71	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	56	54	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	18	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,648	3,464	118	47	10	0	9	0	184
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	91	84	2	0	0	0	0	5	7
4. Cases Diverted	44	41	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
5. Secure Detention	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	47	43	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
7. Delinquent Findings	37	33	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
8. Probation Placement	28	24	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.57
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,578	3,379	124	52	10	0	13	0	199
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	89	72	17	0	0	0	0	0	17
4. Cases Diverted	45	35	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
5. Secure Detention	16	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	44	37	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	32	26	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	25	20	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	6.43	**	*	*	*	*	4.01
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,514	3,312	129	51	10	0	12	0	202
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	141	119	18	2	0	0	0	2	22
4. Cases Diverted	89	78	7	2	0	0	0	2	11
5. Secure Detention	15	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	52	41	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
7. Delinquent Findings	32	25	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	23	17	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.88	**	*	*	*	*	3.03
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,695	3,468	159	48	11	0	9	0	227
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	127	119	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
4. Cases Diverted	87	84	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	13	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	40	35	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	28	23	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	17	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	9	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.47	**	*	*	*	*	1.03
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 30: Grant County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **140** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **148** personnel hours.

140 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 148 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **3,668** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([148/3,668] * 100) = 4.03$

Data collection took **4.03** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,626.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Grant County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,640	6,408	825	314	40	0	53	0	1,232
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	804	520	229	50	1	0	0	4	284
4. Cases Diverted	393	255	111	25	1	0	0	1	138
5. Secure Detention	244	156	73	12	0	0	0	3	88
6. Cases Petitioned	411	265	118	25	0	0	0	3	146
7. Delinquent Findings	401	261	113	25	0	0	0	2	140
8. Probation Placement	388	251	112	25	0	0	0	0	137
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.42	1.96	*	*	*	*	2.84
4. Cases Diverted	0.99	1.02	*	*	*	*	0.99
5. Secure Detention	1.06	0.80	*	*	*	*	1.03
6. Cases Petitioned	1.01	0.98	*	*	*	*	1.01
7. Delinquent Findings	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	1.03	**	*	*	*	*	1.02
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,530	6,314	798	324	40	0	54	0	1,216
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	694	467	179	47	1	0	0	0	227
4. Cases Diverted	353	249	81	22	1	0	0	0	104
5. Secure Detention	247	146	82	19	0	0	0	0	101
6. Cases Petitioned	341	218	98	25	0	0	0	0	123
7. Delinquent Findings	330	211	97	22	0	0	0	0	119
8. Probation Placement	324	210	94	20	0	0	0	0	114
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.03	1.96	*	*	*	*	2.52
4. Cases Diverted	0.85	0.88	*	*	*	*	0.86
5. Secure Detention	1.47	1.29	*	*	*	*	1.42
6. Cases Petitioned	1.17	1.14	*	*	*	*	1.16
7. Delinquent Findings	1.02	**	*	*	*	*	1.00
8. Probation Placement	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.96
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,234	6,072	755	319	39	0	49	0	1,162
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	760	510	214	34	0	0	1	1	250
4. Cases Diverted	374	264	94	15	0	0	1	0	110
5. Secure Detention	376	223	139	13	0	0	0	1	153
6. Cases Petitioned	386	246	120	19	0	0	0	1	140
7. Delinquent Findings	376	239	117	19	0	0	0	1	137
8. Probation Placement	372	237	116	18	0	0	0	1	135
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.37	1.27	*	*	*	*	2.56
4. Cases Diverted	0.85	0.85	*	*	*	*	0.85
5. Secure Detention	1.49	0.87	*	*	*	*	1.40
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	1.16	*	*	*	*	1.16
7. Delinquent Findings	1.00	**	*	*	*	*	1.01
8. Probation Placement	1.00	**	*	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,994	5,864	723	318	44	0	45	0	1,130
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	700	459	204	34	0	0	0	3	241
4. Cases Diverted	351	244	89	16	0	0	0	2	107
5. Secure Detention	231	135	80	14	0	0	0	2	96
6. Cases Petitioned	349	215	115	18	0	0	0	1	134
7. Delinquent Findings	337	205	113	18	0	0	0	1	132
8. Probation Placement	327	200	110	16	0	0	0	1	127
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.60	1.37	*	*	*	*	2.72
4. Cases Diverted	0.82	0.89	*	*	*	*	0.84
5. Secure Detention	1.33	1.40	*	*	*	*	1.35
6. Cases Petitioned	1.20	1.13	*	*	*	*	1.19
7. Delinquent Findings	1.03	**	*	*	*	*	1.03
8. Probation Placement	1.00	**	*	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,726	5,597	713	315	60	0	41	0	1,129
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	685	474	173	32	1	0	0	5	211
4. Cases Diverted	371	272	82	16	1	0	0	0	99
5. Secure Detention	323	194	108	19	0	0	0	2	129
6. Cases Petitioned	314	202	91	16	0	0	0	5	112
7. Delinquent Findings	297	191	85	16	0	0	0	5	106
8. Probation Placement	272	177	78	12	0	0	0	5	95
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.87	1.20	*	*	*	*	2.21
4. Cases Diverted	0.83	0.87	*	*	*	*	0.82
5. Secure Detention	1.53	1.45	*	*	*	*	1.49
6. Cases Petitioned	1.23	1.17	*	*	*	*	1.25
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	**	*	*	*	*	1.00
8. Probation Placement	0.99	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 31: Greene County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **24** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **442** valid juvenile delinquency cases. No status offenses were collected in this county.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([24/442]*100)= 5.43$

Data collection took **5.43** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Greene County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,754	3,653	35	45	6	0	15	0	101
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	98	96	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	80	78	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
7. Delinquent Findings	68	66	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	63	61	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,743	3,637	38	47	6	0	15	0	106
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	92	91	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	79	78	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	73	72	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	70	69	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,620	3,507	41	50	7	0	15	0	113
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	103	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	83	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	76	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	73	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,470	3,352	44	54	5	0	15	0	118
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	86	85	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	55	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	47	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	42	41	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,577	3,432	50	73	8	0	14	0	145
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	63	62	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	49	48	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 32: Hamilton County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **140** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **148** personnel hours.

$$140 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 148 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **4,171** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([148/4,171] * 100) = 3.55$$

Data collection took **3.55** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,628.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Hamilton County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	31,810	28,541	1,312	820	1,079	0	58	0	3,296
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	646	571	57	13	5	0	0	0	75
4. Cases Diverted	418	375	36	3	4	0	0	0	43
5. Secure Detention	132	106	17	9	0	0	0	0	26
6. Cases Petitioned	228	196	21	10	1	0	0	0	32
7. Delinquent Findings	223	191	21	10	1	0	0	0	32
8. Probation Placement	205	177	18	9	1	0	0	0	28
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.17	0.79	**	*	*	*	1.15
4. Cases Diverted	0.96	**	**	*	*	*	0.87
5. Secure Detention	1.61	**	**	*	*	*	1.87
6. Cases Petitioned	1.07	**	**	*	*	*	1.24
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.03
8. Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.94
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	33,358	29,654	1,561	927	1,159	0	57	0	3,704
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	729	670	35	15	8	0	0	1	59
4. Cases Diverted	405	374	17	7	6	0	0	1	31
5. Secure Detention	167	151	12	3	1	0	0	0	16
6. Cases Petitioned	324	296	18	8	2	0	0	0	28
7. Delinquent Findings	289	270	12	5	2	0	0	0	19
8. Probation Placement	261	244	10	5	2	0	0	0	17
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.99	0.72	0.31	*	*	*	0.71
4. Cases Diverted	0.87	**	**	*	*	*	0.94
5. Secure Detention	1.52	**	**	*	*	*	1.20
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	**	**	*	*	*	1.07
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	34,783	30,640	1,789	1,048	1,242	0	64	0	4,143
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	758	649	94	10	5	0	0	0	109
4. Cases Diverted	407	351	49	2	5	0	0	0	56
5. Secure Detention	167	137	24	6	0	0	0	0	30
6. Cases Petitioned	351	298	45	8	0	0	0	0	53
7. Delinquent Findings	304	263	35	6	0	0	0	0	41
8. Probation Placement	252	225	22	5	0	0	0	0	27
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.48	0.45	**	*	*	*	1.24
4. Cases Diverted	0.96	**	**	*	*	*	0.95
5. Secure Detention	1.21	**	**	*	*	*	1.30
6. Cases Petitioned	1.04	**	**	*	*	*	1.06
7. Delinquent Findings	0.88	**	--	*	*	*	0.88
8. Probation Placement	0.73	**	--	*	*	*	0.77
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	35,803	31,234	1,955	1,174	1,372	0	68	0	4,569
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	976	832	128	12	4	0	0	0	144
4. Cases Diverted	530	471	51	5	3	0	0	0	59
5. Secure Detention	221	171	45	5	0	0	0	0	50
6. Cases Petitioned	446	361	77	7	1	0	0	0	85
7. Delinquent Findings	364	300	57	7	0	0	0	0	64
8. Probation Placement	278	247	28	3	0	0	0	0	31
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.46	0.38	**	*	*	*	1.18
4. Cases Diverted	0.70	**	**	*	*	*	0.72
5. Secure Detention	1.71	**	**	*	*	*	1.69
6. Cases Petitioned	1.39	**	**	*	*	*	1.36
7. Delinquent Findings	0.89	**	**	*	*	*	0.91
8. Probation Placement	0.60	**	--	*	*	*	0.59
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	35,937	31,190	1,942	1,252	1,496	0	57	0	4,747
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,062	898	147	11	6	0	0	0	164
4. Cases Diverted	623	552	63	3	5	0	0	0	71
5. Secure Detention	198	152	42	4	0	0	0	0	46
6. Cases Petitioned	439	346	84	8	1	0	0	0	93
7. Delinquent Findings	369	297	64	7	1	0	0	0	72
8. Probation Placement	234	194	36	3	1	0	0	0	40
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.63	0.31	0.14	*	*	*	1.20
4. Cases Diverted	0.70	**	**	*	*	*	0.70
5. Secure Detention	1.69	**	**	*	*	*	1.66
6. Cases Petitioned	1.48	**	**	*	*	*	1.47
7. Delinquent Findings	0.89	**	**	*	*	*	0.90
8. Probation Placement	0.86	**	**	*	*	*	0.85
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 33: Hancock County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

PBS data backup crashed, but the county reported that 2005 through 2009 was successfully saved.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **184** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **192** personnel hours.

184 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 192 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,497** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([192/1,497] * 100) = 12.83$

Data collection took **12.83** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,113.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Hancock County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,303	6,960	211	98	25	0	9	0	343
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	278	267	8	3	0	0	0	0	11
4. Cases Diverted	161	154	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
5. Secure Detention	40	36	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	117	113	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	99	96	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	90	88	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.99	**	*	*	*	*	0.84
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,429	7,031	253	106	30	0	9	0	398
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	223	216	5	2	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	103	100	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	40	36	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	120	116	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	103	101	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	93	92	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.57
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,533	7,076	286	125	37	0	9	0	457
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	267	241	20	4	2	0	0	0	26
4. Cases Diverted	136	124	10	2	0	0	0	0	12
5. Secure Detention	50	44	2	2	2	0	0	0	6
6. Cases Petitioned	131	117	10	2	2	0	0	0	14
7. Delinquent Findings	114	105	5	2	2	0	0	0	9
8. Probation Placement	108	100	5	1	2	0	0	0	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.05	**	*	*	*	*	1.67
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,488	6,976	314	143	45	0	10	0	512
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	239	212	22	1	4	0	0	0	27
4. Cases Diverted	135	123	10	1	1	0	0	0	12
5. Secure Detention	40	36	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	104	89	12	0	3	0	0	0	15
7. Delinquent Findings	91	76	12	0	3	0	0	0	15
8. Probation Placement	81	70	10	0	1	0	0	0	11
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	6	3	0	2	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.31	**	*	*	*	*	1.74
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,381	7,689	424	202	57	0	9	0	692
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	221	205	14	0	0	0	0	2	16
4. Cases Diverted	115	109	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	22	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	106	96	8	0	0	0	0	2	10
7. Delinquent Findings	86	78	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
8. Probation Placement	82	74	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	10	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.24	**	*	*	*	*	0.87
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 34: Harrison County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **56** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **64** personnel hours.

56 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 64 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **713** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([64/713] * 100) = 8.98$

Data collection took **8.98** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$704.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Harrison County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,105	3,984	60	35	12	0	14	0	121
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	126	122	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	80	76	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	57	56	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	46	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,032	3,908	64	37	12	0	11	0	124
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	146	143	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	91	89	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	65	64	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	55	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	47	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	44	43	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,943	3,817	67	38	9	0	12	0	126
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	131	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	71	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	62	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	49	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,894	3,768	67	42	6	0	11	0	126
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	157	154	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	100	99	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	88	86	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	57	55	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	57	55	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	51	49	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,055	3,884	84	70	6	0	11	0	171
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	153	152	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	84	83	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	74	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	69	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	59	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	53	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 35: Hendricks County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **664** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **672** personnel hours.

664 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 672 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,790** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([672/1,790] * 100) = 37.54$

Data collection took **37.54** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$7,392.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Hendricks County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	15,818	14,480	734	362	202	0	40	0	1,338
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	117	104	6	3	2	0	0	2	13
4. Cases Diverted	47	40	1	3	1	0	0	2	7
5. Secure Detention	37	32	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
6. Cases Petitioned	70	64	5	0	1	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	70	64	5	0	1	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	56	50	5	0	1	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.14	**	**	*	*	*	1.35
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	**	--	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,255	14,730	860	403	223	0	39	0	1,525
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	225	192	26	3	1	0	0	3	33
4. Cases Diverted	99	85	10	1	1	0	0	2	14
5. Secure Detention	71	60	8	2	0	0	0	2	12
6. Cases Petitioned	125	107	15	2	0	0	0	1	18
7. Delinquent Findings	123	106	14	2	0	0	0	1	17
8. Probation Placement	111	93	15	2	0	0	0	1	18
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	31	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.32	**	**	*	*	*	1.66
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.96
5. Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.03
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.03
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,692	14,916	1,017	471	243	0	45	0	1,776
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	414	355	41	9	0	0	0	9	59
4. Cases Diverted	209	179	26	1	0	0	0	3	30
5. Secure Detention	121	105	10	3	0	0	0	3	16
6. Cases Petitioned	203	174	15	8	0	0	0	6	29
7. Delinquent Findings	201	173	14	8	0	0	0	6	28
8. Probation Placement	177	149	27	8	0	0	0	6	41
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	58	52	2	4	0	0	0	0	6
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.70	0.81	**	*	*	*	1.40
4. Cases Diverted	1.25	**	--	*	*	*	1.01
5. Secure Detention	0.83	**	--	*	*	*	0.92
6. Cases Petitioned	0.74	**	--	*	*	*	0.99
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,918	14,961	1,128	510	276	0	43	0	1,957
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	521	431	66	13	5	0	2	4	90
4. Cases Diverted	336	283	40	9	2	0	1	1	53
5. Secure Detention	127	95	23	5	1	0	2	1	32
6. Cases Petitioned	184	148	25	4	3	0	1	3	36
7. Delinquent Findings	184	148	25	4	3	0	1	3	36
8. Probation Placement	167	134	23	4	3	0	1	2	33
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	35	29	4	1	0	0	0	1	6
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.03	0.88	**	*	*	*	1.60
4. Cases Diverted	0.92	**	**	*	*	*	0.90
5. Secure Detention	1.58	**	**	*	*	*	1.61
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	**	**	*	*	*	1.20
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	--	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.01
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.85
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,540	15,341	1,242	602	313	0	42	0	2,199
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	513	417	63	26	3	0	0	4	96
4. Cases Diverted	304	252	33	13	3	0	0	3	52
5. Secure Detention	124	93	22	7	0	0	0	2	31
6. Cases Petitioned	207	165	28	13	0	0	0	1	42
7. Delinquent Findings	199	156	26	13	0	0	0	1	40
8. Probation Placement	176	139	23	13	0	0	0	1	37
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	40	33	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.84	1.59	**	*	*	*	1.59
4. Cases Diverted	0.88	**	**	*	*	*	0.91
5. Secure Detention	1.59	**	**	*	*	*	1.46
6. Cases Petitioned	1.18	**	**	*	*	*	1.14
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	1.06
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	0.84
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 36: Henry County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **208** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **216** personnel hours.

208 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 216 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,149** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([216/1,149] * 100) = 18.80$*

Data collection took **18.80** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,376.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Henry County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,202	5,027	87	74	10	0	4	0	175
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	211	207	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	111	108	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	29	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	100	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	97	96	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	67	66	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,159	4,984	91	71	9	0	4	0	175
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	241	229	11	1	0	0	0	0	12
4. Cases Diverted	106	99	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
5. Secure Detention	31	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	135	130	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	131	126	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	99	94	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.63	**	*	*	*	*	1.49
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,043	4,857	93	76	10	0	7	0	186
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	222	213	7	2	0	0	0	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	114	107	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
5. Secure Detention	32	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	108	106	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	100	98	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	69	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.72	**	*	*	*	*	1.10
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,942	4,742	107	76	10	0	7	0	200
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	248	240	3	2	0	0	0	3	8
4. Cases Diverted	152	145	3	2	0	0	0	2	7
5. Secure Detention	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	96	95	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	93	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	65	64	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.79
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,042	4,815	122	88	10	0	7	0	227
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	190	184	4	1	0	0	0	1	6
4. Cases Diverted	120	115	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	70	69	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	66	65	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	40	39	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.69
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 37: Howard County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest - The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **184** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **192** personnel hours.

184 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 192 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **4,447** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[(\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}) * 100]$).

*$[(192/4,447) * 100] = 4.31$*

Data collection took **4.31** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,112.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Howard County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,408	8,013	942	296	119	0	38	0	1,395
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	916	663	206	40	0	0	1	6	253
4. Cases Diverted	658	492	132	28	0	0	1	5	166
5. Secure Detention	315	235	62	16	0	0	0	2	80
6. Cases Petitioned	258	171	74	12	0	0	0	1	87
7. Delinquent Findings	221	148	63	9	0	0	0	1	73
8. Probation Placement	160	103	52	4	0	0	0	1	57
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	29	18	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.64	1.63	**	*	*	*	2.19
4. Cases Diverted	0.86	0.94	--	*	*	*	0.88
5. Secure Detention	0.85	1.13	--	*	*	*	0.89
6. Cases Petitioned	1.39	1.16	--	*	*	*	1.33
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	**	--	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	1.19	**	--	*	*	*	1.12
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.44	**	--	*	*	*	1.24
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,377	7,967	962	298	115	0	35	0	1,410
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,004	703	258	38	1	0	2	2	301
4. Cases Diverted	696	497	168	27	1	0	2	1	199
5. Secure Detention	402	279	99	23	0	0	0	1	123
6. Cases Petitioned	308	206	90	11	0	0	0	1	102
7. Delinquent Findings	250	164	74	11	0	0	0	1	86
8. Probation Placement	198	136	57	4	0	0	0	1	62
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	35	19	13	2	0	0	0	1	16
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.04	1.45	**	*	*	*	2.42
4. Cases Diverted	0.92	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.94
5. Secure Detention	0.97	1.53	**	*	*	*	1.03
6. Cases Petitioned	1.19	0.99	**	*	*	*	1.16
7. Delinquent Findings	1.03	**	--	*	*	*	1.06
8. Probation Placement	0.93	**	--	*	*	*	0.87
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.52	**	--	*	*	*	1.61
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,334	7,895	968	314	123	0	34	0	1,439
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	817	573	214	26	1	0	1	2	244
4. Cases Diverted	575	406	147	21	0	0	0	1	169
5. Secure Detention	291	204	76	9	1	0	0	1	87
6. Cases Petitioned	242	167	67	5	1	0	1	1	75
7. Delinquent Findings	205	143	54	5	1	0	1	1	62
8. Probation Placement	163	116	41	5	0	0	1	0	47
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	22	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.05	1.14	**	*	*	*	2.34
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
5. Secure Detention	1.00	**	**	*	*	*	1.00
6. Cases Petitioned	1.07	**	**	*	*	*	1.05
7. Delinquent Findings	0.94	**	**	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.94	**	**	*	*	*	0.93
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,185	7,740	955	320	134	0	36	0	1,445
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	867	584	250	28	3	0	0	2	283
4. Cases Diverted	585	404	155	23	2	0	0	1	181
5. Secure Detention	285	179	91	13	1	0	0	1	106
6. Cases Petitioned	282	180	95	5	1	0	0	1	102
7. Delinquent Findings	240	153	81	4	1	0	0	1	87
8. Probation Placement	192	125	65	2	0	0	0	0	67
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	24	16	6	1	0	0	0	1	8
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.47	1.16	**	*	*	*	2.60
4. Cases Diverted	0.90	**	**	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	1.19	**	**	*	*	*	1.22
6. Cases Petitioned	1.23	**	**	*	*	*	1.17
7. Delinquent Findings	1.00	**	**	*	*	*	1.00
8. Probation Placement	0.98	**	**	*	*	*	0.94
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0.71	**	**	*	*	*	0.88
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,148	7,655	976	342	139	0	36	0	1,493
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	843	557	250	30	2	0	0	4	286
4. Cases Diverted	557	368	168	16	2	0	0	3	189
5. Secure Detention	245	152	79	12	0	0	0	2	93
6. Cases Petitioned	286	189	82	14	0	0	0	1	97
7. Delinquent Findings	250	167	69	13	0	0	0	1	83
8. Probation Placement	194	138	45	10	0	0	0	1	56
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	26	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.52	1.21	**	*	*	*	2.63
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	**	**	*	*	*	1.00
5. Secure Detention	1.16	**	**	*	*	*	1.19
6. Cases Petitioned	0.97	**	**	*	*	*	1.00
7. Delinquent Findings	0.95	**	--	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.79	**	--	*	*	*	0.82
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.42	**	--	*	*	*	2.01
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 38: Huntington County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **64** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **72** personnel hours.

64 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 72 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **465** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([72/465] * 100) = 15.48$

Data collection took **15.48** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$792.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Huntington County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,409	4,238	46	67	25	0	33	0	171
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	187	182	2	1	0	0	0	2	5
4. Cases Diverted	69	66	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
5. Secure Detention	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	118	116	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	113	111	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	109	107	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,334	4,159	55	68	25	0	27	0	175
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	177	170	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	63	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	114	107	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	111	106	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	108	103	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,226	4,039	60	74	27	0	26	0	187
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	158	149	1	6	0	0	2	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	55	51	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
5. Secure Detention	19	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	103	98	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	101	96	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
8. Probation Placement	98	93	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	2.20	*	*	*	*	1.30
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,111	3,919	67	76	29	0	20	0	192
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	159	154	2	1	1	0	1	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	52	51	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	23	21	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	107	103	1	1	1	0	1	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	103	99	1	1	1	0	1	0	4
8. Probation Placement	98	94	1	1	1	0	1	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,175	3,978	78	80	19	0	20	0	197
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	51	48	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	22	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	29	27	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	29	27	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	29	27	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 39: Jackson County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **100** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **108** personnel hours.

