

ORIGINAL

JCA  
G  
M  
Kack

STATE OF INDIANA  
INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF AN ORDER )  
APPROVING UTILITY ARTICLES )  
PURSUANT TO 170 IAC 1-6. )

APPROVED: APR 13 2011

The Indiana Administrative Code provides for Thirty-Day Administrative Filing Procedures and Guidelines pursuant to the authority of Ind. Code 8-1-1-3 and Ind. Code 8-1-2-42. The thirty-day filing process is available for certain routine and non-controversial requests to facilitate expedited consideration of these matters by the Commission. The rule sets forth the requirements for the thirty-day administrative filings.

The thirty-day filings received pursuant to 170 IAC 1-6 and ripe for Commission action are attached hereto and collectively referred to as the Utility Articles. There are no controversial filings in the Utility Articles approved today.

Pursuant to the rule, the Commission Technical Divisions have submitted their recommendations to the Commission. Therefore, the Commission finds that the requirements of 170 IAC 1-6 have been met and that the Utility Articles attached are hereby approved.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**ATTERHOLT, BENNETT, MAYS AND ZIEGNER CONCUR; LANDIS ABSENT**  
**BENNETT NOT PARTICIPATING IN FILING NUMBER 2812:**

APPROVED: APR 13 2011

**I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the Order as approved.**

*Brenda A. Howe*  
Brenda A. Howe  
Secretary to the Commission



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
101 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 1500 EAST  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-3407

<http://www.in.gov/iurc>  
Office: (317) 232-2701  
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairman James D. Atterholt  
Commissioners Bennett, Landis, Mays, and Ziegner

FROM: Commission Technical Divisions

DATE: April 8, 2011

RE: 30-Day Utility Articles for Conference on Wednesday, April 13, 2011 @ 2:00 P.M.

The following thirty-day filings have been submitted to the Commission. Each item was reviewed by the appropriate Commission Technical Divisions and all regulations were met in accordance with 170 IAC 1-6 Thirty-Day Administrative Filing Procedures and Guidelines. Therefore, the following filings listed below and attached hereto are recommended to be considered by the Commission at the next conference:

<u>Attachment Number</u>	<u>30-Day Filing No.</u>	<u>Name of Utility Company</u>	<u>Type of Request</u>	<u>Date Received</u>
1	2810	Frankfort City Light & Power	Addition of Net Metering Tariff	02/21/2011
2	2811	Knightstown Municipal Electric	Addition of Net Metering Tariff	02/21/2011
3	2812	Richmond Power & Light	Addition of Net Metering Tariff	02/21/2011
4	2823	Verizon Access Transmission Services	Reduce Rates for Direct Connect and Tandem Connect	03/01/2011
5	2826	Communications Corp. of Indiana (CCI)	Modify Intrastate Tariff Pages	02/25/2011
6	2835	Indiana Michigan Power Company Utility	Contract for Interruptible Power	03/03/2011
7	2837	Lebanon Municipal Electric Utility	Power Cost Adjustment	03/05/2011
8	2838	Floyds Knobs Water Company, Inc.	Increase Wholesale Cost Water Tracker	03/11/2011

Submitted By: *Bradley K. Borum* *BK B*  
Director, Electric Division

**Filing Party:** Frankfort City Light & Power  
**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2810  
**Date Filed:** 02/21/2011  
**Request(s):** Addition of a Net Metering Tariff  
**Customer Impact:** Frankfort City Light & Power has developed this tariff with assistance from their wholesale electric power supplier, the Indiana Municipal Power Agency. The Commission's Net Metering rules (170 IAC 4-4.2) are not applicable to municipal electric utilities; however, Frankfort City Light & Power's tariffs contain terms similar to those set for the in those rules. Specifically those terms include the following:

1. The utility may limit the aggregate amount of net metering facility nameplate capacity under the tariff to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) of the most recent summer peak load of the utility.
2. Billing will be handled in the manner set forth in 170 IAC 4-4.2-7.
3. Customers must execute an interconnection agreement with the utility. This agreement has a general form, but it may be modified depending the circumstances of particular customers.