100 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 108 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,612** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([108/1,612] * 100) = 6.7$

Data collection took **6.7** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,188.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Jackson County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,590	4,338	69	126	47	0	10	0	252
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	201	188	8	5	0	0	0	0	13
4. Cases Diverted	160	151	4	5	0	0	0	0	9
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	42	38	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	33	29	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	29	25	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.68	**	**	*	*	*	1.19
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,582	4,323	72	129	49	0	9	0	259
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	143	131	6	4	0	0	1	1	12
4. Cases Diverted	114	103	5	4	0	0	1	1	11
5. Secure Detention	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	29	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	26	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	23	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.75	**	**	*	*	*	1.53
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,527	4,268	75	125	51	0	8	0	259
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	145	133	6	5	0	0	1	0	12
4. Cases Diverted	129	118	5	5	0	0	1	0	11
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	16	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.57	**	**	*	*	*	1.49
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,564	4,291	77	131	56	0	9	0	273
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	135	126	4	4	0	0	0	1	9
4. Cases Diverted	115	107	4	4	0	0	0	0	8
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	19	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	18	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	15	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.12
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,527	4,187	85	183	62	0	10	0	340
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	155	142	7	6	0	0	0	0	13
4. Cases Diverted	116	108	2	6	0	0	0	0	8
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	39	34	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	32	27	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	24	19	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.43	0.97	**	*	*	*	1.13
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 40: Jasper County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **248** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **256** personnel hours.

248 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 256 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,182** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([256/1,182] * 100) = 21.66$*

Data collection took **21.66** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,816.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Jasper County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,807	3,563	56	174	8	0	6	0	244
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	221	215	2	4	0	0	0	1	6
4. Cases Diverted	117	116	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	26	24	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	104	99	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	75	73	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	55	53	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.41
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,808	3,547	61	187	7	0	6	0	261
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	258	255	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	141	138	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	117	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	89	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	71	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,731	3,454	69	196	5	0	7	0	277
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	207	204	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	102	100	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	105	104	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	75	74	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	56	55	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,704	3,414	72	205	6	0	7	0	290
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	265	253	2	10	0	0	0	0	12
4. Cases Diverted	167	158	2	7	0	0	0	0	9
5. Secure Detention	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	98	95	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	78	75	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	59	56	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.66	*	*	*	*	0.56
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,965	3,621	95	236	6	0	7	0	344
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	231	224	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	120	115	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	111	109	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	75	73	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	62	60	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.33
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 41: Jay County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: **HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY**

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **36** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **44** personnel hours.

36 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 44 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **461** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([44/461]*100)= 9.54$

Data collection took **9.54** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$484.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Jay County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,637	2,541	16	62	15	0	3	0	96
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	85	84	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	56	55	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,650	2,542	20	68	17	0	3	0	108
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	85	84	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	53	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,594	2,476	24	74	16	0	4	0	118
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	100	97	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	56	53	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	42	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,586	2,460	25	79	17	0	5	0	126
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	119	117	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	72	70	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	47	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,484	2,357	30	76	16	0	5	0	127
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	72	70	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	38	36	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	29	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 42: Jefferson County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

We were provided with a remote link to the Jefferson County CSI data. All of the work happened from IUPUI. A list of unanswered questions was provided to the probation department and they provided answers for us. We did not have to travel to Madison.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **25** personnel hours spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **33** personnel hours.

25 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 33 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1024** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([33/1024] * 100) = 3.22$

Data collection took **3.22** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$363.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Jefferson County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,609	3,444	76	52	28	0	9	0	165
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	206	200	3	1	0	0	0	2	6
4. Cases Diverted	101	99	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	105	101	2	0	0	0	0	2	4
7. Delinquent Findings	94	90	2	0	0	0	0	2	4
8. Probation Placement	86	83	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	.63
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,608	3,438	78	56	26	0	10	0	170
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	306	295	7	1	0	0	0	3	11
4. Cases Diverted	163	158	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	11	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	143	137	3	0	0	0	0	3	6
7. Delinquent Findings	133	128	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
8. Probation Placement	130	125	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.05	**	*	*	*	*	.75
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,556	3,383	80	60	24	0	9	0	173
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	188	181	5	1	0	0	0	1	7
4. Cases Diverted	71	69	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	117	112	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
7. Delinquent Findings	103	98	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
8. Probation Placement	94	89	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	.76
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,499	3,339	73	58	20	0	9	0	160
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	172	167	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
4. Cases Diverted	57	55	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	115	112	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
7. Delinquent Findings	103	101	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	95	94	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,569	3,393	83	66	19	0	8	0	176
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	152	144	5	3	0	0	0	0	8
4. Cases Diverted	39	36	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	113	108	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	97	93	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	93	89	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.07
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 43: Jennings County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **576** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **584** personnel hours.

576 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 584 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **877** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([584/877] * 100) = 66.59$

Data collection took **66.59** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$6,424.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Jennings County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,459	3,366	44	39	3	0	7	0	93
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	182	174	5	3	0	0	0	0	8
4. Cases Diverted	132	128	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	17	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	50	46	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	40	36	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	34	31	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.66
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,466	3,351	46	59	3	0	7	0	115
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	191	188	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	144	141	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	47	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,452	3,324	49	68	3	0	8	0	128
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	224	220	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	163	159	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	62	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	56	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,433	3,298	54	72	3	0	6	0	135
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	184	180	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	128	125	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	56	55	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	49	48	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	44	43	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,402	3,259	55	79	4	0	5	0	143
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	96	93	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	56	53	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 44: Johnson County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

Approximately 240 hours were spent processing the data extract.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **5,653** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([240/5,653]*100)= 4.25$$

Data collection took **4.25** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,640.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Johnson County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	15,413	14,586	343	296	164	0	24	0	827
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,002	940	36	19	7	0	0	1	62
4. Cases Diverted	377	351	15	6	5	0	0	1	26
5. Secure Detention	271	256	9	6	0	0	0	0	15
6. Cases Petitioned	625	589	21	13	2	0	0	0	36
7. Delinquent Findings	518	493	14	9	2	0	0	0	25
8. Probation Placement	312	307	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	56	55	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.63	1.00	0.66	*	*	*	1.16
4. Cases Diverted	1.12	**	**	*	*	*	1.12
5. Secure Detention	0.92	**	**	*	*	*	0.89
6. Cases Petitioned	0.93	**	**	*	*	*	0.93
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.83
8. Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	15,832	14,920	374	324	190	0	24	0	912
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,063	993	35	18	14	0	3	0	70
4. Cases Diverted	386	353	14	7	12	0	0	0	33
5. Secure Detention	334	317	9	5	1	0	2	0	17
6. Cases Petitioned	677	640	21	11	2	0	3	0	37
7. Delinquent Findings	590	565	14	7	1	0	3	0	25
8. Probation Placement	333	324	4	2	0	0	3	0	9
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.41	0.83	1.11	*	*	*	1.15
4. Cases Diverted	1.13	**	**	*	*	*	1.33
5. Secure Detention	0.81	**	**	*	*	*	0.76
6. Cases Petitioned	0.93	**	**	*	*	*	0.82
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.77
8. Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,120	15,049	423	385	236	0	27	0	1,071
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,148	1,056	60	20	8	0	4	0	92
4. Cases Diverted	437	401	22	10	4	0	0	0	36
5. Secure Detention	351	321	22	3	3	0	2	0	30
6. Cases Petitioned	711	655	38	10	4	0	4	0	56
7. Delinquent Findings	592	545	31	8	4	0	4	0	47
8. Probation Placement	380	356	12	6	2	0	4	0	24
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.02	0.74	0.48	*	*	*	1.22
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	**	**	*	*	*	1.03
5. Secure Detention	1.21	**	**	*	*	*	1.07
6. Cases Petitioned	1.02	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	**	**	*	*	*	1.01
8. Probation Placement	0.59	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,305	15,156	466	391	264	0	28	0	1,149
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,153	1,014	73	41	14	0	11	0	139
4. Cases Diverted	525	461	35	21	5	0	3	0	64
5. Secure Detention	338	289	25	12	6	0	6	0	49
6. Cases Petitioned	628	553	38	20	9	0	8	0	75
7. Delinquent Findings	515	454	33	15	9	0	4	0	61
8. Probation Placement	377	340	18	11	6	0	2	0	37
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	28	25	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.34	1.57	0.79	*	*	*	1.81
4. Cases Diverted	1.05	1.13	**	*	*	*	1.01
5. Secure Detention	1.20	1.03	**	*	*	*	1.24
6. Cases Petitioned	0.95	0.89	**	*	*	*	0.99
7. Delinquent Findings	1.06	**	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	0.73	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,357	15,108	509	418	294	0	28	0	1,249
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,287	1,166	63	40	13	0	5	0	121
4. Cases Diverted	640	588	23	19	9	0	1	0	52
5. Secure Detention	495	438	28	22	6	0	1	0	57
6. Cases Petitioned	647	578	40	21	4	0	4	0	69
7. Delinquent Findings	537	480	37	14	2	0	4	0	57
8. Probation Placement	322	299	13	7	2	0	1	0	23
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.60	1.24	0.57	*	*	*	1.26
4. Cases Diverted	0.72	0.94	**	*	*	*	0.85
5. Secure Detention	1.18	1.46	**	*	*	*	1.25
6. Cases Petitioned	1.28	1.06	**	*	*	*	1.15
7. Delinquent Findings	1.11	**	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	0.56	**	**	*	*	*	0.65
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 45: Knox County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **88** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **96** personnel hours.

88 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 96 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **793** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([96/793]*100)= 12.1$

Data collection took **12.1** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,055.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Knox County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,718	3,563	75	47	27	0	6	0	155
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	148	143	3	0	0	0	0	2	5
4. Cases Diverted	118	114	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	30	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	25	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	25	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,695	3,537	73	49	30	0	6	0	158
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	111	106	3	0	2	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	80	78	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	15	13	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	31	28	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	29	26	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	28	25	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,673	3,497	80	52	34	0	10	0	176
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	161	152	4	2	0	0	0	3	9
4. Cases Diverted	121	114	3	1	0	0	0	3	7
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	40	38	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	38	36	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	38	36	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.18
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,589	3,407	80	59	36	0	7	0	182
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	137	125	5	1	0	0	0	6	12
4. Cases Diverted	91	80	5	1	0	0	0	5	11
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	46	45	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	43	42	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	42	41	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.80
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,550	3,341	100	65	37	0	7	0	209
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	125	112	8	2	2	0	0	1	13
4. Cases Diverted	76	70	4	1	0	0	0	1	6
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	49	42	4	1	2	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	43	36	4	1	2	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	42	35	4	1	2	0	0	0	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.39	**	**	*	*	*	1.86
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 46: Kosciusko County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **56** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **64** personnel hours.

56 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 64 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,095** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([64/2,095] * 100) = 3.05$

Data collection took **3.05** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$703.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Kosciusko County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,041	8,164	106	692	62	0	17	0	877
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	418	369	13	33	3	0	0	0	49
4. Cases Diverted	319	279	10	27	3	0	0	0	40
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	99	90	3	6	0	0	0	0	9
7. Delinquent Findings	85	78	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	75	68	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	20	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.71	1.06	*	*	*	*	1.24
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.08	*	*	*	*	1.08
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	0.75	*	*	*	*	0.75
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,009	8,076	124	727	67	0	15	0	933
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	426	381	9	31	5	0	0	0	45
4. Cases Diverted	289	255	5	24	5	0	0	0	34
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	137	126	4	7	0	0	0	0	11
7. Delinquent Findings	130	121	3	6	0	0	0	0	9
8. Probation Placement	115	106	3	6	0	0	0	0	9
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	36	33	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.54	0.90	*	*	*	*	1.02
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.16	*	*	*	*	1.13
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	0.68	*	*	*	*	0.74
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,965	7,975	123	779	71	0	17	0	990
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	382	332	16	32	1	0	0	1	50
4. Cases Diverted	228	197	9	20	1	0	0	1	31
5. Secure Detention	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	154	135	7	12	0	0	0	0	19
7. Delinquent Findings	138	124	5	9	0	0	0	0	14
8. Probation Placement	127	114	4	9	0	0	0	0	13
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	23	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.12	0.99	*	*	*	*	1.21
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.05	*	*	*	*	1.04
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	0.92	*	*	*	*	0.93
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,893	7,858	128	821	71	0	15	0	1,035
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	400	338	6	53	2	0	0	1	62
4. Cases Diverted	286	241	4	39	2	0	0	0	45
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	114	97	2	14	0	0	0	1	17
7. Delinquent Findings	103	89	1	13	0	0	0	0	14
8. Probation Placement	91	80	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.09	1.50	*	*	*	*	1.39
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.03	*	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	0.92	*	*	*	*	0.96
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,930	7,800	139	924	55	0	12	0	1,130
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	352	304	10	29	4	1	1	3	48
4. Cases Diverted	246	209	7	23	4	1	1	1	37
5. Secure Detention	9	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	106	95	3	6	0	0	0	2	11
7. Delinquent Findings	94	84	3	6	0	0	0	1	10
8. Probation Placement	80	72	3	4	0	0	0	1	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	10	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.85	0.81	*	*	*	*	1.09
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.12
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.73
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 47: LaGrange County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **84** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **92** personnel hours.

84 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 92 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **575** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([92/575] * 100) = 16.00$

Data collection took **16.00** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,012.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in LaGrange County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,933	4,698	29	185	18	0	3	0	26
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	69	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	67	66	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	66	65	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	65	64	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,984	4,730	35	196	20	0	3	0	254
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	140	134	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	137	131	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	130	125	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	128	123	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.08	*	*	*	*	0.83
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,987	4,718	39	207	19	0	4	0	269
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	129	120	0	7	0	0	0	2	9
4. Cases Diverted	12	10	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	117	110	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
7. Delinquent Findings	109	102	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
8. Probation Placement	108	101	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.33	*	*	*	*	1.32
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,936	4,640	38	237	17	0	3	0	296
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	105	103	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	7	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	98	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	95	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	93	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,011	4,687	38	271	10	0	5	0	324
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	131	121	5	5	0	0	0	0	10
4. Cases Diverted	16	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	115	107	3	5	0	0	0	0	8
7. Delinquent Findings	113	105	3	5	0	0	0	0	8
8. Probation Placement	108	101	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.20
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 48: Lake County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest: The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **312** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **320** personnel hours.

312 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 320 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **13,430** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([320/13,430] * 100) = 2.38$*

Data collection took **2.38** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,520.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Lake County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	58,787	30,021	17,598	10,321	661	0	186	0	28,766
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,501	861	1,197	357	5	0	5	76	1,640
4. Cases Diverted	626	244	258	106	0	0	2	16	382
5. Secure Detention	1,109	303	622	141	0	0	1	42	806
6. Cases Petitioned	1,875	617	939	251	5	0	3	60	1,258
7. Delinquent Findings	1,273	429	627	165	3	0	2	47	844
8. Probation Placement	761	255	370	106	0	0	2	28	506
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	87	24	44	13	0	0	0	6	63
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	7

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.37	1.21	**	*	*	*	1.99
4. Cases Diverted	0.76	1.05	**	*	*	*	0.82
5. Secure Detention	1.48	1.12	**	*	*	*	1.40
6. Cases Petitioned	1.09	0.98	**	*	*	*	1.07
7. Delinquent Findings	0.96	0.95	**	*	*	*	0.96
8. Probation Placement	0.99	1.08	**	*	*	*	1.01
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.25	1.41	**	*	*	*	1.33
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	59,076	29,593	17,831	10,754	715	0	183	0	29,483
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,672	921	1,271	370	4	0	8	98	1,751
4. Cases Diverted	643	273	253	98	1	0	4	14	370
5. Secure Detention	1,354	342	756	194	2	0	2	58	1,012
6. Cases Petitioned	2,029	648	1,018	272	3	0	4	84	1,381
7. Delinquent Findings	1,408	468	681	198	3	0	1	57	940
8. Probation Placement	817	271	403	110	2	0	0	31	546
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	88	16	56	12	0	0	0	4	72
10. Transferred to Adult Court	16	1	13	2	0	0	0	0	15

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.29	1.11	**	*	*	*	1.91
4. Cases Diverted	0.67	0.89	**	*	*	*	0.71
5. Secure Detention	1.60	1.41	**	*	*	*	1.56
6. Cases Petitioned	1.14	1.04	**	*	*	*	1.12
7. Delinquent Findings	0.93	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.94
8. Probation Placement	1.02	0.96	**	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.41	1.77	**	*	*	*	2.24
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	58,899	29,062	17,850	11,019	773	0	195	0	29,837
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,629	941	1,165	404	4	1	7	107	1,688
4. Cases Diverted	699	295	267	115	2	0	4	16	404
5. Secure Detention	1,241	334	631	214	2	0	3	57	907
6. Cases Petitioned	1,930	646	898	289	2	0	3	91	1,283
7. Delinquent Findings	1,291	413	621	192	1	0	1	63	878
8. Probation Placement	762	256	367	100	1	0	1	37	506
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	70	18	37	10	0	0	0	5	52
10. Transferred to Adult Court	25	11	10	4	0	0	0	0	14

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.02	1.13	**	*	*	*	1.75
4. Cases Diverted	0.73	0.91	**	*	*	*	0.76
5. Secure Detention	1.53	1.49	**	*	*	*	1.51
6. Cases Petitioned	1.12	1.04	**	*	*	*	1.11
7. Delinquent Findings	1.08	1.04	**	*	*	*	1.07
8. Probation Placement	0.95	0.84	**	*	*	*	0.93
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.37	1.20	**	*	*	*	1.36
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0.65	**	**	*	*	*	0.64
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	58,299	28,292	17,719	11,293	808	0	187	0	30,007
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,706	916	1,292	371	5	0	6	116	1,790
4. Cases Diverted	628	254	267	78	3	0	2	24	374
5. Secure Detention	1,292	313	729	185	0	0	2	63	979
6. Cases Petitioned	2,078	662	1,025	293	2	0	4	92	1,416
7. Delinquent Findings	1,392	442	686	196	0	0	3	65	950
8. Probation Placement	754	222	386	103	0	0	1	42	532
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	78	13	48	14	0	0	0	3	65
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	8

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.25	1.01	**	*	*	*	1.84
4. Cases Diverted	0.75	0.76	**	*	*	*	0.75
5. Secure Detention	1.65	1.46	**	*	*	*	1.60
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	1.09	**	*	*	*	1.09
7. Delinquent Findings	1.00	1.00	**	*	*	*	1.00
8. Probation Placement	1.12	1.05	--	*	*	*	1.11
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.38	2.43	--	*	*	*	2.33
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	59,172	27,931	18,516	11,626	905	0	194	0	31,241
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,922	930	1,455	393	1	2	4	137	1,992
4. Cases Diverted	779	295	347	101	0	1	0	35	484
5. Secure Detention	1,444	335	839	199	0	0	3	68	1,109
6. Cases Petitioned	2,143	635	1,108	292	1	1	4	102	1,508
7. Delinquent Findings	1,389	416	699	200	0	1	3	70	973
8. Probation Placement	723	210	383	99	0	0	2	29	513
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	79	13	52	12	0	0	0	2	66
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.36	1.02	**	*	*	*	1.91
4. Cases Diverted	0.75	0.81	**	*	*	*	0.77
5. Secure Detention	1.60	1.41	**	*	*	*	1.55
6. Cases Petitioned	1.12	1.09	**	*	*	*	1.11
7. Delinquent Findings	0.96	1.05	**	*	*	*	0.98
8. Probation Placement	1.09	0.98	--	*	*	*	1.04
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.38	1.92	--	*	*	*	2.17
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 49: LaPorte County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest: The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **230** personnel hours spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **238** personnel hours.

$$230 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 238 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **4,749** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$$([238/4,749] * 100) = 5.01$$

Data collection took **5.01** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,617.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in LaPorte County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	12,018	9,749	1,509	664	49	0	47	0	2,269
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	948	528	335	48	2	0	0	35	420
4. Cases Diverted	289	183	86	9	2	0	0	9	106
5. Secure Detention	287	143	112	19	0	0	0	13	144
6. Cases Petitioned	659	345	249	39	0	0	0	26	314
7. Delinquent Findings	430	223	158	29	0	0	0	20	207
8. Probation Placement	370	194	135	22	0	0	0	19	176
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	60	31	19	8	0	0	0	2	29
10. Transferred to Adult Court	10	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	10

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.10	1.33	*	*	*	*	3.42
4. Cases Diverted	0.74	0.54	*	*	*	*	0.73
5. Secure Detention	1.23	1.46	*	*	*	*	1.27
6. Cases Petitioned	1.14	1.24	*	*	*	*	1.14
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	1.15	*	*	*	*	1.02
8. Probation Placement	0.98	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0.87	**	*	*	*	*	1.01
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,917	9,575	1,531	712	49	0	50	0	2,342
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	965	553	337	47	0	0	0	28	412
4. Cases Diverted	294	178	98	16	0	0	0	2	116
5. Secure Detention	347	176	139	20	0	0	0	12	171
6. Cases Petitioned	671	375	239	31	0	0	0	26	296
7. Delinquent Findings	472	265	168	22	0	0	0	17	207
8. Probation Placement	418	235	153	15	0	0	0	15	183
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	57	35	16	5	0	0	0	1	22
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	5

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.81	1.14	*	*	*	*	3.05
4. Cases Diverted	0.90	1.06	*	*	*	*	0.87
5. Secure Detention	1.30	1.34	*	*	*	*	1.30
6. Cases Petitioned	1.05	0.97	*	*	*	*	1.06
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	1.00	*	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	1.03	**	*	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0.72	**	*	*	*	*	0.80
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,839	9,421	1,567	753	48	0	50	0	2,418
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,013	561	369	46	2	0	0	35	452
4. Cases Diverted	336	200	112	16	0	0	0	8	136
5. Secure Detention	333	170	136	17	0	0	0	10	163
6. Cases Petitioned	677	361	257	30	2	0	0	27	316
7. Delinquent Findings	486	260	183	21	0	0	0	22	226
8. Probation Placement	418	220	160	18	0	0	0	20	198
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	55	27	23	3	0	0	0	2	28
10. Transferred to Adult Court	12	5	1	5	0	0	0	1	7

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.95	1.03	*	*	*	*	3.14
4. Cases Diverted	0.85	0.98	*	*	*	*	0.84
5. Secure Detention	1.22	1.22	*	*	*	*	1.19
6. Cases Petitioned	1.08	1.01	*	*	*	*	1.09
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	**	*	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	1.03	**	*	*	*	*	1.04
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.21	**	*	*	*	*	1.19
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,759	9,281	1,611	777	48	0	42	0	2,478
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	991	526	396	38	1	0	0	30	465
4. Cases Diverted	331	160	138	18	1	0	0	14	171
5. Secure Detention	346	163	157	14	0	0	0	12	183
6. Cases Petitioned	660	366	258	20	0	0	0	16	294
7. Delinquent Findings	489	278	182	15	0	0	0	14	211
8. Probation Placement	406	243	140	10	0	0	0	13	163
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	50	24	23	3	0	0	0	0	26
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	4

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.34	0.86	*	*	*	*	3.31
4. Cases Diverted	1.15	1.56	*	*	*	*	1.21
5. Secure Detention	1.28	1.19	*	*	*	*	1.27
6. Cases Petitioned	0.94	0.76	*	*	*	*	0.91
7. Delinquent Findings	0.93	**	*	*	*	*	0.94
8. Probation Placement	0.88	**	*	*	*	*	0.88
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.46	**	*	*	*	*	1.43
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	11,673	9,120	1,635	814	58	0	46	0	2,553
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	792	464	281	30	0	0	1	16	328
4. Cases Diverted	253	156	79	13	0	0	1	4	97
5. Secure Detention	243	128	109	3	0	0	0	3	115
6. Cases Petitioned	539	308	202	17	0	0	0	12	231
7. Delinquent Findings	372	221	129	13	0	0	0	9	151
8. Probation Placement	320	191	108	13	0	0	0	8	129
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	46	26	19	0	0	0	0	1	20
10. Transferred to Adult Court	20	7	13	0	0	0	0	0	13

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.38	0.72	*	*	*	*	2.53
4. Cases Diverted	0.84	**	*	*	*	*	0.88
5. Secure Detention	1.41	**	*	*	*	*	1.27
6. Cases Petitioned	1.08	**	*	*	*	*	1.06
7. Delinquent Findings	0.89	**	*	*	*	*	0.91
8. Probation Placement	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.25	**	*	*	*	*	1.13
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2.83	**	*	*	*	*	2.48
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 50: Lawrence County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **336** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **344** personnel hours.

336 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 344 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **773** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([344/773] * 100) = 44.50$

Data collection took **44.50** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,784.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Lawrence County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,972	4,819	51	76	19	0	7	0	153
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	162	159	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	62	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	100	97	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	88	85	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	84	81	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,929	4,785	46	71	19	0	8	0	144
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	111	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	61	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	56	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	54	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,928	4,775	46	71	28	0	8	0	153
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	186	183	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	65	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	121	118	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	103	100	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	95	92	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,895	4,743	52	63	29	0	8	0	152
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	159	155	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	69	68	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	90	87	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	80	77	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	71	68	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,017	4,834	74	79	19	0	11	0	183
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	155	149	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	66	62	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	20	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	89	87	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	73	71	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	60	58	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.06
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 51: Madison County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest - The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **148** personnel hours spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **156** personnel hours.

148 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 156 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **6,326** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([156/6,326] * 100) = 2.47$

Data collection took **2.47** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,719.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Madison County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	14,033	12,089	1,537	295	81	0	31	0	1,944
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,217	791	352	22	0	0	0	52	426
4. Cases Diverted	530	323	170	14	0	0	0	23	207
5. Secure Detention	389	246	125	4	0	0	0	14	143
6. Cases Petitioned	687	468	182	8	0	0	0	29	219
7. Delinquent Findings	481	331	125	4	0	0	0	21	150
8. Probation Placement	382	268	98	3	0	0	0	13	114
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	83	53	24	0	0	0	0	6	30
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.50	1.14	*	*	*	*	3.35
4. Cases Diverted	1.18	**	*	*	*	*	1.19
5. Secure Detention	1.14	**	*	*	*	*	1.08
6. Cases Petitioned	0.87	**	*	*	*	*	0.87
7. Delinquent Findings	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.94
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.20	**	*	*	*	*	1.25
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	13,952	11,945	1,565	331	80	0	31	0	2,007
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,367	859	431	27	1	0	4	45	508
4. Cases Diverted	532	343	154	17	0	0	2	16	189
5. Secure Detention	468	269	174	9	1	0	2	13	199
6. Cases Petitioned	835	516	277	10	1	0	2	29	319
7. Delinquent Findings	590	367	194	7	0	0	2	20	223
8. Probation Placement	499	310	171	4	0	0	2	12	189
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	66	34	26	1	0	0	0	5	32
10. Transferred to Adult Court	6	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	4

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.83	1.13	*	*	*	*	3.52
4. Cases Diverted	0.89	**	*	*	*	*	0.93
5. Secure Detention	1.29	**	*	*	*	*	1.25
6. Cases Petitioned	1.07	**	*	*	*	*	1.05
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
8. Probation Placement	1.04	**	*	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.45	**	*	*	*	*	1.55
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	13,905	11,868	1,583	338	84	0	32	0	2,037
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,327	869	373	29	1	0	0	55	458
4. Cases Diverted	550	351	152	18	1	0	0	28	199
5. Secure Detention	456	282	150	8	0	0	0	16	174
6. Cases Petitioned	777	518	221	11	0	0	0	27	259
7. Delinquent Findings	544	361	156	9	0	0	0	18	183
8. Probation Placement	434	301	113	5	0	0	0	15	133
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	65	34	26	1	0	0	0	4	31
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.22	1.17	*	*	*	*	3.07
4. Cases Diverted	1.01	**	*	*	*	*	1.08
5. Secure Detention	1.24	**	*	*	*	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned	0.99	**	*	*	*	*	0.95
7. Delinquent Findings	1.01	**	*	*	*	*	1.01
8. Probation Placement	0.87	**	*	*	*	*	0.87
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.77	**	*	*	*	*	1.80
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	13,718	11,684	1,557	358	89	0	30	0	2,034
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,226	789	340	35	0	0	0	62	437
4. Cases Diverted	471	324	108	18	0	0	0	21	147
5. Secure Detention	433	255	152	6	0	0	0	20	178
6. Cases Petitioned	755	465	232	17	0	0	0	41	290
7. Delinquent Findings	538	324	167	15	0	0	0	32	214
8. Probation Placement	463	281	139	14	0	0	0	29	182
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	34	22	11	0	0	0	0	1	12
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.23	1.45	*	*	*	*	3.18
4. Cases Diverted	0.77	1.25	*	*	*	*	0.82
5. Secure Detention	1.38	0.53	*	*	*	*	1.26
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	0.82	*	*	*	*	1.13
7. Delinquent Findings	1.03	**	*	*	*	*	1.06
8. Probation Placement	0.96	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0.97	**	*	*	*	*	0.83
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	13,622	11,525	1,552	409	102	0	34	0	2,097
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,118	741	287	29	2	0	1	58	377
4. Cases Diverted	497	349	112	10	0	0	0	26	148
5. Secure Detention	346	201	117	9	0	0	1	18	145
6. Cases Petitioned	621	392	175	19	2	0	1	32	229
7. Delinquent Findings	460	286	133	13	2	0	1	25	174
8. Probation Placement	412	257	115	13	2	0	1	24	155
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	18	10	7	0	0	0	0	1	8
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.88	1.10	*	*	*	*	2.80
4. Cases Diverted	0.83	**	*	*	*	*	0.83
5. Secure Detention	1.50	**	*	*	*	*	1.42
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	**	*	*	*	*	1.15
7. Delinquent Findings	1.04	**	*	*	*	*	1.04
8. Probation Placement	0.96	**	*	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.51	**	*	*	*	*	1.31
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 52: Marion County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest: The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **280** personnel hours spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **288** personnel hours.

280 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 288 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **46,153** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([288/46,153] * 100) = 0.62$*

Data collection took **0.62** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,168.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Marion County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	97,296	56,893	33,001	5,550	1,559	0	293	0	40,403
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	9,694	3,122	5,807	374	7	0	4	380	6,572
4. Cases Diverted	4,816	1,571	2,837	230	6	0	2	170	3,245
5. Secure Detention	5,227	1,663	3,134	185	4	0	2	239	3,564
6. Cases Petitioned	4,878	1,551	2,970	144	1	0	2	210	3,327
7. Delinquent Findings	3,210	1,094	1,870	99	1	0	2	144	2,116
8. Probation Placement	2,701	936	1,565	85	1	0	2	112	1,765
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	302	78	192	9	0	0	0	23	224
10. Transferred to Adult Court	101	21	71	4	0	0	0	5	80

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.21	1.23	0.08	*	*	*	2.96
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	1.22	**	*	*	*	0.98
5. Secure Detention	1.01	0.93	**	*	*	*	1.02
6. Cases Petitioned	1.03	0.78	**	*	*	*	1.02
7. Delinquent Findings	0.89	0.97	**	*	*	*	0.90
8. Probation Placement	0.98	1.00	**	*	*	*	0.97
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.44	1.28	**	*	*	*	1.48
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.77	**	**	*	*	*	1.78
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	97,478	56,503	32,940	6,095	1,650	0	290	0	40,975
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	9,495	3,056	5,576	446	10	0	5	402	6,439
4. Cases Diverted	4,720	1,568	2,709	263	5	0	2	173	3,152
5. Secure Detention	3,891	1,095	2,470	149	4	0	2	171	2,796
6. Cases Petitioned	4,775	1,488	2,867	183	5	0	3	229	3,287
7. Delinquent Findings	2,900	968	1,673	110	5	0	2	142	1,932
8. Probation Placement	2,561	848	1,481	97	2	0	2	131	1,713
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	280	77	191	2	0	0	0	10	203
10. Transferred to Adult Court	105	22	73	4	0	0	0	6	83

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.13	1.35	0.11	*	*	*	2.91
4. Cases Diverted	0.95	1.15	**	*	*	*	0.95
5. Secure Detention	1.24	0.93	**	*	*	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	1.06	0.84	**	*	*	*	1.05
7. Delinquent Findings	0.90	0.92	**	*	*	*	0.90
8. Probation Placement	1.01	1.01	**	*	*	*	1.01
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.44	**	**	*	*	*	1.32
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.72	**	**	*	*	*	1.71
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	96,991	55,830	32,474	6,696	1,709	0	282	0	41,161
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	8,695	2,841	4,959	484	17	2	2	390	5,854
4. Cases Diverted	5,008	1,655	2,810	306	10	2	2	223	3,353
5. Secure Detention	2,500	731	1,534	122	5	0	0	108	1,769
6. Cases Petitioned	3,687	1,186	2,149	178	7	0	0	167	2,501
7. Delinquent Findings	2,220	763	1,245	104	5	0	0	103	1,457
8. Probation Placement	1,940	668	1,091	93	4	0	0	84	1,272
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	233	50	158	11	0	0	0	14	183
10. Transferred to Adult Court	62	15	42	1	0	0	0	4	47

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.00	1.42	0.20	*	*	*	2.79
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	1.09	**	*	*	*	0.98
5. Secure Detention	1.20	0.98	**	*	*	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned	1.04	0.88	**	*	*	*	1.02
7. Delinquent Findings	0.90	0.91	**	*	*	*	0.91
8. Probation Placement	1.00	1.02	**	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.94	1.61	**	*	*	*	1.92
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.55	**	**	*	*	*	1.49
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	96,376	55,169	32,007	7,165	1,761	0	274	0	41,207
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	9,518	2,862	5,669	557	12	5	7	406	6,656
4. Cases Diverted	6,337	1,992	3,664	407	8	3	4	259	4,345
5. Secure Detention	2,492	584	1,680	115	5	1	2	105	1,908
6. Cases Petitioned	3,181	870	2,005	150	4	2	3	147	2,311
7. Delinquent Findings	2,353	664	1,469	110	2	2	2	104	1,689
8. Probation Placement	1,934	542	1,207	92	1	2	2	88	1,392
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	277	58	204	8	1	0	0	6	219
10. Transferred to Adult Court	52	17	33	0	0	0	0	2	35

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.41	1.50	0.13	*	*	*	3.11
4. Cases Diverted	0.93	1.05	**	*	*	*	0.94
5. Secure Detention	1.45	1.01	**	*	*	*	1.40
6. Cases Petitioned	1.16	0.89	**	*	*	*	1.14
7. Delinquent Findings	0.96	0.96	**	*	*	*	0.96
8. Probation Placement	1.01	1.02	**	*	*	*	1.01
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.59	0.83	**	*	*	*	1.48
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0.84	**	**	*	*	*	0.78
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	90,309	50,465	30,600	7,259	1,724	0	261	0	39,844
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	8,751	2,614	5,105	601	3	3	3	422	6,137
4. Cases Diverted	5,713	1,777	3,264	404	2	1	3	262	3,936
5. Secure Detention	2,303	540	1,501	133	0	2	0	127	1,763
6. Cases Petitioned	3,038	837	1,841	197	1	2	0	160	2,201
7. Delinquent Findings	2,199	647	1,281	154	1	1	0	115	1,552
8. Probation Placement	1,793	519	1,052	119	1	1	0	101	1,274
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	169	48	101	16	0	0	0	4	121
10. Transferred to Adult Court	28	8	20	0	0	0	0	0	20

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.22	1.60	**	*	*	*	2.97
4. Cases Diverted	0.94	0.99	**	*	*	*	0.94
5. Secure Detention	1.42	1.07	**	*	*	*	1.39
6. Cases Petitioned	1.13	1.02	**	*	*	*	1.12
7. Delinquent Findings	0.90	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.91
8. Probation Placement	1.02	0.96	**	*	*	*	1.02
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.06	1.40	**	*	*	*	1.05
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.14	**	**	*	*	*	0.95
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 53: Marshall County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **44** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **52** personnel hours.

44 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 52 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,485** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$(52/1,485) * 100 = 3.50$

Data collection took **3.50** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$572.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Marshall County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,680	5,118	61	465	7	0	29	0	562
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	220	192	1	27	0	0	0	0	28
4. Cases Diverted	141	122	0	19	0	0	0	0	19
5. Secure Detention	13	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	79	70	1	8	0	0	0	0	9
7. Delinquent Findings	66	58	1	7	0	0	0	0	8
8. Probation Placement	62	54	1	7	0	0	0	0	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.55	*	*	*	*	1.33
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,628	5,028	73	490	8	0	29	0	600
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	273	232	8	33	0	0	0	0	41
4. Cases Diverted	168	148	6	14	0	0	0	0	20
5. Secure Detention	25	20	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
6. Cases Petitioned	105	84	2	19	0	0	0	0	21
7. Delinquent Findings	90	75	2	13	0	0	0	0	15
8. Probation Placement	87	74	2	11	0	0	0	0	13
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	5	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.38	1.46	*	*	*	*	1.48
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.67	*	*	*	*	0.76
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.59	*	*	*	*	1.41
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,460	4,868	79	476	10	0	27	0	592
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	307	273	6	28	0	0	0	0	34
4. Cases Diverted	194	174	3	17	0	0	0	0	20
5. Secure Detention	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	113	99	3	11	0	0	0	0	14
7. Delinquent Findings	92	82	2	8	0	0	0	0	10
8. Probation Placement	89	79	2	8	0	0	0	0	10
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.35	1.05	*	*	*	*	1.02
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.14
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,404	4,787	84	495	14	0	24	0	617
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	330	288	12	30	0	0	0	0	42
4. Cases Diverted	193	172	6	15	0	0	0	0	21
5. Secure Detention	40	33	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
6. Cases Petitioned	137	116	6	15	0	0	0	0	21
7. Delinquent Findings	108	94	5	9	0	0	0	0	14
8. Probation Placement	102	89	4	9	0	0	0	0	13
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	17	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.37	1.01	*	*	*	*	1.13
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.84
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.45
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.24
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,691	4,971	104	579	19	0	18	0	720
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	355	318	10	27	0	0	0	0	37
4. Cases Diverted	207	181	3	23	0	0	0	0	26
5. Secure Detention	32	29	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	148	137	7	4	0	0	0	0	11
7. Delinquent Findings	139	130	6	3	0	0	0	0	9
8. Probation Placement	126	119	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	15	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.50	0.73	*	*	*	*	0.80
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.23
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.69
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 54: Martin County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **40** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **48** personnel hours.

40 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 48 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **122** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([48/122] * 100) = 39.34$

Data collection took **39.34** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$528.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Martin County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,103	1,087	5	9	2	0	0	0	16
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,175	1,063	3	8	1	0	0	0	12
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,066	1,056	4	5	1	0	0	0	10
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,042	1,031	5	5	1	0	0	0	11
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,067	1,035	22	8	2	0	0	0	32
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 55: Miami County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **24** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **879** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([24/879]*100)= 2.73$

Data collection took **2.73** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Miami County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,129	3,842	123	89	12	0	63	0	287
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	160	150	9	1	0	0	0	0	10
4. Cases Diverted	86	80	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	22	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	74	70	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	64	61	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	62	59	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.87	**	*	*	**	*	0.89
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,048	3,774	121	83	12	0	58	0	274
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	204	197	3	2	0	0	0	2	7
4. Cases Diverted	119	116	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
5. Secure Detention	25	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	85	81	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
7. Delinquent Findings	74	71	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
8. Probation Placement	73	70	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	0.49
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,932	3,654	116	86	12	0	64	0	278
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	158	149	8	1	0	0	0	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	74	69	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	32	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	84	80	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	70	67	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	68	65	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.69	**	*	*	**	*	0.79
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,800	3,540	101	86	16	0	57	0	260
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	194	179	12	2	0	0	0	1	15
4. Cases Diverted	115	106	6	2	0	0	0	1	9
5. Secure Detention	27	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	79	73	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	59	55	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	57	53	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.35	**	*	*	**	*	1.14
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,768	3,507	95	94	17	0	55	0	261
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	163	144	13	1	0	0	0	5	19
4. Cases Diverted	83	77	4	0	0	0	0	2	6
5. Secure Detention	27	23	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	80	67	9	1	0	0	0	3	13
7. Delinquent Findings	66	56	7	0	0	0	0	3	10
8. Probation Placement	66	56	7	0	0	0	0	3	10
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.33	**	*	*	**	*	1.77
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	--	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 56: Monroe County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **456** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **464** personnel hours.

456 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 464 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,710** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*(464/2,710*100) = 17.12*

Data collection took **17.12** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$5,104.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Monroe County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,495	8,535	475	208	251	0	26	0	960
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	403	315	53	14	0	0	0	21	88
4. Cases Diverted	291	233	40	5	0	0	0	13	58
5. Secure Detention	31	21	7	1	0	0	0	2	10
6. Cases Petitioned	112	82	13	9	0	0	0	8	30
7. Delinquent Findings	78	56	9	5	0	0	0	8	22
8. Probation Placement	75	53	9	5	0	0	0	8	22
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.02	1.82	**	*	*	*	2.48
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	**	--	*	*	*	0.89
5. Secure Detention	1.98	**	--	*	*	*	1.70
6. Cases Petitioned	0.94	**	--	*	*	*	1.31
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,684	8,649	512	226	275	0	22	0	1,035
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	450	364	66	9	3	0	0	8	86
4. Cases Diverted	322	260	48	4	3	0	0	7	62
5. Secure Detention	73	61	9	3	0	0	0	0	12
6. Cases Petitioned	128	104	18	5	0	0	0	1	24
7. Delinquent Findings	66	59	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	62	56	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.06	0.95	**	*	*	*	1.97
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	**	**	*	*	*	1.01
5. Secure Detention	0.81	**	**	*	*	*	0.83
6. Cases Petitioned	0.95	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,726	8,638	520	243	301	0	24	0	1,088
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	539	434	68	16	3	0	0	18	105
4. Cases Diverted	376	309	39	11	3	0	0	14	67
5. Secure Detention	67	57	9	1	0	0	0	0	10
6. Cases Petitioned	163	125	29	5	0	0	0	4	38
7. Delinquent Findings	84	59	17	4	0	0	0	4	25
8. Probation Placement	82	57	17	4	0	0	0	4	25
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.60	1.31	**	*	*	*	1.92
4. Cases Diverted	0.81	**	**	*	*	*	0.90
5. Secure Detention	1.01	**	**	*	*	*	0.73
6. Cases Petitioned	1.48	**	**	*	*	*	1.26
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	1.39
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,766	8,673	526	242	307	0	18	0	1,093
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	700	553	106	15	1	0	0	25	147
4. Cases Diverted	479	385	64	11	0	0	0	19	94
5. Secure Detention	93	70	18	1	0	0	0	4	23
6. Cases Petitioned	221	168	42	4	1	0	0	6	53
7. Delinquent Findings	132	105	22	1	0	0	0	4	27
8. Probation Placement	119	98	16	1	0	0	0	4	21
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.16	0.97	**	*	*	*	2.11
4. Cases Diverted	0.87	**	**	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	1.34	**	**	*	*	*	1.24
6. Cases Petitioned	1.30	**	**	*	*	*	1.19
7. Delinquent Findings	0.84	**	**	*	*	*	0.82
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,944	7,825	487	250	360	0	22	0	1,119
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	618	465	109	20	2	1	0	21	153
4. Cases Diverted	423	329	62	16	2	1	0	13	94
5. Secure Detention	94	56	31	5	0	0	0	2	38
6. Cases Petitioned	195	136	47	4	0	0	0	8	59
7. Delinquent Findings	148	101	38	2	0	0	0	7	47
8. Probation Placement	136	93	35	2	0	0	0	6	43
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.77	1.35	**	*	*	*	2.30
4. Cases Diverted	0.80	**	**	*	*	*	0.87
5. Secure Detention	2.36	**	**	*	*	*	2.06
6. Cases Petitioned	1.47	**	**	*	*	*	1.32
7. Delinquent Findings	1.09	**	--	*	*	*	1.07
8. Probation Placement	1.00	**	--	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 57: Montgomery County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **272** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **280** personnel hours.

272 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 280 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,470** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([280/1,470] * 100) = 19.05$*

Data collection took **19.05** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,080.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Montgomery County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,479	4,297	69	82	14	0	17	0	182
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	294	266	11	15	0	0	0	2	28
4. Cases Diverted	192	177	5	8	0	0	0	2	15
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	102	89	6	7	0	0	0	0	13
7. Delinquent Findings	97	84	6	7	0	0	0	0	13
8. Probation Placement	83	71	6	6	0	0	0	0	12
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.58	2.96	*	*	*	*	2.49
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,410	4,220	70	90	15	0	15	0	190
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	339	311	12	12	0	0	0	4	28
4. Cases Diverted	259	237	10	9	0	0	0	3	22
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	80	74	2	3	0	0	0	1	6
7. Delinquent Findings	73	68	1	3	0	0	0	1	5
8. Probation Placement	68	64	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.33	1.81	*	*	*	*	2.00
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,367	4,163	71	104	16	0	13	0	204
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	330	307	15	8	0	0	0	0	23
4. Cases Diverted	229	217	7	5	0	0	0	0	12
5. Secure Detention	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	101	90	8	3	0	0	0	0	11
7. Delinquent Findings	92	81	8	3	0	0	0	0	11
8. Probation Placement	75	65	8	2	0	0	0	0	10
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.86	1.04	*	*	*	*	1.53
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,238	4,032	69	111	17	0	9	0	206
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	280	249	18	11	0	0	0	2	31
4. Cases Diverted	191	172	11	6	0	0	0	2	19
5. Secure Detention	8	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	89	77	7	5	0	0	0	0	12
7. Delinquent Findings	76	66	6	4	0	0	0	0	10
8. Probation Placement	63	55	6	2	0	0	0	0	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	17	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.22	1.60	*	*	*	*	2.44
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.89
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.25
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,229	3,972	102	126	21	0	8	0	257
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	227	200	22	3	0	0	1	1	27
4. Cases Diverted	152	131	16	3	0	0	1	1	21
5. Secure Detention	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	75	69	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	66	60	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	50	46	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.28	**	*	*	*	*	2.09
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 58: Morgan County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **320** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **328** personnel hours.

$$320 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 328 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,143** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([328/1,143] * 100) = 28.69$$

Data collection took **28.69** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,608.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables:

The following tables represent the findings in Morgan County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,195	7,998	84	90	16	0	17	0	207
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	198	195	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	33	32	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	165	163	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
7. Delinquent Findings	104	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	86	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,172	7,960	89	89	17	0	17	0	212
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	231	227	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
4. Cases Diverted	37	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	194	191	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
7. Delinquent Findings	136	134	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	110	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	15	14	0	1	0	0	0		1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,054	7,841	92	88	19	0	14	0	213
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	254	251	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	210	207	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	140	138	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	95	93	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,046	7,813	108	91	19	0	15	0	233
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	240	232	3	1	0	0	0	4	8
4. Cases Diverted	33	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	37	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
6. Cases Petitioned	207	200	2	1	0	0	0	4	7
7. Delinquent Findings	138	133	1	1	0	0	0	3	5
8. Probation Placement	98	95	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	22	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.16
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	8,232	7,948	141	107	23	0	13	0	284
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	220	217	1	0	1	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	39	37	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	14	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	181	180	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	81	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 59: Newton County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. – This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **76** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **84** personnel hours.

76 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 84 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **341** valid juvenile delinquency cases

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([84/341] * 100) = 24.63$

Data collection took **24.63** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$924.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Newton County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,691	1,593	3	91	2	0	2	0	98
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	77	74	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	27	26	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	50	48	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	43	41	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	39	37	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,633	1,514	18	97	3	0	1	0	119
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	92	87	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	41	39	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	51	48	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	38	36	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	38	36	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,593	1,469	19	99	4	0	2	0	124
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	59	57	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	20	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	39	38	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	32	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	32	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,521	1,400	19	96	4	0	2	0	121
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	66	64	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	41	39	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,559	1,391	25	134	6	0	3	0	168
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	47	44	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	13	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	34	32	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	31	29	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	21	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 60: Noble County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **140** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **148** personnel hours.