**Staff Recommendations:** The municipal utility is correct in pointing out that it does not fall under the Commission's Net Metering rules set forth in 170 IAC 4-4.2. It is encouraging to see that the utility has chosen to follow the Commission's guidelines in developing this tariff and recommend approval.

Submitted By: *Bradley K. Borum* *BKB*  
Director, Electric Division

**Filing Party:** Knightstown Municipal Electric

**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2811

**Date Filed:** 02/21/2011

**Request(s):** Addition of a Net Metering Tariff

**Customer Impact:** Knightstown Municipal Electric has developed this tariff with assistance from their wholesale electric power supplier, the Indiana Municipal Power Agency. The Commission's Net Metering rules (170 IAC 4-4.2) are not applicable to municipal electric utilities; however, Knightstown Municipal Electric's tariffs contain terms similar to those set for the in those rules. Specifically those terms include the following:

1. The utility may limit the aggregate amount of net metering facility nameplate capacity under the tariff to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) of the most recent summer peak load of the utility.
2. Billing will be handled in the manner set forth in 170 IAC 4-4.2-7.
3. Customers must execute an interconnection agreement with the utility. This agreement has a general form, but it may be modified depending the circumstances of particular customers.

**Staff Recommendations:** The municipal utility is correct in pointing out that it does not fall under the Commission's Net Metering rules set forth in 170 IAC 4-4.2. It is encouraging to see that the utility has chosen to follow the Commission's guidelines in developing this tariff and recommend approval.

Submitted By: *Bradley K. Borum* *BKB*  
Director, Electric Division

**Filing Party:** Richmond Power & Light

**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2812

**Date Filed:** 02/21/2011

**Request(s):** Addition of a Net Metering Tariff

**Customer Impact:** Richmond Power & Light has developed this tariff with assistance from their wholesale electric power supplier, the Indiana Municipal Power Agency. The Commission's Net Metering rules (170 IAC 4-4.2) are not applicable to municipal electric utilities; however, Richmond Power & Light's tariffs contain terms similar to those set for the in those rules. Specifically those terms include the following:

1. The utility may limit the aggregate amount of net metering facility nameplate capacity under the tariff to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) of the most recent summer peak load of the utility.
2. Billing will be handled in the manner set forth in 170 IAC 4-4.2-7.
3. Customers must execute an interconnection agreement with the utility. This agreement has a general form, but it may be modified depending the circumstances of particular customers.

**Staff Recommendations:** The municipal utility is correct in pointing out that it does not fall under the Commission's Net Metering rules set forth in 170 IAC 4-4.2. It is encouraging to see that the utility has chosen to follow the Commission's guidelines in developing this tariff and recommend approval.

Submitted By: Pamela D. Taber *PDT*,  
Director, Communications Division

**Filing Party:** MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services ("Verizon Access")

**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2823

**Date Received:** 03/01/2011

**Filed Pursuant To:** 170 IAC 1-6

**FCC Transmittals:** Tr. 33

**FCC Filing Dates:** 07/22/10

**FCC Approval Dates:** 08/06/10

**Request(s):** Verizon Access proposes to reduce the rates for the following two intrastate switched access services: Direct Connect and Tandem Connect. Verizon Access proposes to increase rates for 800 Data Base Access Service Basic Query. Verizon Access is seeking to add rate zones to the pricing structure for all three of these services. This filing mirrors the corresponding interstate changes.

**Customer Impact:** N/A

**Tariff Pages Affected:** I.U.R.C. Tariff No. 3: Pages 58 - 60

**Staff Recommendations:** Requirements met. Recommend approval.

Submitted By: Pamela D. Taber *PDT*  
Director, Communications Division

**Filing Party:** Communications Corp. of Indiana (CCI)  
**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2826  
**Date Received:** 02/25/2011  
**Filed Pursuant To:** Cause No. 39369 (April 30 and June 2, 1993)<sup>1</sup>; 170 IAC 1-6  
**FCC Transmittals:** Tr. 1285, 1287, & 1288 (Nat'l Exchange Carriers Assoc./"NECA")<sup>2</sup>  
**FCC Filing Dates:** Tr. 1285: 08/31/10; Tr. 1287 & Tr. 1288: 09/16/10  
**FCC Approval Dates:** Tr. 1285: 09/15/10; Tr. 1287 & Tr. 1288: 10/01/10  
**Request(s):** Tr. 1285 modifies the Ethernet Transport Services Term Discount Plan. The intrastate tariff pages that implement Tr. 1285 may affect all TDS Telecom Indiana companies and any non-TDS Telecom companies that concur with CCI's intrastate access tariff and this service. Tr. 1287 modifies certain portions of the NECA tariff to reflect a name change for "Smithville Tel. Co., Inc." to "Smithville Communications" and removes the LNP End User Charges that have expired at some companies. The intrastate tariff pages that implement Tr. 1287 affect CCI for Intrastate Access Services and Smithville Communications, which is an Indiana company concurring in CCI's intrastate access tariff. Tr. 1288 contained an update to the Federal Universal Service Charge Factors. The proposed intrastate exception clarifies that the Federal Universal Service Fund changes are not applicable to the Indiana Universal Service Fund.  
**Customer Impact:** N/A  
**Tariff Pages Affected:** Various Pages  
**Staff Recommendations:** Requirements met. Recommend approval.

---

<sup>1</sup> In order to maintain parity and be in compliance with the Commission's Orders in Cause No. 39369, local exchange carriers file changes to their intrastate access parity tariffs in order to mirror the applicable interstate access tariff, or take exceptions to interstate tariffs when their intrastate tariffs are not affected.

<sup>2</sup> CCI does not have its own interstate (FCC) access tariff; it relies upon the NECA Tariff 5.

Submitted By: Bradley K. Borum *BKB*  
Director, Electric Division

**Filing Party:** Indiana Michigan Power Company Utility  
**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2835  
**Date Filed:** 03/03/2011  
**Filed Pursuant To:** Commission Order No. 43878  
**Request(s):** Approval of a Contract for Interruptible Power between Praxair, Inc. and I&M as provided in Tariff C.S. – IRP2.  
**Customer Impact:** Contract allows for emergency interruptions and allows I&M to interrupt for other reasons, such as purely economic ones.  
**Tariff Pages Affected:** N/A  
**Staff Recommendations:** Petitioner filed an unredacted version with both the IURC and OUCC. This contract has been reviewed and the details of the contract falls within the confines of Tariff C.S.-IRP2 and is recommended for approval.



Submitted By: *Bradley K. Borum* *BKB*  
Director, Electric Division

**Filing Party:** Lebanon Municipal Electric Utility  
**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2837  
**Date Filed:** 03/05/2011  
**Filed Pursuant To:** Commission Order No. 36835-S3  
**Request(s):** A revision to Power Cost Adjustment Tracking Factors, to be applied in April, May, and June 2011  
**Customer Impact:** See below.

<i>Rate Schedule</i>	<i>Change (\$/kWh)</i>	<i>Resultant (\$/kWh)</i>
Residential Service (RS) RS	0.004967	0.023711
Commercial Service - Single Phase (CS-10)	0.003527	0.025014
Commercial Service - Three Phase (CS-30)	0.005456	0.025358
Municipal Service (MS)	0.002200	0.021422
Primary Power Light (PPL - per kVA)	(0.864818)	1.859658
Primary Power Light (PPL - per kWh)	(0.001217)	0.014356
Small General Power (SGP)	0.002271	0.020220
Outdoor Lighting & Street Lighting (OL & SL)	(0.006022)	0.014767

**Tariff Pages Affected:** Appendix A  
**Staff Recommendations:** Requirements met. Recommend approval.

Submitted By: Curt Gassert <sup>ECS</sup>  
Director, Water/Sewer Division

**Filing Party:** **Floyds Knobs Water Company, Inc.**  
**30-Day Filing ID No.:** 2838  
**Date Filed:** 03/11/2011  
**Filed Pursuant To:** 170 IAC 1-6 and 6-5  
**Request(s):** The utility is proposing to increase its Wholesale Cost Water Tracker rates due to an increase in wholesale price (Wholesale Cost Water Tracker Increase).  
**Customer Impact:** See Below.

<i>Floyds Knobs Water Company, Inc.</i>	<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>
Cost per 1,000 gallons	\$2.37	\$2.44

**Tariff Pages Affected:** Appendix A  
**Staff Recommendations:** Requirements met. Recommend approval.