140 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 148 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,193** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([148/1,193] * 100) = 12.40$*

Data collection took **12.40** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,628.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Noble County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,870	5,161	37	624	30	0	18	0	709
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	237	211	1	24	1	0	0	0	26
4. Cases Diverted	134	125	1	7	1	0	0	0	9
5. Secure Detention	15	12	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	103	86	0	17	0	0	0	0	17
7. Delinquent Findings	74	61	0	13	0	0	0	0	13
8. Probation Placement	58	47	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	19	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	14	11	0	3	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.94	*	*	*	*	0.90
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,846	5,063	44	688	33	0	18	0	783
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	244	218	0	26	0	0	0	0	26
4. Cases Diverted	143	129	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	101	89	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
7. Delinquent Findings	78	68	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
8. Probation Placement	50	44	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	22	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.88	*	*	*	*	0.77
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,776	4,932	45	742	36	0	21	0	844
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	233	211	1	20	0	0	1	0	22
4. Cases Diverted	140	127	1	11	0	0	1	0	13
5. Secure Detention	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	93	84	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
7. Delinquent Findings	79	73	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	63	58	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	27	26	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.63	*	*	*	*	0.61
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,802	4,886	44	813	39	0	20	0	916
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	240	210	1	26	0	0	0	3	30
4. Cases Diverted	143	124	0	17	0	0	0	2	19
5. Secure Detention	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	97	86	1	9	0	0	0	1	11
7. Delinquent Findings	91	80	1	9	0	0	0	1	11
8. Probation Placement	75	66	1	7	0	0	0	1	9
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	16	14	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.74	*	*	*	*	0.76
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,881	4,831	59	938	31	0	22	0	1,050
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	239	199	2	36	1	0	0	1	40
4. Cases Diverted	140	122	2	14	1	0	0	1	18
5. Secure Detention	23	19	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	99	77	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
7. Delinquent Findings	90	69	0	21	0	0	0	0	21
8. Probation Placement	52	38	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	28	24	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.93	*	*	*	*	0.92
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.63	*	*	*	*	0.73
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.58	*	*	*	*	1.42
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 61: Ohio County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **1** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **9** personnel hours.

1 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 9 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **50** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([9/54] * 100) = 16.67$

Data collection took **16.67** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$99.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Ohio County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	639	630	5	2	2	0	0	0	9
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	629	615	10	2	2	0	0		14
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	604	592	11	1	0	0	0	0	12
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	9	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	9	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	9	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	595	579	14	2	0	0	0	0	16
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	601	582	17	2	0	0	0	0	19
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	18	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	16	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 62: Orange County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **32** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **40** personnel hours.

32 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 40 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **165** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([40/165] * 100) = 24.24$

Data collection took **24.24** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$440.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Orange County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,234	2,181	27	14	8	0	4	0	53
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	21	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,232	2,173	27	21	6	0	5	0	59
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	42	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,228	2,164	32	24	4	0	4	0	64
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	31	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	15	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,166	2,104	31	23	2	0	6	0	62
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	39	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,237	2,155	46	26	2	0	8	0	82
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	32	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
4. Cases Diverted	18	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 63: Owen County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **128** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **136** personnel hours.

128 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 136 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **306** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([136/306] * 100) = 44.44$

Data collection took **44.44** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,496.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Owen County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,672	2,587	25	42	5	0	13	0	85
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	61	60	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	11	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	60	59	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,575	2,490	29	41	4	0	11	0	85
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	93	90	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
4. Cases Diverted	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	91	88	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
7. Delinquent Findings	59	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	59	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,502	2,419	30	41	4	0	8	0	83
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	68	67	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	67	66	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	58	57	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	53	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,443	2,367	29	37	3	0	7	0	76
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	55	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,453	2,366	39	38	2	0	8	0	87
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 64: Parke County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **24** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **319** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([24/319] * 100) = 7.52$

Data collection took **7.52** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Parke County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,881	1,845	19	9	7	0	1	0	36
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	48	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,814	1,778	21	8	6	0	1	0	36
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	55	53	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	54	52	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	51	49	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	49	47	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,725	1,691	21	6	5	0	2	0	34
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	69	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	64	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,676	1,636	25	9	4	0	2	0	40
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	79	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	76	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	68	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	65	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,673	1,612	37	20	2	0	2	0	61
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	64	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	53	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	49	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	49	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 65: Perry County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **32** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **40** personnel hours.

32 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 40 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **472** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([40/472] * 100) = 8.47$

Data collection took **8.47** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$440.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Perry County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,877	1,831	22	22	1	0	1	0	46
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	77	75	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	68	66	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,790	1,741	24	23	1	0	1	0	49
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	93	92	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	80	79	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,741	1,684	27	27	1	0	2	0	57
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	92	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	59	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,694	1,637	26	27	2	0	2	0	57
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	91	90	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	58	57	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,747	1,674	36	31	2	0	4	0	73
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	119	118	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	62	61	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	57	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	38	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 66: Pike County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc.: This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **24** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **169** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([24/169]*100)= 14.20$

Data collection took **14.20** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Pike County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,366	1,347	2	14	1	0	2	0	19
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,337	1,311	6	18	0	0	2	0	26
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,294	1,262	8	19	2	0	3	0	32
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	46	44	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	15	13	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	42	41	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	42	41	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	42	41	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,264	1,229	10	20	2	0	3	0	35
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,266	1,212	25	24	2	0	3	0	54
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 67: Porter County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest: The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **296** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **304** personnel hours.

296 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 304 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **5,611** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([304/5,611] * 100) = 5.41$*

Data collection took **5.41** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,344.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Porter County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,594	15,407	584	1,368	189	0	46	0	2,187
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	941	808	78	55	0	0	0	0	133
4. Cases Diverted	473	405	38	30	0	0	0	0	68
5. Secure Detention	343	292	35	16	0	0	0	0	51
6. Cases Petitioned	468	403	40	25	0	0	0	0	65
7. Delinquent Findings	362	322	21	19	0	0	0	0	40
8. Probation Placement	335	299	17	19	0	0	0	0	36
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.55	0.77	**	*	*	*	1.16
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	1.09	--	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	1.24	0.80	--	*	*	*	1.06
6. Cases Petitioned	1.03	0.91	--	*	*	*	0.98
7. Delinquent Findings	0.66	**	--	*	*	*	0.77
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	0.97
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,712	15,364	667	1,444	195	0	42	0	2,348
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,177	945	139	93	0	0	0	0	232
4. Cases Diverted	572	467	60	45	0	0	0	0	105
5. Secure Detention	538	411	74	53	0	0	0	0	127
6. Cases Petitioned	605	478	79	48	0	0	0	0	127
7. Delinquent Findings	448	349	60	39	0	0	0	0	99
8. Probation Placement	414	321	54	39	0	0	0	0	93
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	21	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.39	1.05	**	*	*	*	1.61
4. Cases Diverted	0.87	0.98	--	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	1.22	1.31	--	*	*	*	1.26
6. Cases Petitioned	1.12	1.02	--	*	*	*	1.08
7. Delinquent Findings	1.04	1.11	--	*	*	*	1.07
8. Probation Placement	0.98	1.09	--	*	*	*	1.02
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,747	15,181	776	1,553	197	0	40	0	2,566
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,130	884	128	114	1	0	2	1	246
4. Cases Diverted	607	482	72	51	1	0	0	1	125
5. Secure Detention	421	308	49	64	0	0	0	0	113
6. Cases Petitioned	523	402	56	63	0	0	2	0	121
7. Delinquent Findings	392	301	41	49	0	0	1	0	91
8. Probation Placement	357	279	33	44	0	0	1	0	78
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	28	21	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.83	1.26	**	*	*	*	1.65
4. Cases Diverted	1.03	0.82	**	*	*	*	0.93
5. Secure Detention	1.10	1.61	**	*	*	*	1.32
6. Cases Petitioned	0.96	1.22	**	*	*	*	1.08
7. Delinquent Findings	0.98	1.04	--	*	*	*	1.00
8. Probation Placement	0.87	0.97	--	*	*	*	0.92
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	2.05	--	*	*	*	1.10
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,720	14,953	856	1,664	205	0	42	0	2,767
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,224	989	121	113	0	0	1	0	235
4. Cases Diverted	702	571	71	59	0	0	1	0	131
5. Secure Detention	448	348	51	49	0	0	0	0	100
6. Cases Petitioned	522	418	50	54	0	0	0	0	104
7. Delinquent Findings	417	338	36	43	0	0	0	0	79
8. Probation Placement	386	321	30	35	0	0	0	0	65
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	38	25	2	11	0	0	0	0	13
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.14	1.03	**	*	*	*	1.28
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	0.90	--	*	*	*	0.97
5. Secure Detention	1.20	1.23	--	*	*	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	0.98	1.13	--	*	*	*	1.05
7. Delinquent Findings	0.89	0.98	--	*	*	*	0.94
8. Probation Placement	0.88	0.86	--	*	*	*	0.87
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	3.46	--	*	*	*	2.22
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font

Regular font

*

**

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	18,541	15,349	1,041	1,858	253	0	40	0	3,192
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,139	883	157	91	2	0	0	6	256
4. Cases Diverted	612	474	84	53	0	0	0	1	138
5. Secure Detention	429	331	63	31	1	0	0	3	98
6. Cases Petitioned	527	409	73	38	2	0	0	5	118
7. Delinquent Findings	426	333	56	30	2	0	0	5	93
8. Probation Placement	361	287	45	22	2	0	0	5	74
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	25	21	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	11	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	4

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.62	0.85	**	*	*	*	1.39
4. Cases Diverted	1.00	1.08	**	*	*	*	1.00
5. Secure Detention	1.07	0.91	**	*	*	*	1.02
6. Cases Petitioned	1.00	0.90	**	*	*	*	1.00
7. Delinquent Findings	0.94	0.97	**	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.93	**	**	*	*	*	0.92
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 68: Posey County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **40** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **48** personnel hours.

40 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 48 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **461** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

*$([48/461]*100)= 10.41$*

Data collection took **10.41** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$528.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Posey County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,407	3,311	59	22	8	0	7	0	96
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	81	74	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	48	43	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
5. Secure Detention	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	33	31	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	27	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	23	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5.31	*	*	*	*	*	3.26
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,305	3,211	57	24	7	0	6	0	94
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	76	63	9	1	0	0	0	3	13
4. Cases Diverted	48	42	4	0	0	0	0	2	6
5. Secure Detention	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	28	21	5	1	0	0	0	1	7
7. Delinquent Findings	27	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	23	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	8.05	*	*	*	*	*	7.05
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,203	3,094	66	26	10	0	7	0	109
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	110	94	9	1	0	0	0	6	16
4. Cases Diverted	61	55	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	12	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
6. Cases Petitioned	49	39	4	0	0	0	0	6	10
7. Delinquent Findings	41	33	2	0	0	0	0	6	8
8. Probation Placement	38	30	2	0	0	0	0	6	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.49	*	*	*	*	*	4.83
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,075	2,960	70	28	10	0	7	0	30
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	91	76	10	2	0	0	0	3	15
4. Cases Diverted	43	35	4	1	0	0	0	3	8
5. Secure Detention	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	48	41	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	25	21	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	18	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5.56	*	*	*	*	*	5.08
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,153	3,021	88	32	7	0	5	0	132
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	103	82	16	2	0	0	0	3	21
4. Cases Diverted	60	51	5	1	0	0	0	3	9
5. Secure Detention	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	43	31	11	1	0	0	0	0	12
7. Delinquent Findings	29	23	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	21	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	6.70	**	*	*	*	*	5.86
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 69: Pulaski County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **80** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **88** personnel hours.

80 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 88 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **229** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([88/229]*100)= 38.43$

Data collection took **38.43** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$968.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Pulaski County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,715	1,642	21	39	6	0	7	0	73
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	23	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	10	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,655	1,589	24	40	1	0	1	0	66
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	28	26	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	21	19	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	21	19	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	19	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,624	1,545	28	40	6	0	5	0	79
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	68	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	44	42	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	40	38	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	37	35	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,533	1,452	29	41	6	0	5	0	81
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	57	55	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	39	37	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	34	32	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	33	31	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,577	1,471	42	54	6	0	4	0	106
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	51	42	1	8	0	0	0	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	26	20	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	25	22	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	24	21	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	24	21	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	5.19	*	*	*	*	2.97
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 70: Putnam County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. – This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **272** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **280** personnel hours.

$$272 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 280 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **778** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([280/778] * 100) = 35.99$$

Data collection took **35.99** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,080.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Putnam County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,815	3,673	74	44	12	0	12	0	142
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	136	136	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	86	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	66	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,803	3,662	77	41	12	0	11	0	141
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	167	165	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	40	38	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	127	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	95	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	89	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,795	3,642	83	47	12	0	11	0	153
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	160	155	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	56	55	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	104	100	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	84	80	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	73	70	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,707	3,555	79	49	14	0	10	0	152
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	181	176	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
4. Cases Diverted	62	60	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	19	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	119	116	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	91	89	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	87	85	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,732	3,556	102	53	13	0	8	0	176
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	134	126	6	0	1	0	0	1	8
4. Cases Diverted	47	43	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	87	83	2	0	1	0	0	1	4
7. Delinquent Findings	69	65	2	0	1	0	0	1	4
8. Probation Placement	65	62	1	0	1	0	0	1	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.66	**	*	*	*	*	1.28
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 71: Randolph County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **200** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **208** personnel hours.

200 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 208 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified 368 valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([208/368] * 100) = 56.52$

Data collection took **56.52** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$2,288.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Randolph County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,960	2,850	35	54	16	0	5	0	110
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	66	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	44	42	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	12	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	26	24	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	22	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,901	2,778	35	62	21	0	5	0	123
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	59	58	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	36	35	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,864	2,736	37	67	20	0	4	0	128
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	65	62	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	28	26	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	17	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	37	36	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	33	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	29	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,805	2,671	38	72	19	0	5	0	134
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	104	96	5	3	0	0	0	0	8
4. Cases Diverted	56	53	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	23	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	47	42	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	39	36	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	36	34	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.66
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,927	2,771	52	86	14	0	4	0	156
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	66	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
4. Cases Diverted	45	43	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	25	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	22	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	18	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 72: Ripley County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **40** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **48** personnel hours.

40 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 48 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **354** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([48/354] * 100) = 13.56$

Data collection took **13.56** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$528.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Ripley County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,415	3,329	24	36	15	0	11	0	86
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	72	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	41	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,413	3,318	28	39	17	0	11	0	95
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	81	80	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	47	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	16	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	34	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,381	3,276	30	43	18	0	14	0	105
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	74	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,321	3,210	33	45	20	0	13	0	111
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	68	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	35	33	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,349	3,211	45	59	21	0	13	0	138
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	57	54	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	43	40	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 73: Rush County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **144** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **152** personnel hours.

144 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 152 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **376** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([152/376] * 100) = 40.43$

Data collection took **40.43** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,672.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Rush County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,167	2,086	33	25	19	0	4	0	81
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	69	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,143	2,057	35	26	21	0	4	0	86
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	72	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	42	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	42	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,097	2,011	36	27	19	0	4	0	86
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	89	87	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
4. Cases Diverted	48	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	40	39	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	39	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	34	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,037	1,954	37	25	17	0	4	0	83
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	68	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
4. Cases Diverted	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	36	34	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
7. Delinquent Findings	33	31	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	32	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,003	1,914	39	33	11	0	6	0	89
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	76	73	1	0	1	0	0	1	3
4. Cases Diverted	47	45	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	29	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	28	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	23	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 74: St. Joseph County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest: The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **171** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **179** personnel hours.

171 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 179 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **11,473** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([179/11,473] * 100) = 1.56$*

Data collection took **1.56** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,969.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in St. Joseph County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	30,339	22,185	5,267	2,284	466	0	137	0	8,154
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,192	1,094	845	135	4	0	3	111	1,098
4. Cases Diverted	1,231	641	467	70	3	0	2	48	590
5. Secure Detention	624	259	272	46	1	0	1	45	365
6. Cases Petitioned	961	453	378	65	1	0	1	63	508
7. Delinquent Findings	801	388	308	51	1	0	1	52	413
8. Probation Placement	560	273	214	31	1	0	0	41	287
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	127	47	56	9	0	0	0	15	80
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.25	1.20	**	*	*	*	2.73
4. Cases Diverted	0.94	0.88	**	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	1.36	1.44	**	*	*	*	1.40
6. Cases Petitioned	1.08	1.16	**	*	*	*	1.12
7. Delinquent Findings	0.95	0.92	**	*	*	*	0.95
8. Probation Placement	0.99	0.86	**	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.50	1.46	**	*	*	*	1.60
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	30,311	21,948	5,334	2,429	472	0	128	0	8,363
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,630	1,209	1,078	207	7	0	3	126	1,421
4. Cases Diverted	1,543	782	576	113	4	0	1	67	761
5. Secure Detention	707	251	343	64	1	0	1	47	456
6. Cases Petitioned	1,087	427	502	94	3	0	2	59	660
7. Delinquent Findings	892	352	409	74	3	0	2	52	540
8. Probation Placement	562	215	258	53	3	0	2	31	347
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	165	59	86	9	0	0	0	11	106
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.67	1.55	0.27	*	*	*	3.08
4. Cases Diverted	0.83	0.84	**	*	*	*	0.83
5. Secure Detention	1.53	1.49	**	*	*	*	1.55
6. Cases Petitioned	1.32	1.29	**	*	*	*	1.32
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	0.95	**	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	1.03	1.17	**	*	*	*	1.05
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.25	0.73	**	*	*	*	1.17
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected – 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	30,123	21,626	5,284	2,575	501	0	137	0	8,497
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,349	1,068	956	180	8	0	5	132	1,281
4. Cases Diverted	1,329	668	492	96	3	0	1	69	661
5. Secure Detention	607	202	308	45	3	0	2	47	405
6. Cases Petitioned	1,020	400	464	84	5	0	4	63	620
7. Delinquent Findings	825	329	364	70	5	0	4	53	496
8. Probation Placement	551	220	240	51	4	0	2	34	331
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	174	72	79	7	0	0	1	15	102
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.66	1.42	0.32	*	*	*	3.05
4. Cases Diverted	0.82	0.85	**	*	*	*	0.82
5. Secure Detention	1.70	1.32	**	*	*	*	1.67
6. Cases Petitioned	1.30	1.25	**	*	*	*	1.29
7. Delinquent Findings	0.95	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.97
8. Probation Placement	0.99	1.09	**	*	*	*	1.00
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0.99	0.46	**	*	*	*	0.94
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	29,845	21,279	5,210	2,700	517	0	139	0	8,566
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,220	1,016	879	203	3	0	3	116	1,204
4. Cases Diverted	1,305	680	441	124	2	0	2	56	625
5. Secure Detention	546	184	273	51	1	0	0	37	362
6. Cases Petitioned	915	336	438	79	1	0	1	60	579
7. Delinquent Findings	691	264	318	58	1	0	1	49	427
8. Probation Placement	453	182	197	39	0	0	0	35	271
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	195	70	99	12	0	0	0	14	125
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.53	1.57	**	*	*	*	2.94
4. Cases Diverted	0.75	0.91	**	*	*	*	0.78
5. Secure Detention	1.71	1.39	**	*	*	*	1.66
6. Cases Petitioned	1.51	1.18	**	*	*	*	1.45
7. Delinquent Findings	0.92	0.93	**	*	*	*	0.94
8. Probation Placement	0.90	0.98	**	*	*	*	0.92
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.17	0.78	**	*	*	*	1.10
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	28,899	20,291	5,209	2,709	562	0	128	0	8,608
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,082	991	798	177	5	0	2	109	1,091
4. Cases Diverted	1,375	718	465	124	3	0	2	63	657
5. Secure Detention	393	132	206	33	1	0	0	21	261
6. Cases Petitioned	707	273	333	53	2	0	0	46	434
7. Delinquent Findings	510	199	241	37	1	0	0	32	311
8. Probation Placement	350	149	151	29	0	0	0	21	201
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	146	42	84	9	1	0	0	10	104
10. Transferred to Adult Court	11	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.14	1.34	**	*	*	*	2.60
4. Cases Diverted	0.80	0.97	**	*	*	*	0.83
5. Secure Detention	1.94	1.40	**	*	*	*	1.80
6. Cases Petitioned	1.51	1.09	**	*	*	*	1.44
7. Delinquent Findings	0.99	0.96	**	*	*	*	0.98
8. Probation Placement	0.84	1.05	**	*	*	*	0.86
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.65	1.15	**	*	*	*	1.58
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 75: Scott County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **168** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **176** personnel hours.

168 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 176 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **346** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([176/346] * 100) = 50.87$

Data collection took **50.87** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,936.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Scott County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,654	2,594	16	36	4	0	4	0	60
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	96	93	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	24	22	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	53	50	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	40	38	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	39	37	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,657	2,588	20	41	5	0	3	0	69
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	87	86	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	22	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	54	53	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,651	2,579	22	42	4	0	4	0	72
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	73	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	51	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,604	2,532	23	41	4	0	4	0	72
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,664	2,568	34	53	5	0	4	0	96
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	38	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	27	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	37	36	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	26	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	20	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 76: Shelby County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **40** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **48** personnel hours.

40 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 48 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,257** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([48/1,257] * 100) = 3.82$

Data collection took **3.82** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$528.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Shelby County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,095	4,884	74	97	33	0	7	0	211
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	230	219	5	5	1	0	0	0	11
4. Cases Diverted	121	117	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	26	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	109	102	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	99	93	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	93	87	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.16
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,079	4,840	71	120	40	0	8	0	239
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	225	214	3	6	0	0	0	2	11
4. Cases Diverted	103	97	2	4	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	9	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	122	117	1	2	0	0	0	2	5
7. Delinquent Findings	105	101	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
8. Probation Placement	102	98	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.13	*	*	*	*	1.04
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,051	4,797	70	130	43	0	11	0	254
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	285	277	2	5	0	0	0	1	8
4. Cases Diverted	120	117	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	29	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	165	160	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
7. Delinquent Findings	150	145	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
8. Probation Placement	140	136	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.55
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	4,955	4,678	74	144	45	0	14	0	277
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	249	228	6	14	0	0	0	1	21
4. Cases Diverted	117	108	2	6	0	0	0	1	9
5. Secure Detention	27	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	132	120	4	8	0	0	0	0	12
7. Delinquent Findings	111	100	3	8	0	0	0	0	11
8. Probation Placement	106	97	1	8	0	0	0	0	9
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.66	1.99	*	*	*	*	1.56
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	5,075	4,751	91	154	64	0	15	0	324
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	234	224	2	8	0	0	0	0	10
4. Cases Diverted	103	97	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	27	24	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	131	127	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	98	94	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	93	89	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.10	**	*	*	*	0.65
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 77: Spencer County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **56** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **64** personnel hours.

56 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 64 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **347** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

*$([64/347]*100)= 18.44$*

Data collection took **18.44** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$704.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Spencer County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,457	2,370	27	43	13	0	4	0	87
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	47	41	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	35	31	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	12	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	3.99
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,383	2,286	30	49	13	0	5	0	97
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	48	46	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	27	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,335	2,220	32	63	14	0	6	0	115
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	84	83	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	67	66	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,243	2,123	34	70	11	0	5	0	30
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	79	73	0	2	0	0	0	4	6
4. Cases Diverted	64	60	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	15	13	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	14	12	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	12	10	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.45
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,305	2,160	42	89	10	0	4	0	145
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	89	85	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	57	53	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 78: Starke County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **128** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **136** personnel hours.

$$128 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 136 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,139** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([136/1,139]*100) = 11.94$$

Data collection took **11.94** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,496.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Starke County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,844	2,714	27	93	6	0	4	0	130
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	109	105	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	48	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	10	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	61	57	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	55	52	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	52	50	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,815	2,667	30	107	6	0	5	0	148
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	315	304	2	9	0	0	0	0	11
4. Cases Diverted	237	229	2	6	0	0	0	0	8
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	78	75	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	66	63	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	61	58	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.74	*	*	*	*	0.65
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,693	2,539	32	113	4	0	5	0	154
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	266	242	0	20	0	0	4	0	24
4. Cases Diverted	186	174	0	11	0	0	1	0	12
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	80	68	0	9	0	0	3	0	12
7. Delinquent Findings	57	47	0	9	0	0	1	0	10
8. Probation Placement	53	43	0	9	0	0	1	0	10
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.86	*	*	*	*	1.64
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,659	2,492	33	124	4	0	6	0	167
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	242	222	2	17	0	0	1	0	20
4. Cases Diverted	191	179	1	10	0	0	1	0	12
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	51	43	1	7	0	0	0	0	8
7. Delinquent Findings	41	34	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
8. Probation Placement	33	26	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.54	*	*	*	*	1.34
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,588	2,391	47	137	4	0	9	0	197
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	207	195	2	10	0	0	0	0	12
4. Cases Diverted	164	153	2	9	0	0	0	0	11
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	43	42	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	24	23	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	20	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.90	*	*	*	*	0.75
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 79: Steuben County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **112** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **120** personnel hours.

112 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 120 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **697** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([120/697] * 100) = 17.21$

Data collection took **17.21** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,320.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Steuben County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,855	3,699	31	109	4	0	12	0	156
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	136	133	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	62	60	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	40	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	74	73	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	63	62	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	17	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,780	3,625	33	106	4	0	12	0	155
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	139	137	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	73	72	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	66	65	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	55	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	48	47	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,712	3,552	35	113	4	0	8	0	160
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	129	126	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	74	72	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	55	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,705	3,532	37	121	5	0	10	0	173
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	108	99	1	7	0	0	1	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	67	63	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	41	36	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	35	30	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
8. Probation Placement	27	22	1	3	0	0	1	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	2.06	*	*	*	*	1.86
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,734	3,513	49	155	8	0	9	0	221
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	185	172	3	10	0	0	0	0	13
4. Cases Diverted	132	124	2	6	0	0	0	0	8
5. Secure Detention	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	53	48	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
7. Delinquent Findings	48	43	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
8. Probation Placement	45	40	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.32	*	*	*	*	1.20
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 80: Sullivan County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **56** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **64** personnel hours.

$$56 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 64 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **604** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$$([64/604]*100) = 10.59$$

Data collection took **10.59** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$704.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Sullivan County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,132	2,066	29	24	9	0	4	0	66
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	97	96	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	89	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	80	79	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	76	75	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,084	2,016	30	26	9	0	3	0	68
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	137	130	1	5	0	0	0	1	7
4. Cases Diverted	41	40	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	96	90	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	82	76	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
8. Probation Placement	80	74	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.60
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,077	2,007	33	26	8	0	3	0	70
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	141	130	1	7	0	0	0	3	11
4. Cases Diverted	64	61	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
5. Secure Detention	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	77	69	1	5	0	0	0	2	8
7. Delinquent Findings	68	61	0	5	0	0	0	2	7
8. Probation Placement	66	59	0	5	0	0	0	2	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	2.43
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,053	1,982	34	27	8	0	2	0	71
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	123	114	2	2	0	0	3	2	9
4. Cases Diverted	40	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	15	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	83	75	2	2	0	0	3	1	8
7. Delinquent Findings	68	60	2	2	0	0	3	1	8
8. Probation Placement	65	59	2	2	0	0	1	1	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	2.20
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,163	2,079	43	32	6	0	3	0	84
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	106	98	2	4	0	0	0	2	8
4. Cases Diverted	43	39	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	63	59	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
7. Delinquent Findings	55	51	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
8. Probation Placement	55	51	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	2.02
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 81: Switzerland County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **8** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **16** personnel hours.

8 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 16 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **136** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([16/136] * 100) = 11.76$

Data collection took **11.76** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$176.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Switzerland County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,137	1,113	4	18	1	0	1	0	24
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,101	1,077	6	17	0	0	1	0	24
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	36	35	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	21	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,066	1,040	8	18	0	0	0	0	26
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	26	24	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	10	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	10	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	10	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,049	1,018	11	20	0	0	0	0	31
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,087	1,044	17	26	0	0	0	0	43
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 82: Tippecanoe County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Quest: The largest jurisdictions in the state tended to use this system. A complete case management system, the developers had spent time already working out queries and reports to extract data for the purposes of research and meeting state requirements for data submission. This is a relational database that is a great tool for the court staff and probation staff. With some attention to the way information is entered into the system, we can foresee the development of a single query that would generate the data for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **147** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **155** personnel hours.

147 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 155 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **5,695** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([155/5,695] * 100) = 2.72$*

Data collection took **2.72** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,704.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Tippecanoe County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	14,043	11,915	634	1,051	404	0	39	0	2,128
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,171	867	197	86	7	0	1	13	304
4. Cases Diverted	691	518	110	52	2	0	1	8	173
5. Secure Detention	164	110	45	8	1	0	0	0	54
6. Cases Petitioned	480	349	87	34	5	0	0	5	131
7. Delinquent Findings	332	248	52	22	5	0	0	5	84
8. Probation Placement	312	231	49	22	5	0	0	5	81
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	31	22	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
10. Transferred to Adult Court	79	56	17	6	0	0	0	0	23

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.27	1.12	0.24	*	*	*	1.96
4. Cases Diverted	0.93	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.95
5. Secure Detention	1.80	0.73	**	*	*	*	1.40
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	0.98	**	*	*	*	1.07
7. Delinquent Findings	0.84	0.91	**	*	*	*	0.90
8. Probation Placement	1.01	**	**	*	*	*	1.04
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.95	**	**	*	*	*	1.21
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.22	1.10	**	*	*	*	1.09
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	14,314	11,938	739	1,148	446	0	43	0	2,376
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,107	844	157	87	1	0	0	18	263
4. Cases Diverted	656	517	83	48	0	0	0	8	139
5. Secure Detention	158	133	16	4	1	0	0	4	25
6. Cases Petitioned	451	327	74	39	1	0	0	10	124
7. Delinquent Findings	290	217	46	21	1	0	0	5	73
8. Probation Placement	270	203	41	21	1	0	0	4	67
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	42	32	8	1	0	0	0	1	10
10. Transferred to Adult Court	64	52	7	5	0	0	0	0	12

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.00	1.07	**	*	*	*	1.57
4. Cases Diverted	0.86	0.90	**	*	*	*	0.86
5. Secure Detention	0.65	**	**	*	*	*	0.60
6. Cases Petitioned	1.22	1.16	**	*	*	*	1.22
7. Delinquent Findings	0.94	0.81	**	*	*	*	0.89
8. Probation Placement	0.95	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.18	**	**	*	*	*	0.93
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0.59	**	**	*	*	*	0.61
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	14,443	11,875	805	1,244	472	0	47	0	2,568
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,157	842	192	87	4	0	0	32	315
4. Cases Diverted	655	481	97	58	2	0	0	17	174
5. Secure Detention	202	165	22	9	0	0	0	6	37
6. Cases Petitioned	502	361	95	29	2	0	0	15	141
7. Delinquent Findings	305	237	44	14	1	0	0	9	68
8. Probation Placement	274	219	36	10	1	0	0	8	55
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	29	20	6	2	0	0	0	1	9
10. Transferred to Adult Court	75	52	19	3	0	0	0	1	23

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.36	0.99	**	*	*	*	1.73
4. Cases Diverted	0.88	1.17	**	*	*	*	0.97
5. Secure Detention	0.58	0.53	**	*	*	*	0.60
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	0.78	**	*	*	*	1.04
7. Delinquent Findings	0.71	**	**	*	*	*	0.73
8. Probation Placement	0.89	**	**	*	*	*	0.88
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.62	**	**	*	*	*	1.57
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.39	**	**	*	*	*	1.13
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	14,464	11,738	834	1,344	502	0	46	0	2,726
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,220	871	215	96	2	0	1	35	349
4. Cases Diverted	639	454	109	61	1	0	1	13	185
5. Secure Detention	209	153	27	17	0	0	0	12	56
6. Cases Petitioned	581	417	106	35	1	0	0	22	164
7. Delinquent Findings	341	257	51	21	1	0	0	11	84
8. Probation Placement	266	201	38	18	0	0	0	9	65
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	36	27	2	5	0	0	0	2	9
10. Transferred to Adult Court	28	19	7	1	0	0	0	1	9

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.47	0.96	**	*	*	*	1.73
4. Cases Diverted	0.97	1.22	**	*	*	*	1.02
5. Secure Detention	0.71	1.01	**	*	*	*	0.91
6. Cases Petitioned	1.03	0.76	**	*	*	*	0.98
7. Delinquent Findings	0.78	0.97	**	*	*	*	0.83
8. Probation Placement	0.95	**	**	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	1.02
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1.45	**	**	*	*	*	1.20
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	14,200	11,324	832	1,430	570	0	44	0	2,876
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,022	674	211	114	3	0	2	18	348
4. Cases Diverted	574	389	108	62	3	0	2	10	185
5. Secure Detention	220	144	45	24	1	0	0	6	76
6. Cases Petitioned	448	285	103	52	0	0	0	8	163
7. Delinquent Findings	276	177	53	43	0	0	0	3	99
8. Probation Placement	226	143	43	37	0	0	0	3	83
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	26	15	4	7	0	0	0	0	11
10. Transferred to Adult Court	31	23	8	0	0	0	0	0	8

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.26	1.34	**	*	*	*	2.03
4. Cases Diverted	0.89	0.94	**	*	*	*	0.92
5. Secure Detention	1.00	0.99	**	*	*	*	1.02
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	1.08	**	*	*	*	1.11
7. Delinquent Findings	0.83	1.33	--	*	*	*	0.98
8. Probation Placement	1.00	1.07	--	*	*	*	1.04
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	1.92	--	*	*	*	1.31
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0.96	**	--	*	*	*	0.61
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 83: Tipton County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **4** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **12** personnel hours.

4 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 12 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **65** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([12/65] * 100) = 18.46$*

Data collection took **18.46** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$132.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Tipton County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,791	1,726	10	41	6	0	8	0	65
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,736	1,672	9	41	5	0	9	0	64
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	19	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	13	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,713	1,650	10	38	6	0	9	0	63
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	9	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	6	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,654	1,585	13	40	7	0	9	0	69
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	14	11	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	9	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	9	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	8	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,839	1,743	28	54	6	0	8	0	96
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	19	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 84: Union County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **72** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **80** personnel hours.

72 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 80 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **186** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([80/186] * 100) = 43.01$

Data collection took **43.01** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$880.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Union County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	862	843	8	4	2	0	5	0	19
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	60	57	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	47	44	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	844	824	10	4	2	0	4	0	20
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	32	30	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	23	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	834	813	13	4	2	0	2	0	21
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	35	30	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
4. Cases Diverted	30	26	2	0	0	0	0	2	4
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	799	776	16	3	2	0	2	0	23
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	37	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	31	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	852	822	19	7	2	0	2	0	30
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 85: Vanderburgh County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **384** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **392** personnel hours.

384 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 392 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **5,694** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([392/5,694] * 100) = 6.88$

Data collection took **6.88** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$4312.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Vanderburgh County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,583	14,773	2,339	257	180	0	34	0	2,810
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,035	691	338	5	0	0	1	0	344
4. Cases Diverted	436	310	124	2	0	0	0	0	126
5. Secure Detention	184	114	68	1	0	0	1	0	70
6. Cases Petitioned	599	381	214	3	0	0	1	0	218
7. Delinquent Findings	553	360	189	3	0	0	1	0	193
8. Probation Placement	473	315	154	3	0	0	1	0	158
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	54	35	19	0	0	0	0	0	19
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	4

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.09	**	**	*	*	*	2.62
4. Cases Diverted	0.82	**	--	*	*	*	0.82
5. Secure Detention	1.22	**	--	*	*	*	1.23
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	**	--	*	*	*	1.15
7. Delinquent Findings	0.93	**	--	*	*	*	0.94
8. Probation Placement	0.93	**	--	*	*	*	0.94
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.03	**	--	*	*	*	1.01
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,607	14,687	2,421	272	190	0	37	0	2,920
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,108	732	373	2	0	0	1	0	376
4. Cases Diverted	532	369	161	1	0	0	1	0	163
5. Secure Detention	193	118	75	0	0	0	0	0	75
6. Cases Petitioned	576	363	212	1	0	0	0	0	213
7. Delinquent Findings	527	333	194	0	0	0	0	0	194
8. Probation Placement	445	284	161	0	0	0	0	0	161
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	53	28	25	0	0	0	0	0	25
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.09	**	**	*	*	*	2.58
4. Cases Diverted	0.86	**	--	*	*	*	0.86
5. Secure Detention	1.25	**	--	*	*	*	1.24
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	**	--	*	*	*	1.14
7. Delinquent Findings	1.00	**	--	*	*	*	0.99
8. Probation Placement	0.97	--	--	*	*	*	0.97
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.53	--	--	*	*	*	1.53
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,419	14,479	2,410	287	206	0	37	0	2,940
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,305	821	479	3	0	0	2	0	484
4. Cases Diverted	650	408	239	2	0	0	1	0	242
5. Secure Detention	261	145	114	2	0	0	0	0	116
6. Cases Petitioned	655	413	240	1	0	0	1	0	242
7. Delinquent Findings	608	389	217	1	0	0	1	0	219
8. Probation Placement	510	341	169	0	0	0	0	0	169
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	89	34	55	0	0	0	0	0	55
10. Transferred to Adult Court	9	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.51	**	**	*	*	*	2.90
4. Cases Diverted	1.00	**	--	*	*	*	1.01
5. Secure Detention	1.35	**	--	*	*	*	1.36
6. Cases Petitioned	1.00	**	--	*	*	*	0.99
7. Delinquent Findings	0.96	**	--	*	*	*	0.96
8. Probation Placement	0.89	**	--	*	*	*	0.88
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2.90	**	--	*	*	*	2.87
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	17,096	14,129	2,410	306	214	0	37	0	2,967
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,185	758	424	2	1	0	0	0	427
4. Cases Diverted	627	404	222	1	0	0	0	0	223
5. Secure Detention	440	261	177	2	0	0	0	0	179
6. Cases Petitioned	558	354	202	1	1	0	0	0	204
7. Delinquent Findings	519	329	188	1	1	0	0	0	190
8. Probation Placement	399	262	135	1	1	0	0	0	137
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	64	31	33	0	0	0	0	0	33
10. Transferred to Adult Court	12	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	7

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.28	**	**	*	*	*	2.68
4. Cases Diverted	0.98	**	**	*	*	*	0.98
5. Secure Detention	1.21	**	**	*	*	*	1.22
6. Cases Petitioned	1.02	**	**	*	*	*	1.02
7. Delinquent Findings	1.00	**	**	*	*	*	1.00
8. Probation Placement	0.90	**	**	*	*	*	0.91
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.86	**	**	*	*	*	1.84
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	16,117	13,162	2,339	299	277	0	40	0	2,955
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,061	620	421	9	3	0	2	6	441
4. Cases Diverted	602	363	222	8	2	0	2	5	239
5. Secure Detention	422	226	192	2	1	0	0	1	196
6. Cases Petitioned	459	257	199	1	1	0	0	1	202
7. Delinquent Findings	427	247	178	0	1	0	0	1	180
8. Probation Placement	360	207	151	0	1	0	0	1	153
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	54	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	27
10. Transferred to Adult Court	7	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.82	0.64	**	*	*	*	3.17
4. Cases Diverted	0.90	**	**	*	*	*	0.93
5. Secure Detention	1.25	**	**	*	*	*	1.22
6. Cases Petitioned	1.14	**	**	*	*	*	1.11
7. Delinquent Findings	0.93	**	**	*	*	*	0.93
8. Probation Placement	1.01	**	**	*	*	*	1.01
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1.39	**	**	*	*	*	1.37
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 86: Vermillion County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **32** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **40** personnel hours.

32 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 40 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **223** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

*$([40/223]*100)= 17.94$*

Data collection took **17.94** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$440.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Vermillion County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected – 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,724	1,676	18	22	2	0	6	0	48
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	79	75	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	68	64	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	62	58	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	58	55	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islanders	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,704	1,657	19	21	2	0	5	0	47
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	39	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	36	35	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	29	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	28	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,664	1,614	22	24	2	0	2	0	50
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	50	47	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	46	43	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	32	30	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	27	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,643	1,588	21	27	3	0	4	0	55
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	54	50	1	1	0	0	2	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	49	45	1	1	0	0	2	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	39	35	1	1	0	0	2	0	4
8. Probation Placement	37	33	1	1	0	0	2	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,732	1,635	46	44	3	0	4	0	97
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	48	45	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	15	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5. Secure Detention	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	33	31	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	27	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	26	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 87: Vigo County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Vigo County had PBS for some of 2008-2009. The remaining data was hand collected. A logbook of all referrals was kept and a file folder was created for every person referred. One file per individual, with all referrals contained within. A separate log was kept of all expunged files to be destroyed once the Probation Department received notification.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **952** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **960** personnel hours.

952 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 960 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,878** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

$([960/2,878] * 100) = 33.35$

Data collection took **33.35** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$10,560.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Vigo County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,615	9,447	837	174	116	0	41	0	1,168
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	561	455	92	6	0	0	0	8	106
4. Cases Diverted	311	246	58	4	0	0	0	3	65
5. Secure Detention	234	194	35	1	0	0	0	4	40
6. Cases Petitioned	250	209	34	2	0	0	0	5	41
7. Delinquent Findings	206	177	24	1	0	0	0	4	29
8. Probation Placement	175	152	18	1	0	0	0	4	23
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	25	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.28	0.72	**	*	*	*	1.88
4. Cases Diverted	1.17	**	--	*	*	*	1.13
5. Secure Detention	0.89	**	--	*	*	*	0.89
6. Cases Petitioned	0.80	**	--	*	*	*	0.84
7. Delinquent Findings	0.83	**	--	*	*	*	0.84
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,576	9,407	839	173	115	0	42	0	1,169
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	718	597	108	4	0	0	0	9	121
4. Cases Diverted	412	337	68	1	0	0	0	6	75
5. Secure Detention	269	234	33	1	0	0	0	1	35
6. Cases Petitioned	306	260	40	3	0	0	0	3	46
7. Delinquent Findings	265	222	37	3	0	0	0	3	43
8. Probation Placement	248	208	34	3	0	0	0	3	40
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.03	**	**	*	*	*	1.63
4. Cases Diverted	1.12	**	--	*	*	*	1.10
5. Secure Detention	0.78	**	--	*	*	*	0.74
6. Cases Petitioned	0.85	**	--	*	*	*	0.87
7. Delinquent Findings	1.08	**	--	*	*	*	1.09
8. Probation Placement	0.98	**	--	*	*	*	0.99
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,496	9,339	828	173	116	0	40	0	1,157
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	640	519	106	6	0	0	0	9	121
4. Cases Diverted	378	321	51	5	0	0	0	1	57
5. Secure Detention	223	176	41	1	0	0	0	5	47
6. Cases Petitioned	262	198	55	1	0	0	0	8	64
7. Delinquent Findings	220	164	48	0	0	0	0	8	56
8. Probation Placement	186	140	38	0	0	0	0	8	46
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	18	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
10. Transferred to Adult Court	12	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	2

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.30	0.62	**	*	*	*	1.88
4. Cases Diverted	0.78	**	--	*	*	*	0.76
5. Secure Detention	1.14	**	--	*	*	*	1.15
6. Cases Petitioned	1.36	**	--	*	*	*	1.39
7. Delinquent Findings	1.05	**	--	*	*	*	1.06
8. Probation Placement	0.93	--	--	*	*	*	0.96
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3.42	--	--	*	*	*	2.93
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	10,329	9,181	813	171	126	0	38	0	1,148
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	504	404	91	4	1	0	0	4	100
4. Cases Diverted	265	218	41	4	0	0	0	2	47
5. Secure Detention	168	134	28	4	1	0	0	1	34
6. Cases Petitioned	239	186	50	0	1	0	0	2	53
7. Delinquent Findings	199	161	37	0	0	0	0	1	38
8. Probation Placement	184	152	31	0	0	0	0	1	32
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	10	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.54	**	**	*	*	*	1.98
4. Cases Diverted	0.83	**	**	*	*	*	0.87
5. Secure Detention	0.93	**	**	*	*	*	1.03
6. Cases Petitioned	1.19	**	**	*	*	*	1.15
7. Delinquent Findings	0.85	--	**	*	*	*	0.83
8. Probation Placement	0.89	--	--	*	*	*	0.89
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	9,620	8,829	565	165	33	0	28	0	791
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	455	354	93	2	0	0	2	4	101
4. Cases Diverted	248	201	43	1	0	0	0	3	47
5. Secure Detention	154	117	31	2	0	0	2	2	37
6. Cases Petitioned	207	153	50	1	0	0	2	1	54
7. Delinquent Findings	160	119	37	1	0	0	2	1	41
8. Probation Placement	143	112	28	1	0	0	2	0	31
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.11	**	*	*	*	*	3.18
4. Cases Diverted	0.81	**	*	*	*	*	0.82
5. Secure Detention	1.01	**	*	*	*	*	1.11
6. Cases Petitioned	1.24	**	*	*	*	*	1.24
7. Delinquent Findings	0.95	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
8. Probation Placement	0.80	**	*	*	*	*	0.80
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 88: Wabash County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Computer Systems, Inc. - This package is designed to meet the needs of the court staff and the probation staff. The software developer worked with us to create a process to extract the data so that it nearly followed the structure of our data base. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. We see potential in working with the folks at CSI to make changes in their system and to then provide training and technical assistance to the individual sites so that they could move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **128** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **136** personnel hours.

128 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 136 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **677** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([136/677]*100)= 20.09$

Data collection took **20.09** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,496.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Wabash County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,746	3,597	50	51	17	0	31	0	149
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	172	164	3	5	0	0	0	0	8
4. Cases Diverted	88	84	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	84	80	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	70	66	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	62	58	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.18
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,655	3,515	49	46	16	0	29	0	140
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	152	138	2	8	0	0	0	4	14
4. Cases Diverted	98	89	2	5	0	0	0	2	9
5. Secure Detention	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	54	49	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
7. Delinquent Findings	48	43	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
8. Probation Placement	41	36	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	4.43	*	*	*	*	2.25
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,491	3,353	49	46	14	0	29	0	138
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	124	119	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	61	58	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	63	61	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	55	53	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	48	46	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,370	3,238	50	46	12	0	24	0	132
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	103	99	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	46	43	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	12	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	57	56	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	55	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	47	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,404	3,261	57	48	13	0	25	0	143
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	126	118	5	3	0	0	0	0	8
4. Cases Diverted	66	60	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	10	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	60	58	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7. Delinquent Findings	57	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
8. Probation Placement	49	47	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.55
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 89: Warren County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: SELF-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **16** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **40** personnel hours.

16 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 24 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **134** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

*$([24/134]*100)= 17.91$*

Data collection took **17.91** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$264.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Warren County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,068	1,054	3	8	1	0	2	0	14
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	30	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	24	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	24	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	24	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	1,047	1,032	3	9	1	0	2	0	15
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	*	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	970	954	3	10	1	0	2	0	16
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	963	948	3	10	1	0	1	0	15
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	970	942	10	15	2	0	1	0	28
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 90: Warrick County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **272** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **280** personnel hours.

272 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 280 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **1,277** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours/Cases}] * 100$).

*$([280/1,277] * 100) = 21.93$*

Data collection took **21.93** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$3,081.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Warrick County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,702	6,416	139	77	61	0	9	0	286
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	189	182	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
4. Cases Diverted	35	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	17	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	154	148	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
7. Delinquent Findings	96	93	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	65	64	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.78	**	*	*	*	*	0.86
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,658	6,371	144	78	58	0	7	0	287
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	237	226	8	1	1	0	0	1	11
4. Cases Diverted	45	41	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
5. Secure Detention	23	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned	192	185	4	1	1	0	0	1	7
7. Delinquent Findings	122	115	4	1	1	0	0	1	7
8. Probation Placement	62	60	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.57	**	*	*	*	*	1.08
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,666	6,358	146	87	65	0	10	0	308
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	221	204	15	1	0	0	0	1	17
4. Cases Diverted	120	110	9	0	0	0	0	1	10
5. Secure Detention	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	101	94	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
7. Delinquent Findings	75	72	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	43	40	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.20	**	*	*	*	*	1.72
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,582	6,253	146	95	75	0	13	0	329
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	272	251	18	0	0	0	0	3	21
4. Cases Diverted	138	128	9	0	0	0	0	1	10
5. Secure Detention	11	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
6. Cases Petitioned	134	123	9	0	0	0	0	2	11
7. Delinquent Findings	109	100	7	0	0	0	0	2	9
8. Probation Placement	79	73	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3.07	**	**	*	*	*	1.59
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,897	6,454	209	128	93	0	13	0	443
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	231	213	13	2	0	0	0	3	18
4. Cases Diverted	83	75	7	0	0	0	0	1	8
5. Secure Detention	11	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned	148	138	6	2	0	0	0	2	10
7. Delinquent Findings	119	110	5	2	0	0	0	2	9
8. Probation Placement	61	54	4	2	0	0	0	1	7
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.88	**	**	*	*	*	1.23
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 91: Washington County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

Washington County began using the PBS system in September of 2005. All data prior to this date had to be located using an earlier DOS-based system.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **44** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **52** personnel hours.

44 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 52 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **647** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([52/647] * 100) = 8.04$

Data collection took **8.04** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$572.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Washington County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the OJJDP RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,269	3,201	15	43	7	0	3	0	68
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	114	109	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
4. Cases Diverted	70	69	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	13	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	44	40	2	1	0	0	0	1	4
7. Delinquent Findings	28	25	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	27	24	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,215	3,137	18	51	6	0	3	0	78
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	150	146	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
4. Cases Diverted	116	113	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	34	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	34	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	31	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,193	3,113	21	49	7	0	3	0	80
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	137	135	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
4. Cases Diverted	109	107	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,120	3,044	22	45	7	0	2	0	76
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	128	124	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
4. Cases Diverted	82	78	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	46	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	38	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,189	3,091	30	60	6	0	2	0	98
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	137	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4. Cases Diverted	97	96	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 92: Wayne County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: **Hand-Collected County**

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **80** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **88** personnel hours.

$$80 \text{ person hours} + 8 \text{ hours for preparation and processing} = 88 \text{ hours}$$

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **2,852** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$$([88/2,852] * 100) = 3.09$$

Data collection took **3.09** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$969.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Wayne County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,450	6,632	606	138	43	0	31	0	818
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	551	451	96	0	1	0	3	0	100
4. Cases Diverted	472	387	81	0	1	0	3	0	85
5. Secure Detention	129	102	26	0	0	0	1	0	27
6. Cases Petitioned	79	64	15	0	0	0	0	0	15
7. Delinquent Findings	57	46	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
8. Probation Placement	37	32	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.33	**	*	*	*	*	1.80
4. Cases Diverted	0.98	--	*	*	*	*	0.99
5. Secure Detention	1.20	--	*	*	*	*	1.19
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	--	*	*	*	*	1.06
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,286	6,477	598	140	39	0	32	0	809
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	592	497	90	1	2	0	2	0	95
4. Cases Diverted	490	411	76	0	1	0	2	0	79
5. Secure Detention	103	87	16	0	0	0	0	0	16
6. Cases Petitioned	102	86	14	1	1	0	0	0	16
7. Delinquent Findings	74	65	7	1	1	0	0	0	9
8. Probation Placement	40	38	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	14	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.96	**	*	*	*	*	1.53
4. Cases Diverted	1.02	**	*	*	*	*	1.01
5. Secure Detention	1.02	**	*	*	*	*	0.96
6. Cases Petitioned	0.90	**	*	*	*	*	0.97
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	7,099	6,306	582	142	38	0	31	0	793
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	616	516	90	4	3	0	3	0	100
4. Cases Diverted	483	396	79	3	3	0	2	0	87
5. Secure Detention	115	91	22	0	0	0	2	0	24
6. Cases Petitioned	133	120	11	1	0	0	1	0	13
7. Delinquent Findings	79	69	8	1	0	0	1	0	10
8. Probation Placement	41	35	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.89	**	*	*	*	*	1.54
4. Cases Diverted	1.14	**	*	*	*	*	1.13
5. Secure Detention	1.39	**	*	*	*	*	1.36
6. Cases Petitioned	0.53	**	*	*	*	*	0.56
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,885	6,116	550	151	37	0	31	0	769
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	566	484	75	0	2	0	4	1	82
4. Cases Diverted	464	402	59	0	2	0	1	0	62
5. Secure Detention	80	68	11	0	0	0	1	0	12
6. Cases Petitioned	102	82	16	0	0	0	3	1	20
7. Delinquent Findings	65	55	7	0	0	0	3	0	10
8. Probation Placement	26	23	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	9	1	0	0	0	3	0	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.72	**	*	*	*	*	1.35
4. Cases Diverted	0.95	--	*	*	*	*	0.91
5. Secure Detention	1.04	--	*	*	*	*	1.04
6. Cases Petitioned	1.26	--	*	*	*	*	1.44
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	6,874	6,095	565	153	33	0	28	0	779
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	527	413	102	2	1	0	4	5	114
4. Cases Diverted	416	334	77	2	1	0	2	0	82
5. Secure Detention	89	66	20	0	0	0	2	1	23
6. Cases Petitioned	111	79	25	0	0	0	2	5	32
7. Delinquent Findings	66	49	15	0	0	0	1	1	17
8. Probation Placement	30	22	6	0	0	0	1	1	8
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	12	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2.66	**	*	*	*	*	2.16
4. Cases Diverted	0.93	**	*	*	*	*	0.89
5. Secure Detention	1.23	**	*	*	*	*	1.26
6. Cases Petitioned	1.28	**	*	*	*	*	1.47
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	0.86
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Chapter 93: Wells County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **32** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **40** personnel hours.

32 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 40 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **816** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

$([40/816] * 100) = 4.9$

Data collection took **4.9** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$440.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Wells County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,392	3,286	24	67	11	0	4	0	106
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	139	132	2	4	0	0	0	1	7
4. Cases Diverted	83	79	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
5. Secure Detention	7	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	56	53	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	56	53	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	54	51	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.64
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,363	3,250	29	66	14	0	4	0	113
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	171	165	1	2	0	0	1	2	6
4. Cases Diverted	87	86	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
5. Secure Detention	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	84	79	1	2	0	0	1	1	5
7. Delinquent Findings	82	77	1	2	0	0	1	1	5
8. Probation Placement	74	69	1	2	0	0	1	1	5
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.05
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,268	3,147	33	72	12	0	4	0	121
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	171	168	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	100	97	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	71	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	71	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	68	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,205	3,080	34	77	11	0	3	0	125
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	162	155	2	2	0	0	1	2	7
4. Cases Diverted	95	90	2	1	0	0	1	1	5
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	67	65	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
7. Delinquent Findings	62	60	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
8. Probation Placement	59	57	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	1.11
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,189	3,043	40	90	13	0	3	0	146
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	133	127	4	1	0	0	0	1	6
4. Cases Diverted	93	90	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
5. Secure Detention	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	40	37	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	39	36	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	35	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 94: White County

County Notes:

Data Collection System: HAND-COLLECTED COUNTY

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **80** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **88** personnel hours.

80 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 88 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **360** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}]*100$).

$([88/360]*100)= 24.44$

Data collection took **24.44** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$968.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in White County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,759	2,482	21	239	8	0	9	0	277
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,707	2,421	22	249	9	0	6	0	286
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,617	2,324	23	257	7	0	6	0	293
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	72	66	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	64	58	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
5. Secure Detention	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Delinquent Findings	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Probation Placement	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.82	*	*	*	*	0.72
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,538	2,223	23	277	8	0	7	0	315
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	126	111	0	15	0	0	0	0	15
4. Cases Diverted	107	93	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
5. Secure Detention	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	19	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	19	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.08	*	*	*	*	0.95
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	2,452	2,126	26	285	11	0	4	0	326
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	141	111	2	28	0	0	0	0	30
4. Cases Diverted	114	88	0	26	0	0	0	0	26
5. Secure Detention	8	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	27	23	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	24	20	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	21	18	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.88	*	*	*	*	1.76
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Chapter 95: Whitley County

County Notes:

Data Collection System:

Paperless Business Solutions – Developed by a former probation officer, PBS is also a strong case management system that is well-regarded in the counties in which this program is in use. We contracted with the developer of the system to extract data for nearly all of the PBS sites. This saved a great deal of time in the data collection process, with our attention focused on filling in gaps where the data that had been entered at the local level did not map neatly to the program that was written to extract the data. Some training by the PBS staff could really help move these counties to a situation where their information can be effortlessly extracted for submission to ICJI each year.

NOTE: Court reporting systems such as Informer and Gavel might have been accessed for supplemental data.

Time required for Collection

Personnel Hours:

There were a total of **172** personnel hours (1 day = 8 personnel hours) spent on data collection. An additional **8** hours were spent preparing the extract for data collection and processing the data after it was collected, for a total of **180** personnel hours.

172 person hours + 8 hours for preparation and processing = 180 hours

NOTE: Driving time from Indianapolis is included in the personnel hours. Each day is estimated to be 8 hours. Employee training time is not included in this figure.

Number of Cases:

This project only looked at non-status delinquency cases from 2005 to 2009. Data collection efforts identified **748** valid juvenile delinquency cases.

Data Collection Rate:

The data collection rate was the number of personnel hours per 100 cases processed ($[\text{Hours}/\text{Cases}] * 100$).

*$([180/748] * 100) = 18.18$*

Data collection took **18.18** hours per 100 cases processed. An average wage of 11 dollars per hour created a total cost estimate of **\$1,980.00** for the data to reach its final product.

Data Tables

The following tables represent the findings in Whitley County. Each year's breakdown of decision points by race is included, followed by the RRI summary for that year.

Data Collected - 2005

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,730	3,618	33	45	23	0	11	0	112
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	158	151	1	5	0	0	0	1	7
4. Cases Diverted	96	93	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
5. Secure Detention	20	18	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6. Cases Petitioned	62	58	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
7. Delinquent Findings	60	56	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
8. Probation Placement	57	53	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
10. Transferred to Adult Court	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2005

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	1.50
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2006

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,714	3,592	37	50	27	0	8	0	122
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	126	123	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	57	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5. Secure Detention	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	69	68	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Delinquent Findings	65	64	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Probation Placement	64	63	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2006

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2007

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,665	3,541	37	47	28	0	12	0	124
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	154	149	3	1	0	0	1	0	5
4. Cases Diverted	93	91	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
5. Secure Detention	29	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned	61	58	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7. Delinquent Findings	60	57	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
8. Probation Placement	59	56	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2007

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2008

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,623	3,492	39	49	28	0	15	0	131
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	166	164	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
4. Cases Diverted	71	70	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
5. Secure Detention	23	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
6. Cases Petitioned	95	94	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7. Delinquent Findings	92	91	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8. Probation Placement	84	83	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Transferred to Adult Court	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2008

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results:

Bold font

Results that are not statistically significant

Regular font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis

**

Missing data for some element of calculation

Data Collected - 2009

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population	3,663	3,508	55	56	25	0	19	0	155
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	144	138	2	2	1	0	0	1	6
4. Cases Diverted	61	59	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
5. Secure Detention	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned	83	79	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
7. Delinquent Findings	81	77	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
8. Probation Placement	77	74	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. Transferred to Adult Court	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relative Rate Index Compared with White Juveniles - 2009

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders	American Indian/Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	0.98
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Confinement in Juvenile Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
 Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
 Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

CHAPTER 96: CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this study was not only to collect DMC data and analyze it, but also assess whether or not the state will be able to meet OJJDP’s DMC data reporting requirements in the future. It is our understanding that OJJDP would like states to engage in on-going data collection, report the data in five year cycles (or three year cycles in DMC reduction sites), and perform DMC assessments and evaluations. In our judgment, the State of Indiana is not in a position to systematically report DMC data as required by OJJDP because the capacity to gather the data is non-existent. This should be a major area of focus for ICJI in its next three year DMC plan.

In what remains of this report, we recommend some changes that are large and sweeping, including changes to current legislation. However, we also offer simple recommendations that require little extra effort on the part of the various jurisdictions, but would make marked changes in the capability of Indiana to report required data to OJJDP. It would also assist the state in making data-driven decisions that may help protect, or intervene when necessary, in the lives of minority youth in the state.

Capture the Missing Data & Determine Who Gets Diverted and Why

There should be statewide policies that require juvenile courts (and/or probation departments) to collect information “in real time” about cases that they determine will be “information only” or where the prosecutors or probation decide take no further action without a formal diversion program. We are not suggesting the creation of official records for these youths. What we urge courts to do—this will likely have to be done by probation staff—is to

collect general demographics such as: age, race, gender, date of referral, date of birth, charge and court action (e.g., no action was taken, counsel and release, information only, etc.), in a separate database that is not necessarily linked with status or delinquency filings. This database should also be incident-based, but no names or identifying information should be kept so as to maintain the juvenile courts' intent to divert youth without creating officials records that follow them. The information would allow ICJI (and the state) to come much closer to accurately representing decision making as it pertains to juvenile justice case processing.

Critical Training Needs

Periodic, if not annual training of court clerks, court administrators, and office staff (employed by the courts or probation departments) with responsibility of imputing case data as a part of their regular duties, is desperately needed. Most of the counties have powerful tools available to manage juvenile court case data—especially the data that must be reported to OJJDP annually. Yet, individual staff members may not understand how their actions (or lack thereof when it comes to the timely entering of data) may impede their jurisdiction's ability to extract data from the county's systems in an organized fashion. Each county needs to continually monitor their data collection for a few key things. Obviously, diagnostic checks need to be run to be certain the data is valid. Also, supervisors need to assess whether or not the case information is being recorded in real time. Finally, supervisors also need to assess on a regular basis that their individual staff understand both the court processes underlying the data points and how to manipulate the databases in order to run key data reports from data extracts. Learning that one or more staff members are unaware of these practices or unable to do them should trigger immediate training sessions.

Web-based Interface between State and Vendors

With some attention to the way information is entered into the various data management systems, we can foresee the development of a single interface that allows local jurisdictions to query their data and dump it into a web-based data repository that could generate the data needed for submission to OJJDP on an annual basis. At the start of this project, preliminary discussions with the developers of Quest pointed to one possible solution that could be made available to each county. More discussion is warranted to explore such a solution.

Hire Statewide DMC DATA Specialist

We propose that the state enter into a personal services contract (for perhaps three years or so) to work with ICJI's Statewide DMC Coordinator and Youth Division Director to develop and implement a plan aimed at improving data reporting capacity in the state. The contractor could liaison with: the case management system vendors, with the jurisdictions that contract with lead vendors (such as Quest, CSI and PBS), the State Court Administrator's Office, Odyssey developers and state IT staffers to build a structure that could meet the state's needs for data and the jurisdictions needs for capacity building (based on their current strengths and addressing their current deficits). The contractor could also provide individualized technical assistance to the different counties across the state.

Technical Assistance

Now that data has been compiled for every county in the state for a five-year period, it would be advantageous to engage some national experts to provide technical assistance to key stakeholders in the juvenile justice system. For instance representatives from the W. Haywood

Burns Institute might be invited to respond to the data with training and discussion at statewide judge's conferences and the annual probation officer trainings.

Quality Assurance & Compliance

Moving forward, it will need to be the responsibility of each county to submit their DMC data. We are aware from conversations with those managing depositories for county-submitted DMC data that there are often significant issues with the quality and accuracy of the data. Quarterly random checks of information in files are recommended to make sure that we do not rely on erroneous statistical results both to describe the racial and ethnic distribution of juvenile court processing and to lead to the creation of costly interventions aimed at remediating problems that do not exist.

Vendor Summits

We are convinced that the three large software vendors that provide data management capabilities to juvenile courts across the state (Quest, CSI, and PBS) would be committed to creating a standardized extract process to pull data out of their systems in a format that is conducive to providing ICJI with the data they must report to OJJDP annually. The vendors can be instrumental in encouraging users at the local levels to enter data appropriately based on the design of the extracts and queries that are developed.

Statewide Definitions of DMC terms

Just as the FBI does with the Uniform Crime Reports, we recommend that the State require jurisdictions to use a common set of terms to identify the different decision points within the juvenile court process. Making sure that each jurisdiction is using standardized definitions and rules for the classification of decisions would allow for stronger analyses and would serve to

sensitize juvenile court personnel to DMC issues. In essence, this would increase the state's ability to compare apples with apples.

Collapsing Data to Increase Power

We recommend that when the state takes a second look at this dataset, and future DMC datasets, it consider collapsing three years of data together to increase statistical power. This could be done at the statewide level, but is probably more important to do at the county level as several counties have too few observations in a single year to complete statistical testing.

Collapsing several years together or combining several counties into specified regions in one year would likely increase the power needed in the analysis. The drawback to collapsing data across years is that the state would lose the ability to see if results were just a one year fluke—but that can be combated by still computing annual tables. The bigger issue may become the inability of the state to understand what is driving trends in the regions. The analysis could be disaggregated to examine these issues, but any loss of specificity may be outweighed by the state's ability to determine regional attitudes or practices that would not be uncovered by state or county level analyses alone.

Legislative Changes to the ICC: Juvenile Liquor Law Violations

We also believe that legislation should be proposed that would stipulate that alcohol violations committed by persons under the age of 18, be filed as a status offense. This would decrease disparity in how these charges are filed and assert the courts underlying helping mission by requiring intervention that does little harm and is in the interest of the child.

Legislative Changes Requiring Reporting of DMC

There is likely to be some resistance at the local level to submitting data to ICJI each year in addition to the data they are already required to submit quarterly to the State Court Administrators Office. Perhaps it would make sense to include DMC breakdowns as part of the data that is legislatively required to be submitted regularly to the State Court Administrator's Office. Nevertheless, many people are averse to change. Some individuals chose to ignore requests for more information. Since significant resources are tied to this information and the state is in need of the resources, we suggest that state consider introducing legislation that requires counties to provide this data if not quarterly, then annually.

Final Wrap Up


Our research team was able to collect data in 92 counties for every delinquency case (205,000+) referred to the juvenile court between 2005 and 2009. While this project was massive in scope (and unlikely to be paralleled without some sort of statewide data reporting system in place), it pales in comparison with the effort needed by the state right now in order to change practice and attitudes about how young people, particularly youth of color, should be processed through the juvenile justice system. It is clear from the data in, *Table 3-2 – Statewide RRI Compared with White Juveniles, 2009 (92 Counties included)*, and most of the years of data in mid-range to large size counties in the state, that **DMC is evident in Indiana**. This study was not charged with determining why youth of color are facing disparate outcomes across the various regions in the state; but, what it does tell us is that we have a serious problem that needs serious solutions to make certain that all youth in the state are dealt consistently and fairly—regardless of race, ethnicity, age, gender or region of the state.

Appendix A: Letter from Chief Justice Shepard

STATE - INDIANA

SUPREME COURT

RANDALL T. SHEPARD, CHIEF JUSTICE



INDIANAPOLIS 46204-2798

304 STATE HOUSE
(317) 232-2550

July 30, 2010

Dear Colleague:

I would appreciate your support of the study of disproportionate minority contact (DMC) in juvenile delinquency cases being undertaken by the Indiana University Center for Criminal Justice Research (Center) under a contract with the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute (ICJI).

As the accompanying letter from ICJI Executive Director Dr. T. Neil Moore explains in greater detail, one of the conditions of receiving federal funding under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act is that the state collect and analyze data regarding minority contact with the juvenile justice system in all 92 counties.

Indiana has historically received more than \$1 million per year in funding under this Act, much of which has directly benefited Indiana juvenile courts, and we do not want to jeopardize this important source of support for improving our juvenile justice system. Beyond that, the collection and examination of this data will allow all of us to gain a better understanding of the extent that minority youth are disproportionately represented at the various decision points in the juvenile justice system, with the ultimate goal of ensuring that all youth who enter the juvenile justice system are treated fairly and equally regardless of race or ethnicity.

The Indiana Supreme Court has issued an order authorizing ICJI and the Center to have access to confidential court records pursuant to Administrative Rule 9(B)(2)(c) subject to certain conditions including the execution of a confidentiality agreement by all individuals working on the project. The order also directs each juvenile court to grant access to its records to the Center and its representatives. Beyond that, I hope that you and your staff can be helpful to these researchers as necessary. At the same time, it is my hope and expectation that this effort will not cause any major disruption in the work of your court and staff.

Thank you for your cooperation in this effort. Please do not hesitate to contact me or Jeff Bercovitz at the Indiana Judicial Center if you have any questions.


Sincerely,

Randy Shepard

Randall T. Shepard
Chief Justice of Indiana

Appendix B: Court Order

In the
Indiana Supreme Court



IN THE MATTER OF)
REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO JUVENILE)
COURT RECORDS BY THE INDIANA)
CRIMINAL JUSTICE INSTITUTE, A)
PUBLIC AGENCY)

Case No. 94500-1007-MS-403

ORDER GRANTING THE INDIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INSTITUTE
ACCESS TO JUVENILE COURT RECORDS

On June 16, 2010, Dr. T. Neil Moore, Executive Director of the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute (ICJI), submitted a Request for Release of Bulk Data or Compiled Information Not Excluded from Public Access, and on July 12, 2010, a Verified Request for Release of Bulk Data or Compiled Information Containing Information Excluded from Public Access, requesting certain court records, which are excluded from public access under Ind. Administrative Rule 9(G)(1). The ICJI is a public agency within the executive branch of state government.

In support of Dr. Moore's request, this Court has been advised:

The Youth Division of the ICJI works to improve Indiana's juvenile justice system; to facilitate this mission, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) awards federal funding to ICJI; and that eligibility to receive such funding, which historically has amounted to more than \$1 million per year, is subject to compliance with the Federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act (JJDPA) of 2002.

The JJDPA requires states to monitor and comply with four core requirements: (1) Site and Sound Separation, (2) Jail Removal, (3) Deinstitutionalization of Status Offenders and (4) Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC). Under the DMC core requirement states are required to determine whether DMC exists and, if so, where it exists and why it exists. To satisfy this requirement, ICJI and OJJDP have agreed that ICJI will collect and examine race related juvenile justice data for all 92 counties; data collection for at least 60 of the 92 counties must be completed by December 2010.

To meet this requirement, ICJI has engaged the Indiana University Center for Criminal Justice Research (Center) of the Indiana University Public Policy Institute. Representatives from the Center will work with the appropriate probation and court staff in each county in an attempt to gather information on juvenile arrests, referrals, diversions, detentions, petitions filed, waivers to adult court, delinquency adjudications, probation, and secure confinements. The Center will also attempt to collect additional information including race, sex, zip code, date of birth, and delinquent act allegations.


Data collection and analysis for this project has been approved under the strict protections of human subjects by the Indiana University Institutional Review Board. The data collected by the Center will not include any names, addresses, or social security numbers that would permit identification of any individual juvenile. All data reporting will be on an aggregated basis.

The Court finds that as the state agency responsible for compliance with the JJDP, ICJI is entitled to greater access to Juvenile Court Records under Ind. Administrative Rule 9(B)(2)(c); and that ICJI, the Center and its representatives, as agents of the ICJI, should be and are granted access to all juvenile court records to meet the requirements of the JJDP as set forth above; provided, however, that ICJI, the Center, and each of their employees and agents involved in this undertaking (1) comply with the human subject protection requirements of the Indiana University Institutional Review Board; (2) not collect any names, addresses, or social security numbers that would permit identification of any individual juvenile; and (3) execute confidentiality agreements in the form provided by the Court's Division of State Court Administration.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that each juvenile court in Indiana shall grant the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute access to such records as will provide the information described above.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to forward certified copies of this Order to Dr. T. Neil Moore, Executive Director, Indiana Criminal Justice Institute, 1010 W. Washington Street, Suite 1170 East, Indianapolis, IN 46204; to the Clerk of the Circuit Court in each county; and to the Indiana Supreme Court, Division of State Court Administration.

DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana this 30th day of July, 2010.


Randall T. Shepard
Chief Justice of Indiana

Appendix C: Initial Email to Judges from CCJR Lead Researchers

INITIAL EMAIL FROM DRS. GARCIA AND JARJOURA

Good Afternoon:

We are sending you this email in follow-up to a letter you received recently from Chief Justice Randall Shepard requesting your cooperation in a data collection effort that the State of Indiana is required to complete. An accompanying letter from the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute (ICJI) provided details on the purpose of the study and explained that they had contracted with the Indiana University Public Policy Institute, Center for Criminal Justice Research (CCJR), to carry out the collection of the required data. We are the principal investigators for this project. As the letter from ICJI notes, a majority of the data must be collected by December of this year. As such, we are looking to begin our work immediately and appreciate the assistance your court and probation staff will provide us.

As each county in our state maintains their own system of record-keeping, we would like to work with members of your court staff and probation staff to gain access to the necessary information. The information that is needed on each juvenile is very basic – the date of referral, date of birth, gender, race/ethnicity, most serious charge and level of most serious charge (i.e., misdemeanor vs. felony), and then the specific decisions at each step in the juvenile court process. Specifically, we need to know decisions about diversion, petition, secure detention, delinquency finding, probation, confinement in secure correctional facility, and whether a case was transferred to the criminal courts via judicial waiver. We will be collecting information for all delinquency cases from the calendar years 2005 through 2009.

How your county records are kept (electronic or paper), will determine the time we need to collect the information. If your county has all the required information in electronic format, we will ask for the data to be provided electronically. If your county has paper-only records, we will provide trained people to consult those paper files and gather the information. This may require several days depending on the numbers of cases and the characteristics of your filing system. In either case, we assure you that we will make every effort to avoid disrupting your work environment and will we will take the utmost precautions in accessing the information.

The research team has a long history of conducting research that includes sensitive data. Thus, our research plan includes extensive measures to ensure the confidentiality of information collected during the project. Moreover, the Indiana University Institutional Review Board, whose mission is to make sure that all IU research protects the human subjects studied, has approved our study protocol. To protect the identities of those involved in our study, data collected will be coded with unique identifiers that are known only to the research team. The use of such identifiers by the research team is necessary to effectively manage data collection efforts. As information is stored, no identifying information will be linked; thus it will not be possible to identify specific individuals based upon the stored data. All internal tracking numbers will be destroyed at the conclusion of the research. We have enclosed a copy of the data collection instrument for your information.

Prior to beginning the collection of the data, we need to know some important details regarding your county's juvenile record keeping system. We have developed an online survey to gather this information. We would appreciate if you or someone from your staff would go online to <http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/YR3MQJY> and complete the survey. If you would rather complete a

hard copy of the survey, you can print the attached copy and mail it to us at the address provided below. Or, you may wait until you receive a hard copy of our letter which will include a copy of the survey and a self-addressed stamped envelope. We deeply appreciate your assistance in this. If at all possible, we would like to have your response by August 13.

We believe that the methods and procedures employed in this project meet the requirements mandated in all statutes and research protocols. The Project Manager for this undertaking is Kathy Lisby, a senior policy analyst with CCJR. She will be in contact with your designated contact person on an ongoing basis, until all data collection is completed. If it becomes necessary to send a team to collect the data by hand, we will provide trained research assistants that are supervised by students in our graduate program.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact us directly. You may also inquire about this project by contacting Jeff Bercovitz (by email at jbercovi@courts.state.in.us or by phone at (317) 232-1313) or you may contact the state's DMC Coordinator, Tashi Johnson (by email at TashJohnson@cji.IN.gov or by phone at (317) 234-4476). If you would like to read more regarding OJJDP's efforts regarding DMC, visit their website at: <http://ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/dmc/about.html>.

Thank you for your assistance.

Crystal A. Garcia, Ph.D.
crgarcia@iupui.edu
(317) 274-7006

G. Roger Jarjoura, Ph.D.
rjarjour@iupui.edu
(317) 278-2270

Enclosures:

Data Collection Instrument
Initial Information Survey Instrument
Judicial Order granting access to the data
Mail the Initial Information Survey Instrument to:
Kathy Lisby, Project Manager
4520 Grayhawk Drive
Winter Haven, FL 33884

Appendix D: Data Survey sent to each county

SURVEY OF JUVENILE COURT DATA STRUCTURE AND PROCESSES

Crystal Garcia, PhD and Roger Jarjoura, PhD

Criminal Justice, Law and Public Safety Program

School of Public and Environmental Affairs, IUPUI

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. As the accompanying letter explains, the information collected from this survey will be used to complete a research and data collection plan to collect data from every juvenile court in the state. In particular, your answers will help us to determine: (1) if we will need to visit your county in person to collect data from your court and probation files; and (2) how long we should plan to be in your area. The information we will gather from this survey, and the subsequent data we will collect from the larger research project *Collection and Analysis of Statewide Disproportionate Minority Contact Data*, is critical for the State of Indiana, the state’s long-term DMC planning and remediation activities and the young people the state serves.

If you have questions as you are completing the survey, please contact our Project Manager, Kathy Lisby, at 863-268-8057 or kjlisby@iupui.edu.

I. COUNTY CONTACT INFORMATION	
1. Name of County:	
2. Juvenile Court Judge:	
3. Designated <u>Contact Person</u> for questions regarding responses to the questions below:	
(First Name) _____	
(Last Name) _____	
Phone Number ()	
Email address:	
II. INFORMATION ABOUT JUVENILE REFERRALS	
1. Do you have a comprehensive listing of all juveniles who were arrested or had contact with the Juvenile Court each year for the years 2005 through 2009?	
(a) List of those arrested?	

<p>(b) List of those who had contact with the court?</p> <p>(c) If lists exist, do they exist for all five years?</p>	<p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p>
<p>2. If you answered NO for 1(a) and 1 (b), skip to Section III – INFORMATION ABOUT COURT RECORDS. If you answer YES, please tell us in what format would the list be organized:</p> <p>(a) By name of juvenile and action taken?</p> <p>(b) By cause number of juvenile’s case?</p> <p>(c) By some court numbering system?</p> <p>(d) Other (explain):</p>	<p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p>

<p>III. INFORMATION ABOUT COURT RECORDS</p>	
<p>1. What proportions of the juvenile records, from 2005 to 2009, are in an electronic format?</p>	<p>ALL SOME NONE</p>

<p>2. When data is available electronically, what format is it kept in (Access, Excel, etc)?</p> <p>(a). format:</p> <p>(b). format:</p> <p>(c). format:</p>	<p>For each format you provide, please choose a proportion of the cases that are kept in this type of database or case management system:</p> <p>ALL SOME NONE</p> <p>ALL SOME NONE</p> <p>ALL SOME NONE</p>
<p>3. If your files are all paper files, are the files from 2005 through 2009 easily accessible?</p> <p>(a). Are the paper files held in your building?</p> <p>(b). If NO, where are they held? (Please include all locations)</p>	<p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p>

IV. ARREST DATA	
<p>1. Do you have overall juvenile arrest data by year for your county (i.e., number of arrests per year)?</p>	<p>YES NO</p>
<p>2. If NO, skip to Section V – RACE/ETHNICITY. If YES, answer the</p>	

<p>following questions:</p> <p>(a) Is that information separated by race?</p> <p>(b) Is that information separated by age at arrest?</p> <p>(c) Is that information separated by gender?</p>	<p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p>
--	---

V. RACE/ETHNICITY	
<p>1. Do you record the race/ethnicity of the juvenile in each case? If NO, skip to Section XI – CHARGES</p>	<p>YES NO</p>
<p>2. IF Yes, how is the race determined?</p> <p>(a) From a previous document</p> <p>(b) From juvenile self-report</p> <p>(c) From staff looking at the juvenile and determining it</p>	<p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p>YES NO</p>
<p>3. Has the way you determine the race of a juvenile changed between the years 2005 and 2009?</p>	<p>YES NO</p>

4. What race category do you select when it is determined that a juvenile is of mixed race?
(Please explain here).

5. Many jurisdictions classify the race of the juvenile offenders with one of the categories being “Hispanic/Latino” (for example, youth are classified as either white, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, and so on). More recently there have been efforts to distinguish between the dimensions of race (white, African-American, Asian, Native American, and so on) and ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic) in some jurisdictions. Tell us how a Hispanic youth would be classified in terms of his/her race/ethnicity in your jurisdiction.

- We include “Hispanic” as one of the categories of RACE
- This youth would be classified as “Hispanic” on ETHNICITY and then also in terms of RACE as either white or African-American as appropriate
- We have a different approach

VI. CHARGE	
1. Do you determine a "most serious offense/charge"?	YES NO
2. IF NO, skip to Section VII – POINTS OF THE JUVENILE SYSTEM. IF YES, how do you make that determination? (Please explain).	

VII. POINTS OF THE JUVENILE SYSTEM
<p>We will be collecting information regarding each contact point within the juvenile system. Please define how your county determines and operationally defines each point. We, the researchers, must be sure we are consistent across the state when we count juveniles at each point. Based upon how each point is defined, we may need to recode certain items for consistency.</p> <p>(Please check all that apply under each contact point)</p>
<p>1. Referral</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Arrested juvenile sent to court for legal processing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Non-arrested juvenile referred by law enforcement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Complaint by citizen</p>

Complaint by school

Other (explain) _____

2. Diversion

Referred juvenile, no formal charges processed

Referred juvenile, screened by intake, dismissed, lack of legal sufficiency

Referred juvenile, screened by intake, informally resolved, no filing of charges, voluntary agreement (no adjudication)

Other (explain) _____

3. Detention

Prior to disposition, juvenile held in secure detention

Following disposition, juvenile held in secure detention, awaiting placement

Other (explain) _____

Secure detention includes:

Jails

Lockups

Group homes

Youth detention facilities

Prior to disposition, a juvenile may be held in detention:

1/2 day

1 day

1.5 days

2 days

more than 2 days

Following disposition, a juvenile may be held in detention awaiting placement:

1/2 day

1 day

1.5 days

2 days

more than 2 days

4. Petition Filed

Legal document has been received by court requesting adjudication

Legal document has been received by court for transfer to adult court

No legal document received, but juvenile case appears on court calendar

Other (explain) _____

5. Adjudicated Delinquent

Court hearing held, juvenile found delinquent (guilty)

Disposition hearing held at same time as adjudication

Disposition hearing held separately from adjudication hearing

Other (explain) _____

6. Probation

Disposition hearing held, juvenile placed on formal probation (court-ordered)

7. Secure Confinement

Disposition hearing held, juvenile placed in secure residential facility

Disposition hearing held, juvenile placed in correctional facility

Other (explain) _____

<p>8. Secure Confinement includes:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Private residential facilities (i.e. Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Center)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Public residential facilities (i.e. Vigo County Juvenile Center)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Indiana Department of Correction juvenile facilities</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Group homes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Mental health treatment homes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain) _____</p>
<p>9. Waived to Adult Court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Waiver hearing, petition filed to juvenile court to waive jurisdiction to criminal court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain) _____</p>

VIII. DUPLICATED VS. UNDUPLICATED COUNTS	
<p>1. We will be counting total juvenile contact with the juvenile system, so if a juvenile is arrested four times within one year, we need to count him/her four times. Is that an issue considering the way your records are kept?</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(a) If yes, please explain:</p>	<p>YES NO</p>

--	--

Thank you for your cooperation. Someone from the research team will be contacting you soon to make arrangements to obtain the necessary data.

You may complete this electronically at: <http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/YR3MQJY>

please mail to: or email to: kilisby@iupui.edu

Kathy Lisby, Project Manager

4520 Grayhawk Drive

Winter Haven, FL 33884

Appendix E:

Data Collection Form

DMC DATA PROJECT
DATA COLLECTION FORM

Case ID _____ -- _____ -- _____

1. Date of Referral:

Month _____

Day _____

Year _____

2. Date of Birth:

Month _____

Day _____

Year _____

3. Sex (Circle correct value):

Male 0

Female 1

White	0
African-American	1
Hispanic	2
Asian	3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	4
Native American/Alaska Native	5
Other/Mixed _____	6
Missing	9

5. Most serious charge for this case: _____

6. Offense level for most serious charge:	F	0
	FA	1
	FB	2
	FC	3
	FD	4
	MA	5
	MB	6
	MC	7
	ST	8
	V	9

7. Was youth diverted from juvenile court?	No	0
	Yes	1

8. Was youth placed in secure detention?	No	0
	Yes	1

9. Was a petition filed with juvenile court?	No	0
	Yes	1

10. Was youth waived to criminal court?	No	0
	Yes	1

11. Was youth adjudicated delinquent?	No	0
	Yes	1

12. Was youth placed on formal probation?	No	0
	Yes	1

13. Was youth committed to the Indiana Department of Correction:

a) At the time of disposition?	No	0
--------------------------------	----	---

Yes 1

b) Later, as a result of a violation of probation or a suspended commitment?

No 0

Yes 1

14. If the response to #11 is **Yes** and the response to #12 and #13a are both **No**, then specify the disposition that was given to this youth:

15. The data for this case were collected from:

Probation files 0

Court files 1

Both 2

Coder _____

Date _____

Notes:

Appendix F: Confidentiality Form

CONDITIONS FOR ACCESS AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

Due to special request, the Indiana Supreme Court has directed, by Order dated July 30, 2010 in Case No. 94S00-1007-MS-403, that each juvenile court grant the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute (ICJI) access to such juvenile court records as will allow the ICJI, through its authorized agent, the Indiana University Center for Criminal Justice Research (Center) of the Indiana Public Policy Institute, to gather information on juvenile arrests, referrals, diversions, detentions, petitions filed, waivers to adult court, delinquency adjudications, probation and secure confinements. Additionally, the ICJI and the Center are authorized to collect additional information including race, sex, zip code, date of birth, and delinquent act allegations. Access is granted to such information as will enable the ICJI to meet the requirements of the Federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 2002. All data reporting will be on an aggregated basis.

Conditions for Access

As conditions of the approval granted by the Supreme Court, the ICJI, the Center, and each of their employees and agents involved in the project to meet the requirements of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act shall:

- (1) comply with the human subject protection requirements of the Indiana University Institutional Review Board;
- (2) not collect any names, addresses, or social security numbers that would permit identification of any individual juvenile; and
- (3) execute confidentiality agreements in the form provided by Court's Division of State Court Administration.

Confidentiality Agreement:

The ICJI, the Center, and each of their employees and agents involved in this project acknowledge and agree that:

1. They will not reproduce any information from a juvenile record, except for internal purposes of the study.

2. They will not collect any names, addresses, or social security numbers that would permit identification of any individual juvenile.

3. They shall maintain the security of confidential data obtained from the courts and probation departments and shall only access and use this data for the limited purposes for which they have been given access to the information.

4. They will not include in any reports, findings or conclusions of the study that are made public any information which identifies any individual juvenile or would permit the identification of any individual juvenile.

5. They agree that the Indiana Supreme Court and its Division of State Court Administration have the right to inspect the study, and if the Court believes the study is being conducted in a manner contrary to its Order of July 30, 2010 directing that each juvenile court in Indiana grant the ICJI, the Center and its representatives, as agents of the ICJI, access to such records as will enable the ICJI to meet the requirements of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, the Indiana Supreme Court may terminate access to juvenile court records or impose other restrictions.

6. They acknowledge that under Indiana Code §5-14-3-10, public employees, and employees of a contractor or subcontractor of a public agency who knowingly or intentionally disclose information classified as confidential by state statute commit a Class A misdemeanor, and public employees are subject to discipline for recklessly disclosing or failing to protect information classified as confidential by state statute.

7. The ICJI and the Center agree to provide the Division of State Court Administration with a list of the names and addresses of each person who is a member of the project staff, and only those persons who have signed this agreement will be given access to confidential juvenile court records.

I agree to the above Conditions for Access and Confidentiality Agreement, and I affirm, under penalties for perjury, that I will not disclose any confidential information from a juvenile record.

SIGNATURE: _____

PRINT NAME: _____

POSITION: _____

EMPLOYER: _____

DATE: _____

Appendix G:

Training Manual

DMC Data Project Training Manual

The following document is made up of four sections. Section I includes a list and description of all the numbered items found in the data collection sheet. Section II includes a glossary of terms. Section III consists of the Juvenile Code of Indiana with descriptions of the offense, the types and classes of offenses (e.g., status offenses, misdemeanors, and felonies), and the statute numbers (e.g., 35-44-1-3). Section IV includes the number codes for Indiana Counties.

Proposed Project

This project came about as a strategy to ensure that the State of Indiana complies with the Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) core requirement of the Juvenile Justice Delinquency and Prevention (JJDP) Act of 2002. As part of Indiana's eligibility to receive Title II Formula Grant Funding, the State must comply with four core requirements of the JJDP Act. One of the core requirements is to address the disproportionate rate at which minority youth come in contact with the juvenile justice system, a phenomenon that OJJDP refers to as DMC. Specifically, Section 223(a)(22) of the Act requires states "to address juvenile delinquency prevention efforts and system improvement efforts designed to reduce, without establishing or requiring numerical standards or quotas, the disproportionate number of minority group youth who come into contact with the juvenile justice system." States are required to begin the process of reducing DMC by adopting the five-phase DMC Reduction Model. The first phase of the Model is the Identification phase. During the identification phase, the primary goal is to (1) determine the extent that minority youth are overrepresented in the juvenile justice system, (2) highlight the nature of this overrepresentation, and (3) determine the degree of this overrepresentation. These goals will be accomplished by collecting and analyzing data that captures each of nine juvenile justice decision points.

Initial Process in Each Court

At the onset of this project, a letter will be sent from the state (either signed by the Chief Justice of the Indiana Supreme Court or by the Chair of the Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee) explaining the purpose and importance of the project. This will be followed by a letter from Dr. Garcia and Dr. Jarjoura laying out the procedures of the study, and asking that one person in each court complete a survey assessing the capacity of the court to provide the data, preferably in electronic format, required by OJJDP. One of the project managers from our team will then follow up with the court personnel to secure the data for this project. When data can be provided in electronic format, then arrangements will be made to receive that data—once the data have been received, they will be processed and if necessary, someone from our team will visit the court in person to fill any gaps in the data. When it is not possible to submit the data in electronic format, a team from this project will visit the court and collect the data by hand. This manual lays out the process by which the data will be capture from the records maintained by the court and probation office.

SECTION I - DATA COLLECTION SHEET

Case ID _____ -- _____ -- _____

At the top of page 1 is a space for the Case ID. This is a number generated by the project staff to identify each case without requiring any information that would divulge the specific youth's identity. This is a 7-digit number that contains three parts. The first two digits are the county code (i.e., Marion County is 49, Monroe County is 53). In between the two hyphens is a single digit that identifies the year the case was initiated (i.e., "5" for 2005, "6" for 2006, and so on). The other four digits are a sequential number from 0001 through the total number of cases for that particular county. The Case ID will come from a list that is supplied by the project coordinators. Make sure before you begin work in a new county that you have received a list matching each cause number to an assigned Case ID. You **SHOULD NOT** generate this number by yourself.

INTAKE

Intake refers to the process during which a juvenile referral is received and a decision is made to either file a petition, to release the juvenile, or to divert the juvenile out of the system. In most jurisdictions, intake takes place in the probation office. The case is referred to the probation office and a probation officer makes the decision, often in consultation with the prosecutor's office, about whether to process the case through juvenile court or through one of several informal options available. The intake information will most likely be available in the files held in the probation office. As much of the other information on the data collection form is likely to be found in court files that are kept in the court clerk's office, we will request access to the files in the clerk's office as well as in the probation office. The judge has the authority to grant access to files in both locations.

1. Date of Referral: Month _____

Day _____

Year _____

This is the date that the case was received in the probation office and when the case was officially opened. One of the first tasks in each site will be to figure out how to determine the date of referral. In filling in this date, please note the format. The two-digit month code is listed in the first line, followed by the two-digit day code on line 2, and on line 3, the two-digit year code (e.g., 05, 07, 08). It is important to fill in the numbers carefully and legibly.

2. Date of Birth: Month _____

Day _____

Year _____

The date of birth of the juvenile offender is listed next, again following the same format for recording dates. The birth date is typically listed on any official document--i.e., police reports, court documents, probation officer reports. You should look for at least two different occurrences of the

same date of birth in the file before settling on a date to record (except in the situation where the date of birth appears only once in the file).

3. Sex (Circle correct value): Male 0

Female 1

4. Race/Ethnicity (Circle correct value): White 0

African-American 1

Hispanic 2

Asian 3

Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 4

Native American/Alaska Native 5

Other/Mixed _____ 6

Missing 9

You should find information in the file on the sex and race of the youth. This information is typically found in police reports and probation officer reports. You should avoid trying to guess about either (i.e., don't just assume that if the youth's name is John, it is definitely male). For these items, you should circle the value which is correct. For example, if the youth is a male, then you should circle a 0 under item 3. If you come across a race which is not listed here, simply circle number 6 and then fill in the blank following the word Other. If there is absolutely no information on the race of the youth, circle 9 under item 4. The information on race is of ultimate importance for this project, so we want to check all possible sources for this information. The probation files are going to be the most useful, but you may need to consult probation or clerk office staff if you are unable to locate this information on a number of cases in that county.

The next two items on the data collection form have to do with the REASON that the youth has been referred to juvenile court. In most cases the youth will have been arrested for committing an action that is in violation of the law. It is also possible that the youth is being charged with a violation of probation or a violation of release conditions, or a failure to appear at a previous court hearing. To fill in the next two questions, you must identify the primary reason that the youth has been referred to court for processing. We are not interested in previous charges at this point.

5. Most serious charge for this case: _____

6. Offense level for most serious charge: F 0

FA 1

FB 2

FC 3

FD 4

MA 5

MB 6

MC 7

ST 8

V 9

Item 5 is asking to identify the actual offense or violation. This refers to the actual offense described in words (e.g., assault with a deadly weapon). Try to include the information exactly as worded in the court/probation documents. Do not use abbreviations that we may not be able to decipher at some later date. There may be several charges related to the current case, and where there is more than one offense, we want to indicate the most serious offense that the juvenile is currently being charged with. To determine the “most serious charge” you should first consider felonies more serious than misdemeanors and misdemeanors more serious than status offenders. If there is more than one felony or misdemeanor for a particular juvenile, then we will consider violent offenses to be the most serious, followed by weapons offenses, then drug offenses, then property offenses, and finally any other (typically, public order offenses) offenses of the same level. On the list of offenses provided later in this manual, the “Sort” column provides guidance for selecting the most serious offense—the smallest sort value corresponds to the most serious ranking. If a petition is being filed for this youth, then you should select the most serious offense from the petition. In the case that violations are being charged, any felony or misdemeanor would be considered more serious, but violations are considered more serious than status offenses. Status offenses are only the most serious offenses when only status offenses are being charged.

The offense level consists of two letters which indicate first, whether the charge would be considered a felony (F) or misdemeanor (M) if the offender were an adult, and second, which level of felony (i.e., A, B, C, or D) or misdemeanor (i.e., A, B, or C). This information is generally included on the official petition to the court filed by the prosecutor. If the offense level is missing for that charge, it can be found in Section III of this manual under the headings Severity and “Class.” An example of an offense level is **FB** = a class B felony. Some offenses are considered status offenses, this means that they would not be crimes if the offender were an adult. Indicate status offenses with **ST**.

The next set of items track the processing of the case through the juvenile court process and capture the decisions at each of 7 different points in the juvenile court process. These data are very important for this project. Make sure you are comfortable with the definitions of these terms and have an understanding of the types of evidence you are looking for to classify each decision as being “Yes” or “No.”

7. Was youth diverted from juvenile court? No 0

Yes 1

When a case is referred to juvenile court, the intake probation officer decides whether to request formal processing of the case within juvenile court or whether to divert the case away from juvenile court. The information can typically be found in the intake and investigation report (which may be called the Preliminary Inquiry), the case summary, or in the petition if the officer decided that a petition would be filed. If the case proceeded to juvenile court and charges were filed by the prosecutor, then circle 0. Otherwise, circle 1 if the case was dismissed, if there was an indication of referral to another program, or if the case was placed on informal adjustment. The court may even assign the youth to a specific “diversion” program.

Note that almost all cases referred to juvenile court would either have a formal petition filed (item 9 below) or will be considered to be diverted from juvenile court. If you believe the correct answer to item 7 is No, then you will probably find the answer to item 9 to be Yes (and vice versa). It would be extremely unusual to find a case that was either Yes on both items 7 and 9 at the same time or No on both items 7 and 9 at the same time. If you find a case that you believe fits such a scenario, provide a justification at the bottom of the form in the Notes section.

DETENTION

8. Was youth placed in secure detention? No 0

Yes 1

This item asks you to indicate whether the juvenile was detained for this particular offense. Only circle yes (coded 1) if the juvenile was detained at any point prior to the disposition hearing, which occurs after the petition has been filed with the court and after the youth has been adjudicated “true”. It should be clear from the file if detention was ordered in this case. If there is no mention of detention, then you should assume there was none and indicate with a 0.

During the time that a particular case is being processed through juvenile court, the judge is going to make a ruling about whether the youth needs to be detained—this may happen if the judge is concerned about the safety of the youth, the protection of the community against further delinquency by the youth, or if the youth is deemed to be likely to skip out on future court hearings. The judge may decide that the youth can be maintained under some form of home detention—this is NOT considered to be secure detention. The judge may also place a youth in a shelter-care facility or a treatment program—these would also not be considered to be secure detention. Secure detention would only occur in specific juvenile detention facilities (of which there are only 22 in the state of Indiana) and in very limited cases, in an adult jail. When youth are taken into custody, they may be securely detained for up to 72 hours without a court hearing. After that point, it will always be up to a judge to order secure detention, and there should be documentation from a specified detention hearing in those cases. If you are not sure whether a particular placement decision should be considered to be secure detention, you can either ask someone at the court, or make a note in the Notes section as to the specific facility where the youth was placed.

ADJUDICATION

9. Was a petition filed with juvenile court? No 0

Yes 1

A petition is filed by the prosecutor to the court alleging the juvenile committed a delinquent act(s) or status offense(s). There will generally be a document in the file that details the charges included on the petition and it will be stamped with a date filed. Please note that if the juvenile has an initial hearing, then a petition has very likely been filed. Note also that a youth could have been placed in detention or had a detention hearing without the filing of a petition. If the youth is released from detention or is not called in for an initial hearing it is likely that no petition was filed and the case was dropped or dismissed. Indicate whether a petition has been filed with a 1 for Yes and a 0 for No. If no petition was filed, then the remaining items on the form are typically going to be coded as “No.” If you believe you have found an example where no petition was filed but there is a need to indicate Yes for any of the remaining items on the form, then provide an explanation in the Notes section at the bottom of the form.

10. Was youth waived to criminal court? No 0

Yes 1

A waiver hearing is held to determine whether the juvenile should be tried in the juvenile court system or waived (i.e., transferred) to the adult criminal courts. This does not happen often. In a small number of cases, the prosecutor believes that the criminal history of the youth is serious enough or the current offense is serious enough that it makes sense to explore the possibility of trying the youth as an adult in criminal court. If there is no mention of a waiver hearing, you should assume there was none. If there is a waiver hearing, then you are really interested in whether the outcome of the hearing was to transfer the youth to adult court. This item refers only to the outcome of the waiver hearing. Circle the appropriate response. If the judgment was that the individual should be waived, choose 1, if the judgment was for the juvenile to remain in the juvenile system choose 0. **If there was NO waiver hearing circle 0.**

11. Was youth adjudicated delinquent? No 0

Yes 1

If the youth is not waived to adult court, then the next question would be whether the court determines the allegations against the youth to be true (in the adult system, this is referred to as being guilty). This will typically happen in one of two ways in the juvenile system. First, the youth may ultimately admit to the charges, either outright, or in response to some plea agreement. When this happens, there should be evidence to that effect in the court documents. The other way that youth are usually adjudicated delinquent is as a result of a bench trial, which is often referred to in Indiana as a fact-finding hearing or a denial hearing. In the case of a bench trial, the judge would make a determination if the charges are found to be true (the youth is “adjudicated delinquent”) and this would be spelled out clearly in the court documents that describe the content of the hearings. If the youth is found to be true, circle 1 for Yes in item 11. If the case is dismissed after the filing of a petition, or the judge decides the facts do not support a true finding, then circle 0 for No in item 11. (Note that if the correct answer for item 11 is No, then the remaining items on the form should also be No).

DISPOSITION

This is the sentencing portion of the juvenile proceedings. The final decision points we consider are only relevant in the event that the youth has been found to be adjudicated delinquent (item 11 is Yes).

When the youth has been adjudicated delinquent, then there will almost always be a formal disposition hearing. This will either happen at the same time as the adjudicatory hearing or at a scheduled future date so that there is time for the probation department to prepare a pre-disposition report that would guide the judge in the decision as to the appropriate disposition for the youth. Items 12-14 refer to the outcome of the disposition hearing. Ideally you will find a transcript of the disposition hearing in the court documents.

12. Was youth placed on formal probation? No 0

Yes 1

The most common disposition in juvenile court is to place the youth on formal probation. Most of the youth we will track for this project will be placed on probation for a specified period of time. If that happens, circle 1 for Yes on item 12. There may be additional conditions that are included in addition to being on probation, but that does not change the answer to item 12. If the youth has been adjudicated delinquent and you believe that the youth was not placed on probation, make sure to review the court documents carefully before selecting No for item 12.

13. Was youth committed to the Indiana Department of Correction:

a) At the time of disposition? No 0

Yes 1

b) Later, as a result of a violation of probation or a suspended commitment? No 0

Yes 1

In some cases, the judge will decide that the youth needs to be confined in state-run correctional facilities. In our state, these facilities are run by the Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC). Item 13 is asking if the youth is sent to an IDOC facility by the judge. This will typically happen in one of two ways. First, the judge may opt to commit the youth to the Indiana Department of Correction as the formal disposition. This would be clearly stated in the disposition hearing summary. If this is the case, you should circle 1 for Yes in item 13a.

Many youth, though, will be placed on probation at the time of their disposition and then because they violate the terms of their probation, may be sent to the Indiana Department of Correction at a later date, as a result of a hearing on their probation violation. It is also possible that the youth was originally placed on a “suspended commitment to the Indiana Department of Correction.” This refers to when a judge orders commitment of the juvenile to the Department of Correction, but chooses to suspend that portion of the disposition as long as the juvenile abides by the remainder of the dispositional order. If the juvenile violates the courts order he/she can be returned to court and the commitment can be reinstated at the judge’s discretion. You will sometimes see this referred to as SCIBS or SCIGS (for Suspended Commitment to Indiana Boys’ School or Suspended Commitment to Indiana Girls’ School). If at the time of the disposition the youth was not sent to IDOC, but was later sent because of a violation of probation or a violation of a suspended commitment, circle 1 for Yes in item 13b.

14. If the response to #11 is **Yes** and the response to #12 and #13a are both **No**, then specify the disposition that was given to this youth:

In virtually all cases in which the youth is adjudicated delinquent, the youth will either be placed on formal probation or committed to the Indiana Department of Correction. If, however, you find a case in which you select 1 (for Yes) in item 11, but 0 (for No) in both item 12 and item 13a, then indicate in item 14 what the actual disposition of the court was.

15. The data for this case were collected from: Probation files 0

Court files 1 Both 2

Coder _____ Date _____

Notes:

The final items on the form provide some documentation that will be helpful later if there are any concerns with the information on the form or if there are any questions that arise. First, in item 15, indicate whether you gathered information for this form from probation office files or from the court files or if you were able to consult both. Then indicate the two persons that worked on this form. As coder, you should either write your initials in this space above, or indicate your last names. You should also indicate the date on which you completed this form.

At the very bottom of the form is space for you to provide any notes that you think are important to document about this case. In most of the cases, you will probably not need to make any notes on the form. Provide any comments you think are warranted based on the way you have filled out the form for this case. If for some reason we still need to gather more information from another source, make a note on the form.

Please make sure the files are returned to the court personnel in the condition they were received.

SECTION II - DECISION POINTS

- **Arrest:** Youth are considered to be arrested when law enforcement agencies apprehend, stop, or otherwise contact them and suspect them of having committed a delinquent act. Delinquent acts are those that, if an adult commits them, would be criminal, including crimes against persons, crimes against property, drug offenses, and crimes against the public order.
- **Referral:** Referral is when a potentially delinquent youth is sent forward for legal processing and received by a juvenile or family court or juvenile intake agency, either as a result of law enforcement action or upon a complaint by a citizen or school.
- **Diversion:** The diversion population includes all youth referred for legal processing but handled without the filing of formal charges. Youth referred to juvenile court for delinquent acts are often screened by an intake department (either within or outside the court). The intake department may decide to dismiss the case for lack of legal sufficiency, resolve the matter informally (without the filing of charges), or resolve it formally (with the filing of charges).
- **Detention:** Detention refers to youth held in secure detention facilities at some point during court processing of delinquency cases (i.e., prior to disposition). In some jurisdictions, the detention population may also include youth held in secure detention to await placement following a court disposition. For the purposes of DMC, detention may also include youth held in jails and lockups. Detention should not include youth held in shelters, group homes, or other non-secure facilities.
- **Petition Filed:** Formally charged (petitioned) delinquency cases are those that appear on a court calendar in response to the filing of a petition, complaint, or other legal instrument requesting the court to adjudicate a youth as a delinquent or status offender or to waive jurisdiction and transfer a youth to criminal court. Petitioning occurs when a juvenile court intake officer, prosecutor, or other official determines that a case should be handled formally. In contrast, informal handling is voluntary and does not include the filing of charges.
- **Found Delinquent:** Youth are judged or found to be delinquent during adjudicatory hearings in juvenile court. Being found (or adjudicated) delinquent is roughly equivalent to being convicted in criminal court. It is a formal legal finding of responsibility. If found to be delinquent, youth normally proceed to disposition hearings where they may be placed on probation, committed to residential facilities, ordered to perform community service, or receive various other sanctions.
- **Probation:** Probation cases are those in which a youth is placed on formal or court-ordered supervision following a juvenile court disposition. Note: Youth on probation or under voluntary agreements without adjudication should not be counted here; they should be part of the diverted population instead.
- **Secure Confinement:** Confined cases are those in which, following a court disposition, youth are placed in secure residential or correctional facilities for delinquent offenders. The confinement population should not include all youth placed in any form of out-of-home placement. Group homes, shelter homes, and mental health treatment facilities, for example, would usually not be considered confinement. Every jurisdiction collecting DMC data must specify which forms of placement do and do not qualify as confinement.

• **Waived to Adult Court:** Waived cases are those in which a youth is transferred to criminal court as a result of a judicial finding in juvenile court. During a waiver hearing, the juvenile court usually files a petition asking the juvenile court judge to waive jurisdiction over the case. The juvenile court judge decides whether the case merits criminal prosecution. When a waiver request is denied, the matter is usually scheduled for an adjudicatory hearing in the juvenile court. If the request is granted, the juvenile is judicially waived to criminal court for further action. Juveniles may be transferred to criminal court through a variety of other methods, but most of these methods are difficult or impossible to track from within the juvenile justice system, including prosecutor discretion or concurrent.

GLOSSARY

ABSCONDED:

A person is considered to have absconded when he/she is under probation or parole supervision and his/her general whereabouts remain unknown for a prolonged period of time.

ADJUDICATION HEARING/ ADJUDICATORY HEARING:

A juvenile court hearing in which a decision is made regarding whether or not the juvenile was a delinquent, status offender, or dependent, and whether he/she was involved in the delinquent or status offense. If the allegations are not found to be true, then the petition is NOT sustained. In more simple terms, the juvenile is found not guilty.

BENCH TRIAL:

The trial is heard and the facts decided regarding the case by a judge or juvenile referee only. No jury trials are ever allowed in the juvenile justice system. The only time that a juvenile can face a jury trial is when he/she is waived to the criminal court.

CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:

A confinement facility having custodial authority over delinquents and status offenders committed to confinement after a juvenile disposition hearing.

DELINQUENT:

A juvenile who has been adjudicated (i.e., found guilty) by a judicial officer of a juvenile court as having committed a delinquent offense.

DELINQUENT ACT:

An act committed by a juvenile for which an adult could be prosecuted in the criminal court.

DETENTION:

The temporary care of a child alleged to be delinquent who requires secure custody in physically restricting facilities pending court disposition or execution of a court order.

DETENTION HEARING:

A hearing by a judicial officer of a juvenile court to determine whether a juvenile is to be detained, continued to be detained, or to be released while juvenile proceedings are pending in the case.

DISPOSITION:

This is the equivalent of a sentence in the criminal court system. Dispositions can range from the dismissal of charges, to probation, to a term in incarceration.

DISPOSITION HEARING:

A hearing held after an adjudicatory hearing and the receipt of a presentence or pre-dispositional investigation to determine the most appropriate disposition for a juvenile who has been adjudicated as a delinquent, status offender, or dependent child.

EMANCIPATED:

Relinquishment of the care of, custody, and earnings of a minor child and the renunciation of parental rights and duties.

INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT:

This is an informal settlement where the parties involved (e.g., intake probation officer, parent, and juvenile) have reached an agreement regarding what actions should be taken to resolve the issue without official intervention of the court. In other words, informal adjustment acts as a diversion from the system. In some jurisdictions this is known as informal supervision or informal probation.

INITIAL HEARING:

This hearing is typically held after a detention hearing and always before the adjudicatory hearing. In the criminal (adult) court system the initial hearing is known as the arraignment. In the initial hearing, the juvenile is notified of the charges against him/her and either admits to his/her involvement or denies it. If the juvenile denies the charges a date is set for the adjudicatory hearing (also known as a fact finding hearing).

INTAKE:

Intake refers to the process during which a juvenile referral is received and a decision is made to either file a petition, to release the juvenile, or to divert the juvenile out of the system.

NON-CORRECTIONAL/ NON-SECURE INSTITUTION:

A setting in which the emphasis is placed on the care and treatment of youths without the need to place constraints on them or to worry about the protection of the public.

PETITION:

A document filed in a juvenile court alleging that a juvenile is a delinquent, status offender, or dependent and asking that the court assume jurisdiction over the juvenile or that the juvenile be transferred to a criminal court for prosecution as an adult.

PROBATION:

A sentence entailing the conditional release of a convicted offender into the community under the supervision of the court (in the form of a probation officer) subject to certain conditions and usually for a specified time.

REFERRAL TO INTAKE:

In juvenile proceedings, a referral to intake is when a request is made by the police, or other agency or person to the juvenile intake unit that appropriate action be taken concerning a juvenile who has been alleged to have committed a delinquent or status offense.

STATUS OFFENDER:

A juvenile who has been adjudicated by a judicial officer of a juvenile court as having committed a status offense (which is an offense solely because of the status of the individual charged--truancy, runaway, etc).

SECURE SETTING:

A setting which places constraints on youths (i.e., a locked facility with specific security measures in place) in order to afford custody and care and can include treatment, in addition to providing protection for the community.

SUSPENDED COMMITMENT:

A court decision to postpone the sentence of secure confinement upon a convicted individual as long as the individual abides by the courts alternative disposition (e.g., probation); however, the commitment can be reinstated and the offender incarcerated at the discretion of the court.

TAKE INTO CUSTODY:

The act of the police in securing the physical custody of a child engaged in delinquency. This is known as an arrest in the adult system.

TRANSFER /WAIVER HEARING:

A pre-adjudicatory hearing in the juvenile court for the purpose of determining whether juvenile court jurisdiction should be retained or waived over a juvenile alleged to have committed a serious delinquent act. If it is decided that a juvenile is to be waived, he/she will then be tried as an adult in the criminal court system.

TRUE CAUSE/ TRUE FINDING:

This is equivalent to the term found guilty in the criminal court system. When the judicial officer finds that the facts are true and that the petition should be upheld, he/she issues a true finding in the adjudication hearing.

VENUED OUT:

When the location of a trial is transferred to another location/jurisdiction for purposes of ensuring a greater likelihood of fairness in trial. This usually occurs only with extenuating circumstances, for

instance, extensive media coverage of a case that might hamper the fairness of the proceedings. Cases are almost never venued out in the juvenile court system.

Section III – Juvenile Code of Indiana – Not inserted in Appendices due to space limitations.

Section IV –Miscellaneous

DMC Work Project Code of Conduct

You are a representative of IUPUI and your interactions with others should reflect well on the university; our funder, the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute; Dr. Jarjoura; and Dr. Garcia. Treat everyone that you meet with courtesy and respect.

Interpersonal relationships need to remain professional while in the work environment.

Arrive on time, especially when working off campus. Project clients have adjusted their schedules to allow us to get our work done. You will need to allow extra travel time for getting through security at the courthouses and traffic delays. There are likely to be many roads under construction during the summer months, and inclement weather will slow travel times during winter months.

Refrain from engaging in inappropriate language and behavior such as: profanity, crude jokes, and sexual references.

Keep volume to a minimum. Both on and off campus there will be others who are trying to get their own work done and we do not want to create any unnecessary distractions for any others.

Off Campus

There are some things that you need to remember about the juvenile cases that you will be working with

- All case material is confidential and should not be discussed outside of the work environment.
- Some of the cases may involve bizarre circumstances. Keep all talk about the cases professional and refrain from making jokes about the cases. Try to avoid any remarks that might be interpreted as being judgmental about the juvenile offenders, their families, or any one working in the juvenile court system.

Personal music players are not allowed while working off campus.

If you chew gum, please be discreet (no blowing bubbles or smacking your gum).

When working with court files, be very careful to return them in the exact same condition that they were given to you. Keep all documents in the identical order in which you find them. Keep food and drink away from the files.

Cell phones must be on silent or vibrate. Be aware that many courthouses will not allow you to carry your cell phone through security, so you may need to leave it in your car.

On Campus

While on campus you are most likely going to be working in BS 4032S (referred to as the “grad suite”). The resources in this room, including computers, are available to you in this room are strictly for use on this project. Computers are not to be used for purposes that are outside of your work on the DMC Project (that means no visits to YouTube or to facebook and absolutely no downloading of music or videos). The computers in this room are continuously monitored and Dr. Garcia and Dr. Jarjoura will be informed when such activity is taking place. You may check email as long as it does not detract from your work. Instant messaging is discouraged.

You may listen to music with headphones as long as it does not interfere with your ability to get work done and the volume does not become a bother to those working near you.

Keep both doors to room BS 4032S (the grad suite) closed in order to keep any noise from interfering with the professors in the other offices.

I, _____, have fully read and understand the DMC Code of Conduct and
(print name)

agree to abide by the guidelines it contains.

(signature)

DMC Summer Work Project Dress Code

You are representing IUPUI; our funder, the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute; Dr. Jarjoura; and Dr. Garcia. Your attire should demonstrate that you take this role as representative seriously.

While working on campus, you may dress a little more casually than when working off campus. At a minimum, project members will be expected to wear pants (jeans with no holes or Capri pants are acceptable—shorts are not) and a collared shirt (polo shirts are acceptable, t-shirts are not). Footwear should not be too casual—athletic shoes or dress sandals are fine, flip-flops are not.

While working off campus *and when meeting with project clients on campus*, project members will be expected to dress in business casual. See the following list for definitions of business casual.

Slacks, Pants, and Suit Pants

Dress slacks, khakis, and dressy Capri pants are acceptable. Inappropriate attire includes jeans, sweatpants, exercise pants, shorts, bib overalls, leggings, and any spandex or other form-fitting pants.

Skirts, Dresses, and Skirted Suits

Casual dresses and skirts, and skirts that are split at or below the knee are acceptable. Dress and skirt length should be at a length at which you can sit comfortably in public. Short, tight skirts that ride halfway up the thigh are inappropriate for work. Mini-skirts, skorts, sun dresses, beach dresses, and spaghetti-strap dresses are inappropriate.

Shirts, Tops, and Blouses

Button up dress shirts and tops are acceptable. Inappropriate attire includes tank tops; midriff tops; shirts with potentially offensive words, terms, logos, pictures, cartoons, or slogans; halter-tops; tops with bare shoulders; sweatshirts, and t-shirts unless worn under another blouse, shirt, jacket, sweater, or dress.

Shoes and Footwear

Dress shoes are acceptable. Athletic shoes, sneakers, thongs, flip-flops, and slippers are not acceptable.

Hats and Head Covering

Hats are not appropriate. Head Covers that are required for religious purposes or to honor cultural tradition are allowed.

I, _____, have fully read and understand the DMC Dress Code and agree
(print name) to abide by the guidelines it contains.

_____ (signature)

DMC Project Equipment Policy

Equipment Checkout

- All equipment (laptops, jump drives, county lists) must be signed out from Nathan or Konrad on a weekly basis
- All equipment must be brought in for Monday staffing meetings
- Stored information will be downloaded on Mondays to prepare for the upcoming week
- County lists must also be signed in and out due to their confidential nature
- Any loss of equipment or information must be reported immediately

Information Storage and Backup

- Computers will be loaded with county-specific databases when being checked out
- Remember to save your data often, so you don't have to redo anything
- At the end of every day, make a copy of the database on the jump drive and label it using the current date (e.g. MarionSept18backup)
- If you are unable to make it in on Monday, make arrangements with Nathan or Konrad to get the data downloaded to the master file

Equipment and Data Protection

- You may keep the laptop you checked out for an entire week if necessary, but it must be signed out every Monday
- If you do not need the laptop every day, please return it to Nathan or Konrad
- Keep the laptop with you all day while at the courthouse and at lunch - NEVER leave it unattended!
- If staying overnight, you may keep the laptop in your hotel room, but the jump drive and the county list MUST be kept separately
- The jump drive and county list should never be kept in the laptop case - they must remain separate in order to maintain information security